

**ATTACHMENT 1**

**DELINQUENT COMPLAINTS WITH A JUVENILE DEFENDER OR PUBLIC DEFENDER ASSIGNED  
 BASED ON COMPLAINT DATE - COUNTING DISTINCT COMPLAINTS  
 Accepted and Reassigned Cases Only and Omit Inactive Assignments**

		2017
JUVENILE DEFENDER	JAN	80
	FEB	73
	MAR	51
	APR	54
	MAY	49
	JUN	59
	JUL	61
	AUG	53
	SEP	43
	OCT	63
	NOV	67
	DEC	50
	<b>Total</b>	<b>703</b>
PUBLIC DEFENDER	JAN	89
	FEB	97
	MAR	100
	APR	88
	MAY	94
	JUN	106
	JUL	92
	AUG	89
	SEP	81
	OCT	108
	NOV	115
	DEC	94
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,153</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,856</b>	

		2017
JUVENILE DEFENDER	<b>703</b>	<b>37.88%</b>
PUBLIC DEFENDER	<b>1,153</b>	<b>62.12%</b>
<b>Total Distinct Complaints</b>	<b>1,856</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

NOTE: This report is counting distinct complaints based on attorney assignments. If a juvenile is assigned more than one attorney on the same complaint the attorney assignment will be counted once in each category (attorney type and month) but only one time in the overall total.

		2017
JUVENILE DEFENDER	JONES, SAMUEL	75
	NANCE, LARRY	74
	KREHER, DAVID	68
	RENFROE, SHEILA	56
	KHUMALO, LINDA PARSON	53
	WILLIAMS, EVAN	51
	BYNUM, RANDLE B.	46
	WASHINGTON, ALICIA	41
	CHASTAIN, AUTUMN B.	40
	MELONI, KIM	35
	FRANKLIN, JAMES EDWARD	34
	GURKIN, J WHITTEN	32
	GILLARD, VICTORIA W.	29
	ALEXANDER, CONSTANCE WOOD	23
	SHELTON, REGINALD E.	18
	CORMAN, JOSHUA	15
	JOHN, MATTHEW IAN	6
	PERKINS, SAMUEL	4
	SETTLE, DEWUN R.	2
		<b>Total</b>
PUBLIC DEFENDER	RATTON, KATIE	170
	RARDIN, KEVIN	166
	MCKEITHEN, CARNITA	162
	DERNOCOEUR, MELODY	100
	RUSSELL, STEPHANIE	87
	HALL, JACINTA	85
	MARTIN, CHRISTOPHER	70
	HALE, JAMES	54
	DEANS, BARBARA	50
	SHELTON, REGINALD E.	48

NOTE: This report is counting distinct complaints based on attorney assignments. If a juvenile is assigned more than one attorney on the same complaint the attorney assignment will be counted once in each category (attorney type and month) but only one time in the overall total.

		2017
PUBLIC DEFENDER	TURNER, KAMILAH ELAINE	48
	RAYFORD, JAMES	38
	EDWARDS, ELBERT	26
	ARMSTARD, DONNA	17
	CASE, JENNIFER	14
	JOHN, MATTHEW IAN	4
	SANSBURY, LAURIE	3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,113</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,811</b>

NOTE: This report is counting distinct complaints based on attorney assignments. If a juvenile is assigned more than one attorney on the same complaint the attorney assignment will be counted once in each category (attorney type and month) but only one time in the overall total.

**ATTACHMENT 2**

**From:** Gayle, Winsome (CRT)

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 10, 2018 6:31 PM

**To:** Jones, John ([JohnM.Jones@shelbycountyttn.gov](mailto:JohnM.Jones@shelbycountyttn.gov)) <[JohnM.Jones@shelbycountyttn.gov](mailto:JohnM.Jones@shelbycountyttn.gov)>

**Cc:** 'Pascover, Kathryn' <[Kathryn.Pascover@shelbycountyttn.gov](mailto:Kathryn.Pascover@shelbycountyttn.gov)>; Summers, Paul

<[Paul.Summers@shelbycountyttn.gov](mailto:Paul.Summers@shelbycountyttn.gov)>; 'ssimkins@camden.rutgers.edu'

<[ssimkins@camden.rutgers.edu](mailto:ssimkins@camden.rutgers.edu)>; Leiber, Michael J. ([mjleiber@usf.edu](mailto:mjleiber@usf.edu)) <[mjleiber@usf.edu](mailto:mjleiber@usf.edu)>; Goemann,

Richard (CRT) <[Richard.Goemann@crt.usdoj.gov](mailto:Richard.Goemann@crt.usdoj.gov)>; Keller, Emily (CRT) <[Emily.Keller@crt.usdoj.gov](mailto:Emily.Keller@crt.usdoj.gov)>

**Subject:** Shelby: Tour Follow-Up and Related Document Request

Good afternoon John, thanks again to you, Kathryn, the leadership of Juvenile Court, County, and Sheriff's Office for working with the monitors and our team last week to schedule meetings and access documents. We appreciate your efforts in streamlining our review and want to especially acknowledge Pam for rearranging meetings when Dr. Leiber's availability changed.

As mentioned in my earlier emails, we are now requesting additional documents to assist in our review of the County and Juvenile Court's progress with the MOA. In addition to the documents, we wanted to alert you to our position on the transfer discovery issue. It is our understanding that at the behest of the Due Process Monitor, court leadership has been working with a representative from the Shelby County Public Defender's Office to resolve transfer discovery matters. We encourage you to come to a resolution that can be consistently applied to these matters. It is our understanding that representations have been made by the District Attorney's Office that they provide items subject to *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), disclosure. We understand from the Due Process Monitor's reports, however, that there is disagreement as to whether such discovery is occurring and whether there is consistency in cases. It is our position that the Agreement, Transfer Hearing provision III.A.1(c)(i)(d), requires only disclosure of *Brady* and *Giglio* materials for transfer hearings. Such material is constitutionally required for juveniles in transfer proceedings to "meaningfully confront evidence presented against them, including cross-examining adverse witnesses." We encourage you to develop a consistent policy or guideline to address discovery practices in transfer hearings. In our view, such action would remove the discovery issue identified by the Monitor as a barrier to achieving substantial compliance.

Additionally, we wanted to provide some insight into our position on the probation conference counsel issues. First, you should know that we are in receipt of the March 2, 2018 report submitted to the Due Process Monitor by the Public Defender titled, *Toward a Comprehensive Plan for Juvenile Defender Services*. In that report (p.9), a solution was proposed to have attorneys with the Public Defender's Office provide defender services in probation conferences, starting in March 2018 and fully implemented by July 2018. This solution is also described in Compliance Report #11 (p.6) of the Settlement Agreement Coordinator, Honorable Paul G. Summers. It is our position that once

implemented, the Public Defender's provision of counsel at the probation conferences will constitute substantial compliance with the Agreement, Protection Against Self-Incrimination provision III.A.1(c)(ii) and (iv).

Now, the documents. We have listed them according to Agreement areas for ease of reference. Some will require mailing or use of a parcel service, so I have included my address below.

**Due Process:**

- Please provide hearing audio for the transfer (Rule 208) dockets on the following days: December 15, 2017; February 15, 2018; February 16, 2018; February 21, 2018; March 20, 2018; March 21, 2018; and March 28, 2018.
- Provide any final guidance, policy, or agreement addressing transfer discovery.
- Provide a description of the funding and appointment process for the panel attorneys who will be providing representation at probation conferences (as noted by Pam Skelton during the April 3, 2018 meeting with Sandra Simkins).

**Facility:**

- Documents in the binder prepared for Pamela Clark. These can be sent individually or within the binder. The documents are:
  - Policy on Mandated Reporting
  - Selection of UOF Incident Reports
  - Monthly reviews of those UOF Incident Reports
  - Disk with videos of UOF incidents
  - Log of Suicide Risk Screenings and responses to suicidality for March 2018
  - Training Session Attendance Lists
  - Documentation of follow-up after wrongful conduct identified in UOF incidents
  - Safety Stats for November and December 2017 and for March 2018 – we have January and February 2018
- Video recordings on disks for UOF incidents from December 2017 and March 2018
- Regarding a female youth, Ayonie Slater, who was held in seclusion (i.e. isolation, locked in her room for the vast majority of each day) from on or about March 20, 2018 to on or about April 5, 2018, we are requesting (1) documentation that explains the reason or reasons Ms. Slater was placed in seclusion; (2) a description of the parameters and limitations of Ms.

Slater's housing status (e.g., total time that Ms. Slater is restricted to her room over the course of each 24 hour day, any prerequisites or requirements for Ms. Slater being allowed out of her room); (3) documentation of the continued need to maintain Ms. Slater in seclusion and efforts to transition her to general population; (4) records documenting any mental health and medical examinations, interventions, and treatment; (5) observation logs since Ms. Slater was placed in seclusion; and (6) documentation of any less restrictive means used to address the concerns leading to Ms. Slater's seclusion.

**DMC:**

- Provide the outstanding documents from our onsite request, namely item #5 and #7 referenced in your email yesterday (April 9, 2018).

We will be sure to let you know of any other requests as soon as possible. As always, we will also aim to limit the items to only those necessary to assist in our understanding of County and Juvenile Court's progress with the Agreement and our review of the Monitor's reports.

Thank you as always,  
Winsome

Winsome G. Gayle  
Special Litigation Counsel (Juvenile Rights)  
U.S. Department of Justice (PHB)  
Civil Rights Division  
Special Litigation Section  
601 D. Street, NW, Rm. 5632  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
202-305-4164  
[Winsome.Gayle@usdoj.gov](mailto:Winsome.Gayle@usdoj.gov)



# ATTACHMENT 3

**IN THE JUVENILE COURT OF MEMPHIS AND SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE**

**IN THE MATTER OF**

DOB: \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ years old

Docket Number: \_\_\_\_\_

A child under 18 years of age

---

**DISCOVERY ORDER  
FOR THIS TRANSFER PROCEEDING**

---

This Order of the Court applies to all probable cause matters in which the State of Tennessee files Notice of Intent to Seek Transfer to Criminal Court (“transfer proceedings”) pursuant to T. C. A. § 37-1-134, Rules 206 & 208, Tenn. Rules of Juv. Proc., and Rule 16, Tenn. Rule of Crim. Proc.

A transfer proceeding, like a detention hearing, is preliminary in nature. It is designed to determine whether probable cause, or “reasonable grounds” exist to find that a child committed the alleged delinquent act, whether that child is committable, and whether the community’s interest requires that the child be put under legal restraint or discipline. Pursuant to *State v. Willoughby*, 594 S.W.2d 388 (Tenn. 1980), general discovery rules do not apply to preliminary examinations and hearings. Thus, Rule 206 and Rule 16 do not apply to transfer proceedings. While Rule 206 discovery does apply to delinquency hearings in which the State must prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt, Rule 16 is not implicated as it applies to post-indictment discovery.

The Court reminds the parties that they are obligated under the rules governing professional conduct to seek the additional information that is not specifically provided for in this Order, or that the State is not required to provide. Such additional evidence and information includes but is not limited to:

1. Court ordered psychological evaluations;
2. Court maintained social files;
3. Mental health records from school districts;
4. Mental and physical health records from health care providers;
5. Educational records and Individual Educational Plan records from school districts;
6. Treatment and custody records from state child agencies; and,
7. Employment records.

Further, Court staff has provided sample subpoenas, requirements, and contact information to both the Public Defender's Office, the Defender Panel, and private bar to assist in obtaining the information listed above.

This Order becomes effective when the Notice of Intent to Seek Transfer is filed and shall remain in effect until (1) the State of Tennessee withdraws Notice of Intent to Seek Transfer to Criminal Court, (2) the State's transfer motion is granted or denied, or (3) transfer to Criminal Court is waived by the Child or the charges in dispute are settled by an agreed disposition. If the State of Tennessee withdraws Notice of Intent to Seek Transfer, or if the Court denies the motion for transfer, then Rule 206 of the Tennessee Rules of Juvenile Practice and Procedure, governing discovery in delinquency matters, shall apply.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED**, that in every transfer proceeding as described above, the parties are ordered to reciprocally disclose the following information in their respective custody and control, or that the parties could reasonably access, to the opposing party through counsel in a timely manner sufficient to allow opposing counsel to adequately prepare for any transfer proceeding. This obligation is continuing for the pendency of the transfer matter.

1. A copy of the arrest ticket, Summons, and/or Affidavit of Complaint;
2. A copy of the Juvenile Court Face Sheet which includes all of the Child's contacts with the juvenile justice system as well as the corresponding dispositions;
3. All exculpatory material and materials that could reasonably lead to the discovery of exculpatory materials, admissible or not, required by *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963); *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972); and their progeny.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED**, that any failure by either party to comply with this Order may result in (1) an order permitting the non-faulting party discovery or inspection of the undisclosed evidence or information; (2) an order granting a short continuance; (3) an order prohibiting the non-compliant party from introducing the undisclosed evidence or information; and/or (4) any other order as the Court deems necessary under the circumstances.

---

Hon. Dan H. Michael  
Judge of the Juvenile Court  
Memphis and Shelby County

**ATTACHMENT 4**



## COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

STATE OF TENNESSEE

CHAMBERS OF  
ALAN E. GLENN  
JUDGE

5050 POPLAR AVENUE, SUITE 1414  
MEMPHIS, TN 38157-1414  
(901) 537-2980  
FAX: (901) 537-2998

September 7, 2017

Judge Paul Summers  
Juvenile Court of Memphis & Shelby County  
616 Adams Avenue  
Memphis, TN 38103

Dear Judge Summers:

I have been asked to provide certain information regarding the Tennessee judicial system, as well as the Rules of the Tennessee Supreme Court. As you may know, I have been chair of the Judicial Ethics Committee since 2003 and, as such, am empowered to provide ethics advice to judges and lawyers.

The Rules of the Tennessee Supreme Court include Rule 8, regarding the code of conduct for attorneys, and Rule 10, the Code of Judicial Conduct. Compliance with these rules is mandatory for all Tennessee attorneys and judges, the latter being very broadly defined to include all persons exercising judicial functions. Of course, juvenile court judges and referees are within the definition. As I understand, your specific question is whether there can be deviation from Rule 13, regarding legal representation for indigent children. Presently, attorneys from the Shelby County Public Defender's office are appointed, unless that office has a conflict. In such cases, private attorneys are appointed. Based upon my nearly 50 years of law practice in Shelby County, I know that this always has been the practice in all Shelby County courts, including federal court.

The only way I can envision a change in the present procedure is for the Tennessee Supreme Court to amend the present Rule 13 to require that all indigent children are to be represented by a public defender. In cases of conflict, the additional counsel would be employed by an office other than that of the Shelby County Public Defender. Since this particular change would be statewide, I presume the new office would have to be created and funded by the Tennessee Legislature. That change would be massive, since it would apply to hundreds of courts in the state's 95 counties. On a smaller scale, the Tennessee Supreme Court could be asked to exempt Shelby County from certain of the requirements of Rule 13 regarding appointment of counsel. Having been involved in the drafting of various procedural rules over a number of years, I should

Page 2

add that I have never known of such an exemption having been sought. Even if the new procedure is limited to Shelby County, funding of the office would remain a consideration.

By this letter, I have not intended to either encourage or discourage any action being considered in this regard, for I take no position in the matter.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Alan E. Glenn".

Alan E. Glenn

AEG/jcr

**ATTACHMENT 5**



**JUVENILES ADMITTED TO DETENTION CENTER - 2012 THRU 2017**

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
DETENTION CENTER	3,952	1,504	1,304	968	899	869

DETENTION CENTER	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		
							BLACK	WHITE	BLACK	WHITE	BLACK	WHITE	BLACK	WHITE	BLACK	WHITE	BLACK	WHITE	BLACK
							3,520	89%	1,363	90%	1,220	93%	903	93%	825	91%	806	92%	
							396	10%	126	8%	77	5%	55	5%	64	7%	53	6%	
							36	0%	15	0%	7	0%	10	1%	10	1%	10	1%	
							<b>Total</b>	<b>3,952</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1,504</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1,304</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>968</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>899</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>100%</b>

	2012			2013			2014			2015			2016			2017		
	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total
BLACK	862	2,658	3,520	181	1,182	1,363	201	1,019	1,220	100	803	903	95	730	825	91	715	806
WHITE	98	298	396	23	103	126	15	62	77	12	43	55	9	55	64	11	42	53
OTHER	14	22	36	7	8	15	0	7	7	0	10	10	1	9	10	1	9	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>974</b>	<b>2,978</b>	<b>3,952</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>1,293</b>	<b>1,504</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>1,088</b>	<b>1,304</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>856</b>	<b>968</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>794</b>	<b>899</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>766</b>	<b>869</b>

## ATTACHMENT 6



# *Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County*

616 ADAMS AVENUE MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38105  
P. O. BOX 310 MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38101

## **MEMORANDUM:**

To: Dr. Michael Leiber

From: Pam Skelton

Date: May 1, 2018

Subject: Update from Strategic Planning Committee meeting held on April 25, 2018

The purpose of this memorandum is twofold: the first is to provide an update from the Strategic Planning Committee meeting held on April 25, 2018. Those in attendance were Pamela Skelton, Tom Coupe, Aimee Burgdorf, and Bernard Williams. The second is to provide you with updates on the work being done by many at the Court to reduce DMC. I hope that you will find that we have taken your recommendations seriously and that we are working hard toward this goal. We very much appreciate the time and work that you have put towards assisting us in being successful in this goal.

## **DMC and Equal Protection**

### **1. DMC Assessment**

Aimee is continuing to keep the items on the Court's dashboard current and updating as needed.

- Update forthcoming with latest monitor Compliance reports from April 2018 visit
- **Data collection, POC/Strategic Planning Status**  
Data needs have been identified and Bernard and Aimee continue to prepare, digest, discuss and work through all of the data to determine where we are and what we need to do to reduce DMC.

### **2. Policies and Procedures**

Policy reviews are continuing and ongoing, and these are discussed with all staff at each decision point. The DAT has been revised based upon your recommendations, and the GRID is being validated and reviewed by Dr. Laura Harris, Data for Good.

- a. The Graduated Response Grid validation under the direction of Dr. Laura Harris with Data for Good continues.
  - Awaiting feedback from Dr. Harris regarding Grid.
  - Bernard Williams and Aimée Burgdorf have reviewed information sent from David Steinhart and have discussed adding other mitigating factors based on recommendations.

- The changes that were discussed during Dr. Leiber’s visit in February have been approved by the Court and sent to the developer. DAT 3.1 is in production and we are simply waiting on the developer to make the changes requested.
- b. Policy work is still being done in a variety of areas: Ongoing
- Bridgette and Jason Tate continue to meet regularly to discuss informal adjustments, SRT, GRID, Instructions for DAT use.
  - Bridgette & Bernard continue their work on policy reform for all court programs, and meet regularly with all program directors to assist with development.
- c. The focus of the Summons Review Team remains the same: to ensure that children and families are given referral information or assistance as well as having the point of contact diverted and not entered as a formal juvenile complaint. The Summons Review Team continues on its DMC operational policy work which is updated as needed (and we continue to incorporate and work on your recommendations and suggestions). In addition, the SRT Program is being evaluated, assessed and monitored by Bernard Williams, Bridgette Bowman, and Aimee Burgdorf consistently and the data is being collected and tracked in a non-JCS data file. The SRT program will be evaluated once per quarter.
- DMC issues are constantly being reviewed.
  - SRT is in the process of hiring 7 youth to work for the YMCA. We will host a workforce development class for them on May 1, 2018 at 3:30pm in preparation for their employment journey.
  - From March through April 2018, we have serviced 81 families out of 99. This represents an 82% success rate of families appearing for SRT group conferences.

### 3. DMC Reduction: Evaluation and Tools

Probation Counselors are continuing to use the revised “mapped” resource guide as a daily and are confident in its effectiveness in getting clients to quality service providers. We will continue to update as needed.

### 4. DMC Reduction: Evaluation and Tools

Monthly reviews of LEAP and DAT data are being assessed and sent to MPD Director Rallings, and detention is looked at daily by a variety of folks including our expeditor.

#### A. Precinct Liaison program

##### Old Allen:

Continued work is being done and this Precinct is continuing to show significant progress.

- Quarterly data from this precinct has been collected, analyzed and will be reported.

##### Tillman:

Debbie Holman is our second precinct liaison officer in the field and is up and going at the Tillman location.

- Currently have 3 months of data collected and will be analyzed in the coming weeks.
- Dr. Bernard Williams visited the precinct for technical assistance with regard to data collection & entry

**B. Parent Orientation**

*CJJC/Consortium request implemented by the Court*

- Website has been updated

**C. JDAI**

- Upcoming Quarterly meeting May 10<sup>th</sup>

**D. Shelby County DMC Coordinator efforts *(updates from Ms. Lisa Hill)***

- No New Updates at 4/25/2018 meeting

**E. Electronic Monitoring services**- have been expanded for pre-adjudicatory youth who don't pose an immediate danger to themselves, others, or the community. Electronic Monitoring serves as an alternative to secure detention and helps to direct, re-direct, educate and rehabilitate the youth. All Counselors have been handling Electronic Monitoring as a part of their caseloads. Pre-adjudicatory is monitored by Children's Bureau.

**F. The Ceasefire Gun program**- continues to be held on the fourth Tuesday of each month and continues to educate youth who have come in contact with the Court charged with a first-time misdemeanor gun offense. This has directly contributed in a decrease in DMC and the number of youth held in secure detention.

- On April 24, 2018 there were 20-children, 24-parents, 2 adult participants from 201 Poplar and 1 child transported from Davidson County in attendance.
- Additional attendees were: Royal Chapman (S.O.I.P. Seeds of Prevention); Todd Cash (TN Probation and Parole); US Federal District Attorney Young; Candace Saulsberry (Countywide Juvenile Justice Consortium) and 19 members from 901 Bloc Squad

**G. CJJC/Consortium** – The Consortium continues its meetings and surveys of parents and others, and the juvenile court liaison, Mr. Gary Cummings, attends these meetings as well as meets with individual members to discuss issues and any recommendations to the Court.

- A representative of the CJJC attended group conferences on last week and conducted evaluations with parents in the SRT group conferences. She also attended the Ceasefire Gun program on April 24<sup>th</sup>

**H. Expeditor** – Our expeditor reviews the daily detention report and reviews each and every child in detention at least weekly. She also reviews children who are in the electronic monitoring alternative program to determine when a child may be removed from monitoring. A multi-disciplinary meeting is held each Tuesday afternoon for the purpose of discussing youth who may qualify to be expedited out of detention due to new or updated circumstances. Debra works with Aimee for case processing outcomes to evaluate the time that it takes for

children's cases to be heard and disposed of in a timely manner. Debra attends detention hearings daily to ensure a timely court date within 7 days and to provide background and other helpful information to the judge, prosecutor, and defense attorney for consideration of detention alternatives.

**I. Expedite numbers are as follows:**

**April 2018: 75 Detainees screened**

4 Expedited youth reviewed

1 Released on EM (due to hospitalization)

0 Recidivated

The longest length of stay **45.29** days

**J. Youth Services Bureau Pre-Released Class-** The newly developed class was created to provide and avenue for juveniles placed in the Youth Services Bureau to be released from YSB supervision with tools given to abstain from have additional contact with Juvenile Court. During the class, the juvenile and the parent will receive a folder of mentoring, educational and employment booklets in addition to a certificate of completion.

- Pre-Release class held on April 25, 2018 with 11 participants

**K. Diversion Team (New Program)** – objective of the Diversion Team is to collaborate and assign youth to court programming. The Diversion Team consists of: Youth Court, Early Intervention Program (EIP), APS/BY-PASS, Ceasefire, and Children's Bureau. The Diversion Team meets weekly. The Secondly, Diversion Team ensures that youth are receiving the necessary services that will educate, intervene, and provide them with life skills that decreases recidivism and promotes healthy life outcomes.

- Diversion Team decreased petitions being filed by 51% from February to March 2018. Diversion Team diverted 48 youth in March and 66 youth in April.

**L. Truancy Intervention Meetings (New Program)**

- Usually Truancy cases go before the court judicially and with this intervention it keeps families from going deeper in the system by increasing accountability for parents and their children.

- Bridgette and AGA David Zak conducted their first truancy intervention meeting with parents and their children on Wednesday, April 18th. We had 23 out of 39 families show up for the truancy intervention meeting (59%).

#### **5. DMC Reduction: Evaluation and Tools**

Aimee has Case processing web calls through the JDAI Connect with Mark Soler every 4<sup>th</sup> Tuesday which began on October 24<sup>th</sup>

- More data is being collected and analyzed

#### **6. Training**

- The Court staff continues to utilize individualized training on youth suicide prevention thru the Jason Foundation online training course.
- The week of April 23<sup>rd</sup> we were able to conduct our 2- Day DMC refresher with our judicial staff. We now only have to train our new hires and we will be at 100% of training all staff at the court.

#### **7. Community Outreach**

The Court's Community Outreach Program continues to update our community about court resources and efforts and information is constantly posted on our dashboard, social media sites, and sent to media contacts to inform the community of progress toward reforms. The Court continues to work closely with the Consortium & utilizes any ideas that come to the Court from them. The Consortium continues to hold meetings and continues work with the Court and Mr. Gary Cummings, Director of Court Services, in particular.

- Next Quarterly Meeting will be in May 16, 2018 location TBA.
- Social Media communications, such as Facebook and Twitter, post information to the public on a regular basis.

**The next meeting will be Thursday, May 24, 2018 at 2:00 pm in Conference Room 307.**

# ATTACHMENT 7



**RRI Data: 2015, 2016 and 2017 Comparison**

	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017
	African-American	African-American	African-American	All Minorities	All Minorities	All Minorities
<b>Data Items</b>	<b>Relative Rate Index</b>	<b>Relative Rate Index</b>	<b>Relative Rate Index</b>	<b>Relative Rate Index</b>	<b>Relative Rate Index</b>	<b>Relative Rate Index</b>
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)						
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--		--	--	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4.26	4.45	3.50	3.78	3.94	3.07
4. Cases Diverted	0.91	0.95	0.97	0.91	0.95	0.97
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2.31	1.89	3.20	2.29	1.88	3.17
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	1.79	1.78	2.15	1.77	1.75	2.12
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.70	0.94	1.16	1.69	0.94	1.16
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	1.29	0.90	0.74	1.29	0.90	0.77
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.65	**	1.32	1.66	**	1.29
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	0.72	**	**	0.74

The numbers in red indicate that the results are statistically significant.

Cases referred decreased significantly when you add in the SRT kids.

Cases diverted went from .95 in 2016 to .97 in 2017, indicating that slightly more children of color are being diverted.

Secure detention went from 1.89 in 2016 to 3.20 in 2017, indicating that more children of color are being held in secure detention in 2017.

Cases petitioned went from 1.78 in 2016 to 2.15, which shows that there are slightly more children of color having cases petitioned.

Cases resulting in delinquent findings went from .94 in 2016 to 1.16 in 2017, indicating an increase in delinquent findings for children of color.

Cases resulting in probation went from .90 in 2016 to .74 in 2017, indicating that less children of color are receiving probation in the boxes in which \*\* are, it means that there were not enough cases to analyze appropriately.

In 2017, we had 1.32 in cases resulting in confinement in secure juvenile correctional facilities, meaning that for every 1 white child that was confined in a secure placement,

there was 1.32 Black children confined in a secure placement

In 2017, we had .72 in cases that were transferred to adult court, meaning that for every 1 white child that was transferred, there was .72 Black children transferred