ANNUAL REPORT

JUVENILE COURT OF MEMPHIS AND SHELBY COUNTY

616 Adams Avenue

Memphis, Tennessee 38105

2017

Dan H. Michael, Judge



Garland Erguden, *Chief Legal Officer* David. S. Walker, *Chief Magistrate*

Harold W. Horne, Magistrate Judge Marlinee Iverson, Magistrate Judge Mitzi Pollard, Magistrate Judge Raymond Lepone, Magistrate Judge
Terre Fratesi, Magistrate Judge
Carlyn Addison, Magistrate Judge

Nancy Kessler, *Chief Support Magistrate*Lyttonia Cunningham, *Child Support Magistrate*

Sheldon Y. McCall, *Child SupportMagistrate*Debra Sanders, *Child Support Magistrate*

Pamela Skelton, Chief Administrative Officer

Gary Cummings, Director of Court Services

A Message from the Judge


This annual report of the activities of the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County for calendar year 2017 represents my third year as presiding Judge, after taking the oath of office on September 1, 2014. This year was a busy and productive year as the Juvenile Court of Memphis & Shelby County continued its challenge of dedication, commitment and teamwork to all who work at the Court. This annual report can never adequately measure my distinct honor to serve the citizens of Shelby County, but it does provide an overview of the tireless work of hundreds of dedicated employees and volunteers on behalf of children and families in Shelby County.

For more than a century, Juvenile Court has engaged in a pursuit for excellence by constantly searching for ways to improve services while building upon research and evidence-based practices. Many juvenile justice initiatives undertaken at this Court have been adopted as models for other programs in Tennessee and across the nation. The process of developing new initiatives is constant. Several significant new measures occurred in 2017.

The Court continues to be diligent in our efforts to maintain Memphis and Shelby County's designation by the Annie E. Casey Foundation as a Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Site since in 2012, Juvenile Court led the efforts to create the organizational structure and develop successful work plans. In 2013, the Court helped add subcommittees for specific tasks in the areas of data collection and analysis, reduction of disproportionate minority contact (DMC), review and validation of the detention assessment tool (DAT), and alternatives to detention. In 2014, our JDAI representatives visited Santa Cruz, CA to learn more about the operation of an Evening Reporting Center (ERC). The visit sparked planning for a pilot ERC with a Court agency partner which was successfully implemented in 2015. Based upon the successful pilot program, the Court began in 2016 to utilize our ERC program, which is funded by the Shelby County Board of Commissioners, as both an alternative to detention and as a requirement for youth on supervised probation. In 2017, the efforts were started to add 3-additional ERC's to the city giving parents and their court involved youth these vital services in locations closer to their neighborhoods JDAI is now in effect in more than 200 counties across 39 states and the District of Columbia. The Court's efforts have resulted in improved collaboration among community agencies, significant reductions in the number of juveniles detained for less serious offenses, and fewer transports by law enforcement officers.

The mission to remain a model Trauma-Informed Court continued in 2017 as training provided by the University of Tennessee School of Medicine, Center for Health in Justice Involved Youth advanced to the next level. All JCMSC staff completed their Level II training and new hires were given both Level I & II sessions. Trauma training has vastly im-

proved our approach and effectiveness to providing services for the children we serve and their families.



The Honorable Dan H. Michael

Judge

Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County

After a 100-plus years in virtually the same location, in 2017 Shelby County Government commissioned a facilities study which gave us a close-up of the building we occupy and a professional evaluation of our needs, both short term and long term. Recommendations were made which produced pricetags that varied depending on which of the 3-capital improvement plans is ultimately chosen.

Congratulations are in order for staff members who retired over the last year including Dini Malone after 27-years of dedicated service and especially for those who have been promoted to new positions and management including Bridgette Bowman – Chief Probation Officer; Martha Rogers – Administrator; Jason Tate – Deputy Chief Probation Officer; Erica Glynn – Deputy Administrator; Bernard Williams – Deputy Administrator – DMC; Jacqueline Parsons – Manager; Sharon Fuller – Supervisor; Debra Salters – Court Expeditor; Eric Roberts – Coordinator. As Judge, I will always look internally first to attempt to fill positions and promote deserving members of the team to higher levels of responsibility and pay.

In 2017 Juvenile Court continued to pursue reform efforts in accordance with the **Memorandum of Agreement (MOA)** between the U. S. Department of Justice and the Court and Shelby County Government. A letter was sent at the request of United States Attorney General Jeff Sessions to

 Juvenile	Court 2	2017	Annual	Re	port

the Department of Justice requesting recognition of the outstanding progress by JCMSC and the removal of the monitors ending the DOJ's oversight of the court while showing substantial completion and compliance with the MOA. The Department of Justice commended our progress and urged JCMSC to continue with our efforts but kept the monitors in place. In recognition of the many reforms our Court implemented before 2012, DOJ determined the Court should continue to build on its previous reforms and become the model for juvenile justice in the United States.

Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County, Tennessee Organizational Chart




Table of Contents

A Message From the Judge	2
Organizational Chart	4
Statistical Summary	6
Court Clerk's Office	7
Collections Department	7
Accounting Department	8
Minute Department	8
Cost Clerk's Department	8
Central Records Department	8
Process/Parent Location.	8
Legal Department	9
Advocate for Noncustodial Parents	9
Judge's Action Center	9
Office of the Guardian ad litem	9
Juvenile Defender Panel	10
Office of Clinical Services	10
Court Service Division	11
Evaluation and Referral Section.	12
Children's Bureau	13
Corrective Services Department	13
Protective Services Department	14
Youth Services Bureau	16
Volunteer Services Bureau	16
Auxiliary Probation Services	16
Poster Care Services	17
COMEC	17
CASA	18
Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement	21
Juvenile Detention Services	21
Hope Academy	21
Juvenile Data	22
Administrative Services Division	56
Interagency Services	63
Disproportionate Minority Contact	64
Index	66

The following table is a brief statistical summary that reveals to some extent the Court's progress and the effectiveness and efficiency of its services during the past five years.

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Staff	249	255	164	157	161
Budget - Fiscal Year 2013-2017	\$18.448.956	\$18,747,969	\$13,414,658	\$12,923,677	\$12,818,468
Children's Cases					
Other - Custody, Etc.	1,054	927	951	980	1,031
Dependent and Neglected	3,017	2,647	2,697	2,501	2,568
Unruly and Runaway	1,848	1,137	1,245	859	1,029
Delinquent	9,105	7,383	6,200	4,958	5,095
Traffic (Summoned/Taken into custody)	79	62	29	34	16
Total Children's Cases	15,103	12,156	11,122	9,332	9,739
Traffic Citations	2,977	2,706	2,789	2,618	2,483
Children Admitted to Detention Center					
Dependent and Neglected	0	0	2	0	4
Unruly and Runaway	4	0	0	0	4
Delinquent	1,440	1,272	938	871	850
Traffic	0	0	0	0	0
Transient (Previously included in Unruly.)	8	0	7	3	2
Special (Previously included in Delinquent.)	52	32	21	25	9
Federal (Previously included in Delinquent.)	0	0	0	0	0
Total Children Admitted	1,504	1,304	968	899	869
Children Committed to DCS Corrective	241	184	155	182	236
Children Committed to DCS Protective	715	442	570	644	653
Children Committed to YSB	368	319	300	313	298
Children Transferred as Adults	90	77	47	71	92
Child Support cases heard	31,675	27,237	22,410	25,359	28,314
All others (Childrens cases heard)	14,662	13,162	14,610	16,219	17,448

^{*} SRT Summons #'s have been added into the 2017 Children's Cases total of 9,739 for 2017. The SRT Summons #'s reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*} Traffic Citations for 2013 are different than what was reported for 2013 due to a miscalculation of numbers.

COURT CLERK'S OFFICE JOY TOULIATOS

Juvenile Court Clerk

Harvey Henderson, Chief Administrative Officer
Teresa Garvins, Administrator
Bill Pope, Accounting
Alexandra Pappas, Administrative Assistant
Julia Wiseman, Central Records Department
Kathy Adams, Collections Department
Karen Brooks, Minute Department
Adreine Williams, Court Cost
Calvin Walk, Process/ Parent Location
LaDonna Graham, Special Projects Coordinator

The goal of the Clerk's Office in 2017 was to continue to function efficiently and effectively, to respond to the needs of the families of our community, and to generate revenue through collection of court ordered fines and fees, grant contracts and state reimbursement to offset the cost of court operation.

In 2009, the Child Support Services Division at Juvenile Court was not awarded the state contract for the first time since 1976. The state contract for child support services for Shelby County went to Maximus, a private agency, beginning in July 2009. Unlike in previous years, the IV-D Child Support Agency is no longer located in the Juvenile Court building but at an off-site location. This transition in child support services has impacted the Clerk's Office operation in all areas from processing petitions filed for establishment, enforcement, genetic testing and all other related child support issues as well as "Clerk Services" reimbursement from the State. The Clerk's Office staff continues to work closely with Maximus to help meet and process the workload efficiently through this transition period.

In 2017, the Clerk's Office secured a grant contract with the State of Tennessee for the twelfth consecutive year in the amount of \$1,359,172 for "service of process" in all Title IV-D child support cases. This grant shifts 66% of the total cost of funding fifteen full time positions to the State leaving the Clerk's Office budget responsible for the remaining 34%.

The Juvenile Court Clerk's Office and staff will continue to serve the public to the best of our ability with proven effective leadership, accountability and integrity.



Joy Touliatos

Clerk of Court

COLLECTIONS DEPARTMENT

Kathy Adams, Manager

The Collections Department within the Court Clerk's Office is responsible for the collection and processing of all monies assessed and paid through the Juvenile Court system. This includes child support payments by mail or in person, employer payrolls, all cash window activity generated from courtroom dockets, walk-ins, as well as fees and fines assessed non-judicially such as conferences, court costs, and traffic ticket violations. This area of the Clerk's Office also handles the writing of all appearance bonds, juvenile or adult, cash or surety, and collection and disbursement of court-ordered restitution payments.

Beginning in October 1999, most child support collections were re-directed to the "centralized collections" process through the State of Tennessee in Nashville. However, the Court Clerk's Office still processed \$5,281,069.08 in child support transactions in 2017. The Clerk's Office also received in 2017 a total of \$834,619.31 in state reimbursements for "Clerk Services" associated with these collections/transactions and filings under the IV-D Child Support Program.

ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT

Bill Pope, Manager Brent Weirich, Accountant

This department is responsible for accounting and internally auditing the bookkeeping procedures of the Collections Department on a daily and monthly basis. At the end of each month, collected revenue that has been deposited daily through the Shelby County Trustee's Office is remitted to the County Department of Finance to be deposited into the County general fund, and all account balances are reconciled to their respective bank statements. At the end of each fiscal year, reports are prepared for the state-mandated external audit by an independent accounting/auditing firm. In addition to these routine banking responsibilities, the Accounting Department along with the Clerk has the fiduciary responsibility of managing approximately 661 victims' compensation accounts. These funds, issued by the state Treasury Department under the Criminal Injuries Compensation Program, are put into interest-bearing trust accounts for minors, and currently total almost \$4,588,249.59.

MINUTE DEPARTMENT

Karen Brooks, Manager Katha Robards, Supervisor

The minutes of the Juvenile Court constitute the official record of the Court. There are eleven Minute Clerks, who are responsible for recording all the Court's proceedings and decisions and for preparing Court orders and entering those orders in the minutes.

Minute Clerks attend eighty-one scheduled dockets weekly, in addition to special dockets, special hearings, and Foster Care Review Board. In 2017, there were approximately 112,472 pages of minutes were compiled representing over 52,745 individual Juvenile Court orders.

COST CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

Adreine Williams, Supervisor

As provided by law, court costs are assessed in all cases before the Court, and the Cost Clerk's Department is responsible for maintenance and collection of these fees. In 2017, collections totaled \$340,605.30.

CENTRAL RECORDS DEPARTMENT

Julia Wiseman, Manager Freda Lewis, Supervisor

Central Records Department personnel are responsible for building, housing and securely maintaining all Juvenile Court records. Currently there are over 1,380,661 records housed in the Clerk's Office. In 2017, there were 6,333 legal records created and 2,100 social records created. This department also serves to provide assistance and information to the general public, court personnel, social service agencies, attorneys and law enforcement agencies by providing accurate and appropriate information required in the processing and handling of cases through the Juvenile Court.

PROCESS/PARENT LOCATION

Calvin Walk, Manager Lori Reece, Supervisor Parent Location

The process Department is responsible for the service of all legal documents that require appearance in court. In 2017, 12,241 summons, subpoenas, and scire facias were successfully served. Except in rare and extreme cases, all documents are received ready for service by the Process Department within a minimum of five days prior to a scheduled court date.

The Parent Location Department is responsible for preparing jail arrest papers for the court dockets. In addition, direct access to the Sheriff's Department computer system known as the Jail Management Systems (JMS) allows staff to enter and update current status of all juvenile and adult warrants in a timely manner.

Staff in Parent Location funded under the IV-D State Contract is responsible for all tasks specific to the grant. First and foremost, this staff must enter timesensitive data daily into the Tennessee Child Support Enforcement System relative to the status of service on all IV-D Child Support Cases.

Juvenile Court Legal Departments

Pamela Skelton, Chief Administrative Officer

The Office of the Chief Administrative Officer provides assistance to the Court staff in pursuit of their administrative responsibilities. In addition, the Chief Administrative Officer serves as liaison between Juvenile Court and the Office of the Shelby County Attorney. The Chief Administrative Officer also serves as liaison between Juvenile Court and state and federal government and as legislative liaison between the Court and the General Assembly of the State of Tennessee. This office ensures compliance with and implementation of extensive federal and state regulations and legislation relating to the operation of Juvenile Court.

Advocate for Noncustodial Parents

Laurie Neale, Supervising Attorney
Blake Morrison, Attorney
Nancy Schiller, Attorney
Jina Shoaf, Attorney

People representing themselves in court often need help. In 2006, the Office of the Advocate for Noncustodial Parents was established. Attorneys provide assistance to pro se litigants in understanding their rights and responsibilities under the law, and guidance in the use of the proper legal forms. Help is available with issues such as child support, paternity, custody, and visitation. This innovative office reflects Judge Michael's commitment that Juvenile Court be open and accessible to the large number of persons who are self-represented. With 3,250 contacts in 2017, this office provided services to numerous parents and family members.

Judge's Action Center

Thomas W. Coupé, Supervising Attorney Yolanda Joshua, Coordinator

The Judge's Action Center was created in 2008 to serve as a liaison between Juvenile Court and the public to help answer questions or address concerns for people who have issues involving Juvenile Court of child welfare matters.

The Action Center provides helpful and timely answers for those who have questions concerning Juvenile Court, assists people with problems that have previously gone unresolved and receives suggestions on how to improve court operations.

Office of the Guardian ad litem

Belynda Dwyer, Supervising Attorney Sarah Kyle Gilmer, Coordinator

The Office of the Guardian ad litem is charged with assuring competent legal representation for children and parents in required Juvenile Court cases in compliance with Federal and State laws and Juvenile Court policy and procedure. The Office is also charged with serving as a liaison between Guardians ad litem, appointed counsel, private attorneys, the Tennessee Department of Children's Services, departments within Juvenile Court and other stake holders to promote the best practices and policies in children's cases.

The Attorney Coordinator facilitates the appointment of a Guardian ad litem, an appropriately trained and licensed lawyer, to advocate for the best interests of a child in any proceeding in which:

- The child has no parent, guardian, or other custodian appearing on the child's behalf
- The child's interest may conflict with those of the parent, guardian, or custodian
- The child is alleged to be abused
- The allegation of harm falls within the mandatory child abuse reporting laws; or
- The proceeding is a contested termination of parental rights case

The Attorney Coordinator also facilitates the appointment of counsel for qualified indigent parents in dependency and neglect and termination of parental rights cases and parents involving application of the Federal Civil Service member Act.

In 2017, approximately 1,361 Guardians ad litem and 1,013 parents' counsel were appointed in children's cases, with a total number of 2,374 cases assigned.

Juvenile Defender Panel

Scot Bearup, Coordinator

The Juvenile Defender's office is managed by a Coordinator who supervises a staff of 14 private attorneys, known as the Juvenile Defender Panel, and one investigator. Of those attorneys, all handle detention and delinquency dockets. Some of those attorneys also handle the child support contempt dockets and education neglect/truancy dockets. All attorneys are duly licensed and in good standing to practice, and have juvenile and criminal defense experience ranging from 3 to 38 years. The Panel defends juveniles charged with delinquent offenses ranging from disorderly conduct to first degree murder.

The Defender's Office is appointed to provide legal defense to all indigent juveniles within 24 hours of their detention in delinquency matters (offenses classified as felony and misdemeanor). In felony classified cases, (for example, aggravated robbery, robbery, aggravated kidnapping, kidnapping, aggravated assault, aggravated rape, rape, sexual battery, murder first, murder second, and voluntary manslaughter), panel attorneys work diligently towards a disposition that will avoid transfer to adult court and retain the child in the juvenile system for rehabilitation. All cases classified as misdemeanor, truancy and unruly are heard in Juvenile Court and rehabilitative services are provided within the Juvenile System.

The Defender's Office also works with the families, support organizations, schools and mental health facilities to protect the juvenile's interest and litigate for placement in the appropriate program for rehabilitation.

Defenders are also appointed to represent indigent adults (both incarcerated and walk-ins) charged with contempt of court for either failure to pay child support or failure to comply with parental visitation orders.

From January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017, the Juvenile Defender Panel represented 742 cases assigned on the delinquency docket. There were 12,300 adult defendants cited for failure to comply with orders of child support in contempt proceedings and of those, 5,307 (40.95 %) utilized services of the Defender Panel..

Office of Clinical Services

Tucker Johnson, Ph.D.

Paige Myrick, Admin Tech

The Office of Clinical Services is responsible for conducting comprehensive psychological evaluations ordered by the Court for youths facing delinquent/unruly complaints. Assessments are individualized and address such issues as behavior and mental health; intellectual, achievement, and adaptive functioning; substance use; history of trauma; psychosexual development; malingering; emotional and cognitive maturity; treatment amenability; cultural and gender considerations; risk and protective factors related to violent offending; and treatment/ intervention needs. Sixty-nine evaluations were completed in 2017.

The Office of Clinical Services maintains a formal partnership with the University of Tennessee Health Science Center through the UT Professional Psychology Internship Consortium (UTPPIC). Pre-doctoral interns complete a 4-month Juvenile Court clinical rotation, where they are trained in best practices for juvenile forensic evaluations. The training opportunities provided to future psychologists are consistently rated highly by the pre-doctoral interns.

The primary objective of the Office of Clinical Services is to provide the Court with comprehensive information about each assessed juvenile to assist with adjudicatory or post-adjudicatory disposition based on identified mental health and other risk needs, consistent with the philosophy of the Juvenile Justice system.

Court Services Division

Gary Cummings, Director

The Court Services Division includes the Children's Bureau, and the Youth Services Bureau, which now contains the Evaluation and Referral Section. Court Services provides pre-adjudicatory and post-adjudicatory services to children brought to the attention of Juvenile Court. The Court Services Division is mandated by Tennessee Code Annotated, Title 37 to meet the following statutory requirements:

- Provide for the care, protections, and wholesome development of children coming within its provisions;
- Remove from children committing delinquent acts the taint of criminality and the consequences of criminal behavior and substitute a program of treatment, training and rehabilitation;
- Avoid whenever possible separating children from their parents only when necessary to protect the child's welfare or in the interest of public safety;
- Assure that all parties are assured a fair hearing and their constitutional and other legal rights are recognized and enforced;
- Deinstitutionalize children who have not been found to be delinquent.

In 2017, 9,739 children were brought to the attention of Juvenile Court on matters relating to delinquency, unruly behavior, and dependency and neglect. This is an increase of 4.36% from the 9,332 cases that came to the Court in 2016. An additional 2,483 children were issued traffic citations representing a -5.16% decrease over the 2,618 cases the previous year. While the number of cases has dropped significantly over the past ten years, the Court continues to see more children diagnosed with serious mental health and physical issues that contribute to the difficulty of finding appropriate treatment and placement resources. The Evaluation and Referral Section of the Youth Services Bureau is responsible for finding the appropriate mental health services ordered by the Court. They are also responsible for monitoring the progress of the treatment to ensure compliance of court orders.

Over the past six years, the Court has worked with the Shelby County Schools in the School House Adjustment Program Enterprise (SHAPE) to help reduce the number of children taken into custody from 40 targeted city schools. The Juvenile Court has partnered with the Memphis Police Department and the Shelby County Sheriff's Department to implement the Juvenile Summons Program. This program requests that law enforcement officers issue juvenile summonses in lieu of physical arrest on seven designated offenses.

In September of 2013, the Court entered into a Memorandum of Agreement with the Shelby County Sheriff's Office to implement the Law Enforcement Assessment Phone-in Pilot Program (LEAP) to help reduce the number of children who are physically arrested and brought to Sheriff's deputies may call the Central Juvenile Court. Detention Admissions Office to seek assistance on the necessity of physical arrests. Juvenile Summonses may be issued in lieu of arrest. Officers may also seek advice on other options that would allow children to remain in their home or community placement pending review of their complaint. The LEAP program was expanded to include the Memphis Police Department in 2014, and added the Germantown and Collierville Police Departments in 2015. Our hope is to include other local law enforcement jurisdictions.

These efforts to reduce the number of physical arrests have resulted in the Juvenile Court being designated by the Annie E. Casey Foundation as a Juvenile Detention Alternatives (JDAI) site. While the Detention Services Bureau works to reduce the number of children detained, public safety and the safety of the children are always paramount. Every effort is made to place children in a safe and secure environment pending the outcome of their case.

The Children's Bureau was responsible for resolving 12,222 children's cases presented to the Court in 2017. This represents a 2.28% increase from the previous year's 11,950 children's cases. The Children's Bureau consists of two divisions; the Corrective Services Department and the Protective Services Department. The Corrective Services Department handles cases of children charged with delinquent and traffic charges. Counselors assigned to the Non-Custodial Diversion Section work with unruly children who have not yet been officially referred to the Court. Referrals to local child-serving agencies assist parents with counseling and behavior management programs.

In an effort to better serve children and their families, the Corrective Services Department has created and implemented multiple programs that takes a non-traditional approach to juvenile justice. Examples of such initiatives include programs like Parent Orientation, Summons Review Team, Diversion Team, and the Precinct Liaison Program. The Summons Review Team, Diversion Team and the Precinct Liaison programs were all created to provide qualified children with alternatives to detention and other formal court proceedings. As a result, many children are afforded a second chance to improve behavior, make better decisions, and avoid having a formal juvenile record. Likewise, these initiatives connect children and their families to service providers and other resources that are committed to helping them become more successful.

In addition to great programming, the Corrective Services Department and the Protective Services Department is also committed to being knowledgeable and in tune with the population it serves. Therefore, the staff continues to be trained on various subjects to include Adverse Childhood Experiences, Teen Suicide, and Disproportionate Minority Contact.

Protective Services Department handles children who are alleged to be dependent and neglected as well as custody and visitation matters. The probation counselors in the Children's Bureau work closely with the Tennessee Department of Children's Services, the Tennessee Department of Human Services and many local private agencies to ensure that the safest and least restrictive placements are made for all children.

The Youth Services Bureau (YSB) provides a post adjudicatory continuum of graduated sanction for children and allows them to remain in their homes under close supervision. During 2017, the Youth Services Bureau received 298 referrals, placing children under supervision in several different programs: Case Management, Intensive Case Management, Drug Offenders Control System (DOCS), and electronic monitoring to include voice recognition, radio frequency and Global Positioning Satellites (GPS). Case Management and Intensive Case Management are used for children needing a higher level of supervision. Case managers visit the children in their homes and schools to ensure that they are in compliance with the orders of the Court. The DOCS Program is designed to monitor children who have committed drug offenses or who are in need of drug education. Violators of these monitoring strategies are brought to the attention of the Juvenile Court Magistrates and may be subject to further sanctions for violations of the conditions of placement.

The Evaluation and Referral Section (E & R) works in collaboration with other bureaus at the Court to meet the ever growing mental health needs of children coming to the attention of Juvenile Court. In 2017, E & R served 680 families and made numerous referrals to community service providers. Once the needs are identified, E & R moves quickly to find resources appropriate for the child and the family. Many local child serving agencies provide an array of treatment services from inpatient care to outpatient group and individual therapeutic counseling. Compliance and progress are monitored by the juvenile service counselors assigned to E & R. Non-compliance with court ordered treatment may result in children and families being brought back to court.

The Evaluation and Referral Section

Nancy Roll, Manager

Established in 1989, the Evaluation and Referral Section (E&R) is mandated with seeking treatment and rehabilitation of court involved youth. The bureau was changed to a section in 2016, and placed with the Youth Services Bureau E&R recognizes that many children who present to the court do so for a number of reasons, including having unidentified and/or untreated mental health, substance abuse or other special needs. These unmet needs gravely affect decision making and behavior. The E&R Section works closely with other areas of the Court to identify the needs specific to each family. During 2015, the Court implemented the Youth Assessment and Screening Instrument, which identifies youth with high risk factors. E&R also utilizes the Trauma Screen and Child PTSD Symptom Scale to identify children exposed to trauma. This assessment tool has provided E&R with the opportunity to identify youth with high risk factors. Once these needs and risk factors are identified, referrals are made to community service providers and compliance and progress in treatment are closely monitored. Ultimately, the goal of the Evaluation and Referral Bureau is to promote healthy development and resilience, reduce recidivism and assist youth in developing into productive members of society. Because each child is unique, and because multiple individuals, life circumstances and experiences effect development, the Evaluation and Referral Bureau takes a holistic, strength-based approach when working with Courtinvolved youth and families.

During the 2017 calendar year, 680 youth were referred to E&R for services. The substantial increase in referrals necessitated hiring new staff.

Matthew Ian John, Chief Probation Officer Mamie G. Jones, Deputy Chief Probation Officer

The Children's Bureau is responsible for handling a diverse caseload. The Corrective Services Department handles all delinquent matters while the Protective Services Department handles cases alleging dependency and neglect as well as visitation and custody issues. In 2017, the Children's Bureau handled 9,739 cases.

To handle such a diverse caseload, the Children's Bureau maintains a close working relationship with other departments of the Court. Additionally, the Children's Bureau works with law enforcement and child placement agencies outside the Court.

The Corrective Services Department, consisting of the Probation Sections and the Non-Custodial Diversion Section, handles a wide range of juvenile offenses. Dispositions in these cases vary from community service to probation, placement in the Youth Services Bureau, commitment to the Tennessee Department of Children's Services or transfer to Criminal Court

The Probation Section is responsible for the majority of pre-hearing investigations and casework in delinquency cases including juvenile summonses issued by law enforcement agencies throughout Shelby County.

In 2016, the Corrective Services Department began utilizing pre-adjudicatory Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) monitoring as a detention alternative. GPS monitoring of pre-adjudicatory youth prevents those youth from being held in the Detention Center and allows them to remain in the community while waiting on their court appearance. There were 232 referrals for the year.

Corrective Services Department

Lakeisa Martin, Manager, Corrective Services

Martha Rogers, Manager, Non-Custodial

Diversion Section

Jacqueline Parson, Supervisor, Corrective Services

Jason Tate, Supervisor, Corrective Services

The Non-Custodial Diversion Section provides assistance for unruly children, processes truancy complaints, handles thousands of traffic violations each year, and coordinates the Community Service and Mediation and Restitution/Reconciliation Services.

The Non-Custodial Diversion staff handles walkin clients that are experiencing difficulty with the behavior of unruly children. Appropriate cases are referred to local agencies for counseling. In 2017, this section handled 310 unruly cases.

In 2017, the non-custodial diversion section began its Ceasefire Program. Ceasefire is a collaborative effort between Juvenile Court, the Tennessee Department of Corrections, the US Attorney's Office and Memphis Police Department. Ceasefire is a court-ordered program that works toward deterring serious gang and youth gun violence. There were 192 Ceasefire referrals in 2017.

The Community Service Program is a symbolic restitution program for children who have committed various types of offenses. Delinquent youth are placed with public and private non-profit agencies that provide work assignments and supervision. There were 431 referrals to the program in 2017.

Protective Services Department

Robert Michael Blancett, Manager Erica N. Glynn, Supervisor Demetria Maxwell-Hughlett, Supervisor

The Protective Services Department consists of Juvenile Services Counselors that are responsible for handling all matters that involve child abuse, neglect, custody and visitation. Protective Services counselors held conferences with approximately 5,276 individual clients during 2017 as well as provided assistance to approximately 7,882 children. Protective Services provides assistance to individuals, both relatives and nonrelatives; agencies, such as the Tennessee Department of Children's Services; and both private and court appointed attorneys in preparing and filing petitions that contain allegations of abuse and neglect against children. The Protective Services Department processed a total of **3,490** complaints during 2017. There were approximately 2,492 dependency and neglect complaints filed, 76 Termination of Parental Rights complaints filed, and 922 custody and visitation complaints filed in 2017.

The Protective Services Counselors ensure that all cases are set on the docket to be heard by a magistrate or the Judge. Protective Services counselors are also responsible for making referrals to the Tennessee Department of Children's Services on each case that comes into their office so that the allegations on the petitions may be properly investigated and the results can be presented to the Judge or Magistrate that hears the case. The counselors are also responsible for ensuring a referral is made requesting a guardian ad litem (court appointed attorney) be appointed for each child's case.

The Protective Services Department also assists clients with obtaining protective custody orders for removing children from environments or persons that are found to be an eminent harm or danger to the child. Counselors in this department also assist noncustodial parents in filing petitions for visitation of children born out of wedlock. Obtaining emergency medical treatment orders for doctors to provide medical treatment to children in life threatening situations is also a very important responsibility of this department.

The Protective Services Department works closely with the Tennessee Department of Children's Services, Court Appointed Special Advocates, private attorneys, law enforcement personnel, Memphis and Shelby County School officials, and private child placing agencies. The staff also works closely with other organizations such as the Child Protection Investigation Team housed at the Child Advocacy Center. The Child Protection Investigation Team is a coordinated interagency multidisciplinary response to prevent, detect, investigate, treat and prosecute child sexual abuse and severe physical abuse.

Protective Services also has had involvement with the Department of Justice's Defending Childhood Initiative. This initiative addresses children's exposure to violence. Goals are to prevent children's exposure to violence as victims and witnesses, mitigate the negative effects experienced by children exposed to violence, and develop knowledge and increase awareness of this initiative.

The Protective Services Department plays an important role in the Shelby County Interagency Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team. This team assists local agencies in identifying and reviewing domestic abuse deaths, including homicides and suicides, and facilitating communication among the various agencies involved in domestic abuse cases. Determining whether or not children were witnesses to or exposed to these fatalities and if they were related to either the victim or the offender is also an important concern of this team. Members of this team include personnel from the coroner's office, law enforcement, District Attorney's office, Family Safety Center, Department of Children's Services, and other governmental and private agencies.

The Protective Services Department of the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County also is involved with other initiatives and collaborations. The Protective Services Department is an Endorsing Partner of the Shelby County Early Success Coalition. The mission of the Early Success Coalition is to promote and expand effective outreach, education and direct services for families with young children, preconception to age six, that together offer families an integrated, comprehensive set of resources to help them provide their children with the strongest foundation for lifelong success.

Protective Services also works with the Tennessee Citizen Review Panel. The purpose of the CRP is to examine the effectiveness of state and local child protection systems in accordance with: (1) the state Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA) plan, child protection standards and (2) other criteria the panel considers important including (a) child protective services coordination with foster care and adoption and (b) review of child protective services cases. This panel is to submit an annual report each year to the Commissioner of DCS and the state's Governor documenting work activities and progress toward the achievement of statewide outcomes.

14

The Protective Services Department works with the Tennessee Commission on Children and Youth. TCCYs vision is that all children in Tennessee are safe, healthy, educated, nurtured and supported, and engaged in activities that provide the opportunities to achieve their fullest potential. The Tennessee Commission on Children and Youth mission is to advocate improving the quality of life for children and families and providing leadership and support for child advocates. The Protective Services Department in the Children's Bureau of the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County is very pleased to be working with all of these organizations in their efforts to ensure the safety and well-being of the youth and children in Memphis and Shelby County.

Volunteer Services Bureau

Pam Taylor, Administrator

Volunteer Services Bureau oversees and provides administrative support for Juvenile Court volunteer programs.

In 2017, approximately 600 individuals and organizations throughout Memphis and Shelby County donated over 60,000 hours of volunteer services and other contributions to assist children and families served by Juvenile Court.

Volunteer opportunities at Juvenile Court include:

- Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)
- Ambassador Program
- Foster Care Review Board (FCRB)
- Youth Court
- Commission on Missing and Exploited Children (COMEC)
- Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

The volunteer programs at Juvenile Court offer immeasurable service to the community. The dedication of volunteers helping children and families in our community is admirable.

As a part of community outreach, Volunteer Services Bureau visited several organizations and provided onsite virtual tours to approximately 100 participants during 2017. Virtual tours are provided to motivate youth to refrain from inappropriate behavior and to educate the public on Court programs and operations.

Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) Eric Roberts, Coordinator

Created in 1964, the Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) was the first volunteer probation program of its kind in the nation. The Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) provides Court ordered services for the supervision, guidance, protection and welfare of youth in Memphis and Shelby County.

In 2017, the Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) continued to thrive. It remains the oldest and largest volunteer probation service of its kind. In 2017, approximately 164 active Auxiliary Probation Officers contributed over 28,000 hours supervising approximately 115 youth placed on supervised probation and the BYPASS Program. The

BYPASS Program (Bringing Youth Positive Assistance through Special Services) is an alternative to probation for

very young children with minor offenses. The BYPASS Program is very successful in keeping many youth from future delinquent behavior.

During 2017, members of the Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) were honored for their outstanding contributions and dedicated service: Officer Noah Quinton received the APS Leadership Award, Officer Michael Jackson received the Jesse H. Bishop Award, Officer LaSunja Taylor received the Clara Hill Award and Chief Mary George received the APS Division Chief of the Year Award.

Members celebrating anniversaries include:

- 40 Years: Officer James Fisher
- 20 Years: Officer William Gardner
- 15 Years: Officer Kerry Clay
- 10 Year: Officer Ivan Walton

Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) - Summons Division

Nataki Oyeleye-Dowdy, Coordinator

In 2010, Juvenile Court of Memphis & Shelby County spearheaded a movement for local law enforcement to issue a summons instead of arresting and detaining first and second time juvenile offenders who commit a misdemeanor offense. The seven (7) misdemeanor offenses include: criminal trespass, simple assault, simple possession of marijuana, theft of property (\$500 or less), vandalism of property (\$500 or less), gambling, and disorderly conduct.

The Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)-Summons Division was created in September 2010 to address the increased volume of summons received by Juvenile Court due to the success of the innovative summons policy.

Auxiliary Probation Officers (APOs) conduct the summons conferences at Juvenile Court. During the conference, the arrest ticket is reviewed and the youth is counseled to determine guilt and the reason for the crime. The Auxiliary Probation Officer documents any special observations to determine if the juvenile needs to be referred for specialized counseling (anger management, substance abuse, depression). If the juvenile admits guilt, signs a Court waiver and pays Court costs the juvenile will not have a Juvenile Court record.

In 2017, Volunteer Services Bureau received 62 summonses. Approximately 4 active Auxiliary Probation Officers contributed approximately 532 hours conducting 38 conferences.

Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) Mentoring Division Nataki Oyeleye-Dowdy, Coordinator

The APS-Mentoring Division was established in 2012 to enhance the APS-Summons Program.

The mission of the APS-Mentoring Division is to collaborate with citizens of Memphis and Shelby County and redirect children identified by the Court as being in the very early stages of delinquency by effectively using a combination of mentoring activities such as:

- Life Skills Training
- Job Skills Training
- Motivational Speeches
- Recreational Activities
- Common Sense Counseling
- Anger Management Counseling

Referrals to the APS-Mentoring Program are made by the Judge, Magistrate Judges and the APS-Mentoring Coordinator. In 2017, seven referrals were made to the program.

Foster Care Services

Laurie Neale, Supervising Attorney Melissa Ungberg, Coordinator

Foster Care Services is responsible for the oversight and review of post-adjudicatory children placed in the custody of the Tennessee Department of Children's Services subsequent to findings of dependency, neglect, and unruly or delinquent behavior to ensure their safety, well-being, permanency, and rehabilitation. In 2017, 2,400 children were docketed before Magistrates to approved permanency and transitional living plans. The children in foster care are also reviewed by Foster Care Review Board, a quasi-judicial board comprised of volunteers. Board Members review quarterly reports, treatment plans, health, and educational records submitted by the Department of Children's Services and make recommendations to the Court.

These findings and recommendations pertain to:

- Necessity of continuing foster care, the appropriateness of the placement, and the projected date a child can exit by adoption or permanent placement.
- Level of participation of all involved parties in fulfilling their plan responsibilities.

- Extent of progress in the elimination of issues that necessitated placement in care.
- Necessity of filing a termination of parental rights action if timely progress has not been made towards reunification
- Development of Transitional Plan and life Skills for those aging out of foster care.

In 2017, the Foster Care Review Board has reviewed 2,279 cases resulting in approximately 2,352 volunteer hours. The boards also review youth ages 18-21 accepting Extension of Foster Care. These board members in conjunction with the Tennessee Board of Regents were able to retain the 47 young adults in EFC by ensuring they are getting the necessary support to remain academically eligible for the program, There are currently approximately 98 active and dedicated Foster Care Review Board Members, and they are to be commended for the dedication and service to the children and families of our community

Commission on Missing and Exploited Children

Len K. Edwards, Captain MPD (Ret), Executive Director

The Commission on Missing and Exploited Children (COMEC) is a non-profit charitable agency that began its life at the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County in 1984. The agency was formed after a series of highly publicized child disappearances. By design COMEC was established as a collaborative effort to better address the prevention and intervention needs in regard to missing and exploited children.

COMEC is one of this area's premier resources regarding child safety and works tirelessly to protect children and assist parents with issues that affect their children. COMEC collaborates with the Memphis Police Department, the Shelby County Sheriff's Department and many other local, state and national law enforcement agencies. COMEC also receives a large volume of walk-in citizen traffic from the Juvenile Court. These parents and caregivers seek information and guidance regarding child custody issues, children with behavioral issues and many other issues that affect children.

In 2017, COMEC:

• Presented Child Safety Education Programs (Runaway/Abduction/Trafficking/Internet Safety).

- Provided 53 free community trainings/in-services at schools, churches and other public gatherings.
- Participated in Foster Care Review Board meetings.
- Assisted 147 searching parents in reuniting with their children.
- Provided 84 free substance abuse screenings to children whose parent's suspected drug use.
- Provided 49 free counseling sessions to parents and children.
- Distributed over 1,000 Anti-Bullying flyers.
- Distributed over 500 free Child Safety Fingerprint Identification Kits.
- Provided a free Teen Drug Hotline, 901-222-0702 COMEC's mission is to provide complimentary beneficial services to the public in an effort to support the work of the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County, the areas Law Enforcement Agencies. Most importantly, COMEC provides services free of charge.

Court Appointed Special Advocates

Daphni Ishak, Program Director

Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) became a program of The Exchange Club Family Center, Inc. July 1, 2013. CASA of Memphis and Shelby County began in 1986 under the late, Kenneth A. Turner, former judge of the Shelby County Juvenile Court as a result of a national movement by juvenile court judges to look for alternative ways to make sure the best interests of children would be consistently presented to the court. Our mission is to empower community volunteers to be advocates in court for abused and neglected children needing safe, permanent homes. In 2017, 40 active volunteers served 247 children and their families and contributed over 5,000 hours of service.

CASA volunteers receive in depth training to support their role as an advocate and are appointed by the Juvenile Court Judge to advocate for the best interest of an abused or neglected child in court. Once assigned to a child's case, volunteers are professionally supervised through the investigative process of gathering information from the child, their families, educators, social service professionals, health care providers, and any other persons having information regarding the child's case. Upon completion of the investigation, the findings are presented to the court outlining the volunteer's concerns, evaluations, and recommendations for the child's future.

CASA's role in court is powerful and significantly improves how justice is administered in dependency and neglect cases. Juvenile Court Magistrates use the report to

respect the child's sense of time, avoid unnecessary continuances and make informed, timely decisions regarding the best interest of the child. For children, CASA volunteers are a lifeline in complex legal proceedings offering trust, advocacy, and a means to express their own opinions and hopes. One CASA child noted "To give a child a CASA volunteer is to give them a voice, and to give them a voice is to give them hope, and to give them hope is to give them the world."

Youth Services Bureau

Frances Gonzales, Administrator Donna Gray, Deputy Administrator Felicia Banks, Manager

The mission of the Youth Services Bureau (YSB), is to develop, manage and supervise community-based programs for youth in Shelby County who require supervision by the Court. Using a sensible, not sanctimonious approach, the goals of the Youth Services Bureau are to correct, re-educate, redirect, and habilitate delinquent youth who come before the Court. The bureau received 298 referrals in 2017.

When a youth is taken into custody for a delinquent offense in Shelby County, they must answer to those charges in the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County. There are various ways these cases are handled including a Court hearing. A number of factors are taken into consideration when approving a case for YSB placement. Some of the factors include prior history, community risk, and availability of the home. All children placed in YSB reside in the home of their parent or guardian, and are monitored by YSB Case Managers or Intensive Case Managers.

YSB uses a strength based individual placement plan and assessment chart, and Community Risk Assessment. This is developed through an interview with the parent/guardian and child, and a review of the youth's Juvenile Court file. After this information is assembled a "staffing" is held involving the bureau administrators, manager, and the Assessment Services Coordinator. Based on the assessment, a consensus is reached as to which program best benefits the youth and family. The youth is placed on Case Management, Drug Offenders Control System (DOCS), or Intensive Case Management (ICM). Electronic monitoring such as ankle bracelets with Radio Frequency (RF), or GPS tracking are placed on children who require more supervision.

Youth who do not require an intense level of supervision are placed on Case Management. These youth are monitored using state of the art electronic technology such as those mentioned above. The various levels of monitoring are used as graduated sanctions for those youth in need of closer supervision. Additionally, these youth are required to be at home, except when they go to school, attend church, have a doctor's appointment, or other special occasions approved by their Case Manager or Intensive Case Manager.

Drug Offenders Control System (DOCS) is designed to monitor youth that have committed drug offenses or are in need of drug education. Youth follow the same rules as Case Management and additionally must attend a community-based alcohol and drug program. The children are randomly tested for drugs by urinalysis. After the youth have successfully completed their home confinement, drug education class and have clean drug screens they are discharged from the program.

Youth who require closer supervision are assigned to Intensive Case Management. Their cases are supervised by an Intensive Case Manager, who makes home visits, school visits, job visits, and helps secure community resources that may assist the youth from having further contact with the Court. The intensive case manager may also use some form of electronic monitoring. Each intensive case manager supervises a caseload of approximately 12 to 15 cases, which affords them the ability to interact with each client on a much closer basis

As previously mentioned, the various forms of electronic monitoring are used as a graduated sanction. A youth may start with RF, but later be moved to a higher level of electronic monitoring, using GPS, if this level of supervision proves to be ineffective.

When a youth is placed on RF a signal is sent to the monitoring station when the youth leaves home, and likewise upon their return. If the absence is unauthorized, once again the monitoring station will notify the case manager via the internet. GPS monitoring is the highest level of electronic monitoring. These youth may be traced using the internet, which will show their movement on a map, and each location the youth visits. By using GPS both inclusion and exclusion zones may be established. This allows the case manager to determine if a youth has left a location they are required to be at, such as school, or they are in a location that is off limits to them.

The Youth Service Bureau continues its collaborative efforts with community-based initiatives such as Juvenile Intervention and Faith Based Follow-up (JIFF) program, which provides counseling and mentoring services to youth in selected zip codes. YSB marked its eleventh year of involvement with JIFF. Youth assigned to YSB requiring mental health and

The Youth Service Bureau continues its collaborative efforts with community-based initiatives such as Juvenile Intervention and Faith Based Follow-up (JIFF) program, which provides counseling and mentoring services to youth in selected zip codes. YSB marked its eleventh year of involvement with JIFF. Youth assigned to YSB requiring mental health and substance abuse services are referred to the Court's Evaluation and Referral Section.

YSB continues to partner with Shelby County Schools in the School Based Probation Liaison Initiative. Teachers in twelve schools will act as a liaison for youth placed on court-supervised probation or in YSB. They will address educational and behavioral problems a youth may be having in school and work with the assigned auxiliary probation officer or YSB case manager in addressing these issues.

During 2017, The Evening Reporting Center continued to be an effective Juvenile Detention Alternative program. The program receives Court ordered, preadjudicatory referrals. Instead of a child remaining in the Court's Detention Center, youth attend the program as an alternative to secure placement. The program continues to be a graduated sanction for those youth in YSB who are not in compliance with their case plan. The program may also be used as an incentive for children who are in compliance, but if they attend and successfully complete, they may be released from YSB probation earlier than their projected release date.

Children consigned to the YSB in 2017 compared to the previous nine years:

2008	2009	2010	2011	2,012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
791	669	579	576	624	368	319	300	313	298

Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement

Aimée Burgdorf, Ed.D , Research Specialist

In 2005, the Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement (OEPI) was established to augment the existing statistical data collection efforts of Juvenile Court. This office will gather and examine data relevant to the many duties and activities of the Court Services Division in order to assist in the continuous examination of the systemic performance and to measure the effectiveness of:

- Intervention initiatives of delinquent youth whose Court case proceedings result in adjudication or are handled non-judicially
- The level of monitoring and supervision according to dispositions and referral sources
- The impact of interventions based on youth development, accountability, and/or public safety

Ongoing trend analysis will assist the Court in planning for the timely and appropriate allocation of resources to manage the changing needs of an unpredictable caseload. Informative internal evaluations serve to provide objective and quantifiable data to promote, support, and guide development and sustainability of effective programs offered by the Detention Services Bureau, Children's Bureau, Youth Service Bureau, and the Evaluation and Referral Bureau, and Volunteer Services Bureau.

As the Memorandum Agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice (December 2012) to address remedial measures with the Court, the OEPI is assisting with addressing equal protection issues relative to Disproportionate Minority Contact.

Juvenile Detention Services

Chief Kirk Fields, Sheriff's Office, Juvenile Facilities
Chief Deidra Bridgeforth, Sheriff's Office, Juvenile Court Detention

On July 1, 2015, the Shelby County Sheriff's Office took control of Juvenile Court's Juvenile Detention Services (JDS). JDS is designed for those youth who are a threat to themselves, public safety, or who are a flight risk. JDS is a 135 bed single occupancy secure detention center. Children are usually held for a short period of time awaiting court hearings or further placement decisions. While housed at the detention center, supervised youth are provided education,

recreation, health assessments, counseling, and other intervention services. Juvenile Court, in collaboration with Shelby County Schools, provides and education program for all detained youth in the detention center. Highly trained staff provide for the care, safety, welfare, and security of every child in the custody of the Court. It is the expectation that DSB ensures that every child is protected from harm and self-incrimination by ensuring due process. In 2017, JDS admitted 869 youths with 972 youths being released to a parent or guardian.

The detention center is comprised of three units: Boy's Detention, Girl's Detention, and Central Detention Control. JDS is a twenty-four (24) hour, seven (7) day a week, 365 days a year operation. DSB necessitates a staffing ratio of 1 to 8 during waking hours and 1 to 12 during sleeping hours.

The detention center has partnered with the Annie E. Casey Foundation to work toward developing alternatives to detention, thus reducing the number of youth from being introduced to the Juvenile Justice System. All youth admitted to the detention center are informed of their rights and their charge(s). Youth who are admitted to the detention center are appointed counsel by request.

In an effort to safeguard every youth in the detention center, Correct Care Solutions (CCS) provides twenty-four (24) hour medical and mental health services to all youth in the custody of Juvenile Court

Juvenile Detention Services will continue to strive to work towards improving its efforts in the reduction of youths being introduced into the Juvenile Justice System, by adhering to national and federal best practices used throughout the United States.


Hope Academy

Michael Smith, Program Administrator

Hope Academy is a partnership with Shelby County Juvenile Court and Shelby County Schools that provides a quality education to address the academic and social needs of students detained at Juvenile Court. All educational programs are staffed by certified Shelby County School staff in the following subjects: Science, Social Studies, Math, and English.

The outcome of HOPE Academy will focus on academic success; drop-out prevention, recovery of out-of-school youth, increased graduation rates, educational, emotional and counseling services, and diversion from the criminal justice system.

Juveniles Detained by Type 2017




Average 1	Number	of Davs	in Deten	tion Center
		,		

Delinquent	39.66
Dependent & Neglect	12.50
Special	1.70
Transient	5.00
Unruly	3.25
Total Average for All Categories	38.92

Institutional and Agency Placements


Delinquency Placements
TEN YEAR COMPARISON

Delinquency Placements 2017



Dependency and Neglect Placements
TEN YEAR COMPARISON

Dependency and Neglect Placements 2017





Institutional and Agency Placements

Traffic Violators 2017


Traffic Violators by Age 2017

Traffic Violators by Race 2017





Traffic Violators by Sex 2017



					Total		Handled	
	Citations	Amount of			Required	Conferences	Non-	Handled
	Received	Forfeitures	Closed	Open	Conferences	Held	Judicially	Judicially
Totals	2,483	\$95,691.50	2,320	163	951	1,039	0	0

Juvenile Complaints By Month

Complaint Level

	DELIN	QUENT	UNRULY		DEPE	DEPENDENT		OTHER		
Month	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	
January	260	79	35	37	92	90	51	40	684	
February	243	51	25	31	100	116	43	39	648	
March	213	83	36	36	137	124	56	60	745	
April	202	67	55	60	81	85	49	52	651	
May	221	55	58	79	95	105	51	55	719	
June	225	69	76	83	108	109	46	46	762	
July	208	49	5	1	100	103	38	32	536	
August	205	57	16	4	157	165	37	34	675	
September	214	51	12	5	105	119	41	35	582	
October	241	55	16	7	110	114	46	41	630	
November	234	46	11	8	108	86	45	39	577	
December	176	65	29	20	86	73	40	31	520	
Total:	2,642	727	374	371	1,279	1,289	543	504	7,729	


	W	hite	Bl	ack	0	ther	
Month	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
January	46	39	372	196	20	11	684
February	65	42	332	183	14	12	648
March	78	54	352	237	12	12	745
April	90	49	288	208	9	7	651
May	64	59	350	224	11	11	719
June	69	53	373	244	13	10	762
July	47	30	297	146	7	9	536
August	69	38	334	211	12	11	675
September	58	29	308	176	6	5	582
October	57	46	343	157	13	14	630
November	69	38	318	138	11	3	577
December	37	18	280	163	14	8	520
Total:	749	495	3,947	2,283	142	113	7,729

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.


^{* &}quot;Other" category includes Custody, School Admissions, Visitation, and Traffic Charges that are not considered delinquent per TCA.

Juvenile Complaints By Type
TEN YEAR COMPARISON

Juvenile Complaints by Type 2017



Juvenile Complaints 2017





Juvenile Complaints By Age

Complaint Level

	DELIN	QUENT	UNI	RULY	DEPENDENT		OT		
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
0	0	0	0	0	114	91	35	42	282
1	0	0	0	0	73	84	49	33	239
2	0	0	0	0	61	70	46	39	216
3	0	0	0	0	74	68	33	33	208
4	0	0	1	0	82	70	36	35	224
5	0	0	5	11	87	67	31	32	233
6	0	0	14	27	72	69	46	20	248
7	0	1	15	20	78	68	29	37	248
8	3	0	15	22	75	75	29	42	261
9	8	2	17	24	63	91	29	28	262
10	14	3	19	15	84	65	38	23	261
11	28	6	20	15	75	66	31	31	272
12	93	22	18	12	53	65	41	28	332
13	206	64	31	23	60	76	13	25	498
14	322	113	42	29	51	75	12	17	661
15	554	134	53	44	71	73	16	15	960
16	720	188	71	75	56	66	15	14	1,205
17	689	192	51	53	48	49	14	10	1,106
18	5	2	2	1	2	1	0	0	13
Total:	2,642	727	374	371	1,279	1,289	543	504	7,729

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{* &}quot;Other" category includes Custody, School Admissions, Visitation, and Traffic Charges that are not considered delinquent per TCA.

Juvenile Complaints By Age

Complaint Level

	Wh	White		Black		Other	
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
0	40	20	97	109	12	4	282
1	31	29	78	80	13	8	239
2	19	19	76	86	12	4	216
3	18	19	86	78	3	4	208
4	23	23	89	76	7	6	224
5	30	19	87	85	6	6	233
6	25	24	100	84	7	8	248
7	24	17	94	104	4	5	248
8	27	30	90	101	5	8	261
9	25	24	89	114	3	7	262
10	31	26	120	76	4	4	261
11	33	26	116	88	5	4	272
12	33	20	164	99	8	8	332
13	38	31	267	150	5	7	498
14	43	24	372	203	12	7	661
15	74	41	614	218	6	7	960
16	109	50	744	285	9	8	1,205
17	125	53	656	243	21	8	1,106
18	1	0	8	4	0	0	13
Total:	749	495	3,947	2,283	142	113	7,729

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{* &}quot;Other" category includes Custody, School Admissions, Visitation, and Traffic Charges that are not considered delinquent per TCA.

Referral Reasons

	W	//hite	В	lack	Other		
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
CS/CUST./VISIT Allegations							
CIVIL CONTEMPT - CUSTODY / VISITATION	1	0	10	9	1	3	24
CUSTODY	59	50	239	211	19	8	586
REGISTER FOREIGN ORDER - CUSTODY / VISITATION	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
VISITATION	22	33	121	125	8	8	317
Sub Total:	82	83	371	345	28	19	928
DELINQUENT Allegations							
911 CALLS IN NON-EMERGENCY SITUATIONS PROHIBITED	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT-FELONY	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ACCIDENT - DEATH OR PERSONAL INJURY	0	0	3	2	0	0	5
AGGRAVATED ANIMAL CRUELTY	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
AGGRAVATED ARSON	0	0	5	3	0	0	8
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	18	2	176	36	0	0	232
AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	2	2	201	14	1	0	220
AGGRAVATED CHILD ABUSE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
AGGRAVATED CRIMINAL TRESPASS	0	0	6	1	0	0	7
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	1	4	1	0	0	6
AGGRAVATED RAPE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
AGGRAVATED RIOT	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	4	4	171	4	1	0	184
AGGRAVATED SEXUAL BATTERY	10	3	29	5	1	0	48
AGGRAVATED SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A MINOR	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
ALT/FALS/FRG AUTO TITLE/PLATE	0	0	0	6	0	0	6
ARSON	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ASSAULT	17	3	243	215	5	5	488
ASSAULT ON A POLICE OFFICER	O	0	6	2	0	0	8
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED ARSON	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	O	0	10	0	1	0	11
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	24	0	0	0	24
ATTEMPT - BURGLARY OF A BUILDING	0	0	10	0	0	0	10
ATTEMPT - BURGLARY OF A VEHICLE	1	0	11	1	0	0	13
ATTEMPT - CARJACKING	O	0	2	0	0	0	2
ATTEMPT - ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
ATTEMPT - MURDER 1ST DEGREE	0	0	13	1	0	0	14
ATTEMPT - MURDER 2ND DEGREE	0	0	11	4	0	0	15
ATTEMPT - RAPE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ATTEMPT - RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
ATTEMPT - ROBBERY	1	0	9	0	1	0	11
ATTEMPT - SEXUAL BATTERY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1

^{*} It should be noted that all offenses filed at Juvenile Court (excluding traffic citations) are reflected in this section. A child may be charged with multiple offenses on any given complaint.

Referral Reasons

	W	hite	В	lack	o	ther	
			Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
ATTEMPT - THEFT OF PROPERTY \$2,500 TO \$9,999	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
ATTEMPT - THEFT OF PROPERTY \$500 OR LESS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - THEFT OF PROPERTY UNDER \$1,000	3	0	2	0	0	0	5
BURGLARY OF A BUILDING	9	0	101	4	4	0	118
BURGLARY OF A VEHICLE	29	0	212	2	4	0	247
CARJACKING	0	0	50	3	0	0	53
CARRY WEAPON IN PARK/REC AREA	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
CARRY WEAPON ON SCHOOL CAMPUS	3	0	44	10	1	0	58
CHILD ENDANGERMENT	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
CIVIL RIGHTS INTIMIDATION	2	0	1	0	0	0	3
COERCION OF A WITNESS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
COMMUNICATING A THREAT CONCERNING A SCHOOL							
EMPLOYEE	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
CONTEMPT OF COURT - DEL	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
CONTRABAND INTO PENAL INST	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT A FELONY	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
CRIMINAL IMPERSONATION	2	0	17	0	0	0	19
CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY - FACILITATION FELONY AGG	r						
ROBBERY	0	0	2	2	0	0	4
CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY - CONDUCT OF ANOTHER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
CRIMINAL SIMULATION (UP TO \$1,000)	1	0	4	6	1	0	12
CRIMINAL TRESPASSING	14	1	119	21	0	0	155
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
CRUELTY TO ANIMALS	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
DISORDERLY CONDUCT	6	0	88	47	2	0	143
DISSEMINATION OF SMOKING PARAPHERNALIA TO							
MINORS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
DOMESTIC ASSAULT	34	17	223	148	2	2	426
DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE	6	1	0	0	0	0	7
DRIVING WHILE LICENSE REVOKED, SUSPENDED OR			_				
CANCELLED	3	0	5	1	0	0	9
DRUG FREE SCHOOL ZONE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
DUTY UPON STRIKING FIXTURES UPON A HIGHWAY	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
ESCAPE	1	0	5	2	1	0	9
ESP. AGGRAVATED SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A MINOR	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	0	16	0	0	0	16
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	3	0	0	1	0	0	4
EVADING ARREST - FELONY	1	0	26	3	0	0	30
EVADING ARREST - MISDEMEANOR	7	0	136	6	0	0	149
EXHIBIT FALSE IDENTIFICATION	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
EXTORTION	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
FACILITATION OF A FELONY	0	0	2	2	0	0	4
FACILITATION OF FELONY CRIMINAL ATTEMPT AGG					_	_	
ROBBERY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
FAIL TO COMPLY W/COMM SERVICE	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
FALSE IMPRISONMENT	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
30							

Referral Reasons

	\mathbf{W}	hite	Black		Other		
			s Males l	Females	Males	Female	s Total
FALSE REPORTING BOMB OR FIRE	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
FALSE REPORTING TO AUTHORITY	2	0	9	6	0	0	17
FALSIFICATION OF THE RESULTS OF A DRUG TEST	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
FIRST DEGREE MURDER IN PERPETRATION OF FELONY	2	0	8	0	0	0	10
FORGERY	0	0	4	2	1	0	7
FUGITIVE FROM JUSTICE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
GIVING FALSE INFO TO POLICE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
HARASSMENT	1	0	7	2	0	0	10
IDENTITY THEFT	1	0	4	1	0	0	6
ILLEGAL POSS OR FRAUD USE - CREDIT/DEBIT CARD \$500 <	1	0	14	0	0	0	15
ILLEGAL POSS OR FRAUD USE OF CREDIT/DEBIT							
(\$1,000 - \$9,999)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, and the second				v	, and the second	
ILLEGAL POSS OR FRAUD USE OF CREDIT/DEBIT CARD	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
(\$501 - \$999)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER DISPLAY OF REGISTRATION PLATES IMPROPER STATE REGISTRATION	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
	0	0	3	1	0	0	4
INCEST INCITING TO RIOT	3	0	0 9	0	0	0	3
INDECENT EXPOSURE	0	0	-	1	0	0	10
	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
JAYWALKING LOVELDING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
JOYRIDING	0	0	7 19	3	0	0	10
JUVENILE IN POSSESSION OF HANDGUN	2	0		2	0	0	23
KIDNAPPING	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
LEAVING THE SCENE OF AN ACCIDENT	6	0	5	3	0	0	14
MAILBOX TAMPERING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-COC	2	0	5 30	0	0	0	7 35
MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-MARJ MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-OTHER	5 9	0	30 10	0	0	0	35 25
MINOR IN POSSESSION OF ALCOHOL		6		0	0	0	
	19	6 0	0	0	2	0	27
MINOR POSSESSION OF INTOXICATING LIQUOR	1		0	0	0	0	1
MURDER - 1ST DEGREE MURDER - 2ND DEGREE	0	0	4	1 1	0	0	6
NO STATE DRIVER LICENSE	11	1	3 38	9	0	0	4 59
OBSTRUCTING HWY/PASSAGEWAY	0	0	5 5	2	0	0	39 7
PEDESTRIANS ON ROADWAYS	0	0	3	1	0	0	
PERMIT REQUIRED - TRANSITORY VENDOR	0	0			0	0	4
POSS PROHIBITED WEAPON - FELONY	0	0	1 2	0	0	0	1 2
POSS PROHIBITED WEAPON - MISDEMEANOR	0	1	9	0	0	0	10
POSS / EMPLOY FIREARM DURING COMM / ATTEMPT	U	1	9	U	U	U	10
DANGEROUS FELONY	1	0	48	2	0	0	51
POSS OF LEGEND DRUG WITHOUT PRESCRIPTION	0	1	4	0	0	0	5
POSS/SELL ITEM W/ALT SER NUM	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
POSSESSION OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ANALOGUE	0	1	8	0	1	0	10
POSSESSION OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTAINCE ANALOGUE POSSESSION OF BURGLARY TOOLS	1	0	23	0	0	0	24
POSSESSION OF BURGLART TOOLS POSSESSION OF IMITATION FIREARM	0	0	23 1	0	0	0	2 4 1
PRIZE FIGHTING, SPARRING AND OTHER BRUTAL SPORTS	U	U	1	U	U	U	1
UNLAWFUL	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
OTMERTWI OE	U	U	1	U	U	U	1

Referral Reasons

	W	hite	Black Other				
			Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
PUBLIC INTOXICATION - ALCOHOL	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
PUBLIC INTOXICATION - OTHER	0	0	1	3	0	0	4
RAPE	1	0	3	0	0	0	4
RAPE OF A CHILD	59	3	25	1	4	0	92
RECKLESS BURNING	3	0	1	1	0	0	5
RECKLESS DRIVING	9	1	20	5	0	0	35
RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT	7	0	12	0	0	0	19
RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT W/WEAPON	2	0	21	1	0	0	24
RECKLESS HOMICIDE	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
REPRODUCE DRIVERS LICENSE	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
RESISTING (ARREST,STOP,FRISK)	5	0	33	11	0	0	49
RESTRICTION ON FIREARM AMMUNITION	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
RETALIATION FOR PAST ACTION	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
ROBBERY	3	0	54	6	1	0	64
SELLING A TOXIC SUBSTANCE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
SET FIRE-PERSONAL PROPERTY/LND	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
SEXUAL BATTERY	6	0	16	1	0	0	23
SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A MINOR	3	0	0	2	0	1	6
SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-COCAINE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-MARJ	25	13	93	15	1	0	147
SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-OTHER	5	2	6	0	0	0	13
STALKING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
STOLEN PROPERTY(RECEIVING OR CONCEALING)							
LESS THAN \$1,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TAMPER / FABRICATE EVIDENCE	1	2	1	0	1	0	5
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000-\$2,499	8	1	74	12	0	0	95
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000-\$2,499 - SERVICE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000-\$2,499 - VEHICLE	3	0	50	3	0	0	56
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000-\$9,999	0	0	15	1	0	0	16
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000-\$9,999 - VEHICLE	0	0	18	1	0	0	19
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$10,000-\$59,999	0	0	17	5	0	0	22
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$10,000-\$59,999 - SERVICE	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$10,000-\$59,999 - VEHICLE	1	0	70	10	0	1	82
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$2,500-\$9,999	1	2	46	4	2	0	55
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$2,500-\$9,999 - VEHICLE	0	0	164	14	0	0	178
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$500 OR LESS	2	1	15	4	0	0	22
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$501-\$999	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$60,000-\$249,999 - VEHICLE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
THEFT OF PROPERTY UNDER \$1,000	33	16	295	62	1	2	409
THEFT OF PROPERTY UNDER \$1,000 - SERVICE	1	0	3	0	0	0	4
THEFT OF PROPERTY UNDER \$1,000 - VEHICLE	5	0	7	0	0	0	12
TRAFFICKING FOR COMMERCIAL SEX ACT	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
UNAUTHORIZED USE - MOTOR VEHICLE	1	0	8	3	0	0	12

Referral Reasons

	White		Black		Other		
						Females	Total
UNDERAGE DRIVING WHILE IMPAIRED	5	0	1	0	0	0	6
UNLAWFUL PHOTOGRAPHING IN VIOLATION				, and the second			ŭ
OF PRIVACY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNLAWFUL POSS OF WEAPON - FELONY	1	0	25	1	0	0	27
UNLAWFUL POSS OF WEAPON - MISDMN	6	0	152	6	0	0	164
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION / SALE LEGEND DRUG	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION DRUG PARAPHERNALIA -							
MISDEMEANOR	31	12	23	0	0	0	66
UNLAWFUL PUR/RECPT/POSS ALCOHOL	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
USE OF STOLEN LICENSE PLATES	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
VANDALISM \$1,000 - \$2,499	8	0	35	5	4	0	52
VANDALISM \$10,000 - \$59,999	3	0	9	0	0	0	12
VANDALISM \$2,500 - \$9,999	5	0	6	1	0	0	12
VANDALISM (\$1,000 - \$9,999)	0	0	14	1	1	0	16
VANDALISM (\$500 OR LESS)	0	0	17	4	0	0	21
VANDALISM (\$501 - \$999)	2	0	9	0	0	0	11
VANDALISM UNDER \$1,000	26	1	96	17	1	0	141
VEHICULAR HOMICIDE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
VIOL OF HOME PLACEMENT / AFTERCARE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
VIOLATION OF TN SEXUAL OFFENDER REGISTRATION	-	0	1	0	0	0	1
VIOLATION OF COMPULSORY SCHOOL ATTENDANCE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
VIOLATION OF JUDICIAL DIVERSION PROBATION	4	0	20	8	2	0	34
VIOLATION OF LEARNER / INTERMEDIATE LICENSE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
VIOLATION OF PROBATION	0	1	13	2	0	0	16
VIOLATION OF PROBATION - ADULT	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
VIOLATION OF PROBATION - PEN	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
VIOLATION OF PROBATION - YSB	5	0	105	6	1	0	117
VIOLATION OF PROBATION - CONTRIBUTING	3	U	103	O	1	U	11/
UNRULY BEHAVIOR	2	0	0	2	1	1	6
VIOLATION OF PROTECTION ORDER OR	_		Ŭ	_	-	•	
RESTRAINING ORDER	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
VIOLATION OF STATE REGISTRATION LAW	0	1	2	1	0	0	4
Sub Total:	541	114	3,970	819	49	12	5,505
DEP AND NEG Allegations							
CIVIL CONTEMPT - D&N	4	5	11	12	0	1	33
CONTEMPT OF COURT - DEP	12	5	36	45	1	2	101
DEPENDENT AND NEGLECTED	153	130	569	574	41	39	1,506
GUARDIANSHIP	133	0			0	0	1,300
PET. FOR RESTRAINING ORDER	0	-	0	0	-		
PETITION TO INVESTIGATE	0	0 3	1 2	5 3	0	0	6 9
	~				0	1	
PETITION TO MODIFY TERMINATION DARENTAL RIGHTS	66	78	311	318	24	21	818
TERMINATION - PARENTAL RIGHTS	6	8	30	28	1	3	76
TRANSFER FROM OTHER COURT	3	2	0	0	0	3	8
VOLUNTARY FOSTERCARE PLMT	1	0	7	6	1	0	15
Sub Total:	246	231	967	991	68	70	2,573

Referral Reasons

	White		Black		Other		
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Female	s Total
OTHER Allegations							
CONTRIBUTING TO THE UNRULY BEHAVIOR OF A MINOR -							
ADULT	11	5	11	6	2	0	35
PARENTAL EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT	17	15	32	41	2	2	109
Sub Total:	28	20	43	47	4	2	144
RUNAWAY Allegations							
RUNAWAY - IN STATE	1	1	4	3	0	0	9
Sub Total:	1	1	4	3	0	0	9
SPECIAL Allegations							
HOLD FOR OTHER AGENCY - DEL	2	0	6	0	1	0	9
Sub Total:	2	0	6	0	1	0	9
TRAFFIC Allegations							
CHANGING LANE OR STRADDLING LANES	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
DEFECTIVE LIGHTS - GENERAL	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
DISREGARD A STOP LIGHT	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
DISREGARD A STOP SIGN	2	0	7	1	0	0	10
DISREGARDING RED LIGHT	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
DRAG RACING	1	0	1	0	0	Ö	2
DRIVERS RESPECT TO PEDESTRIANS	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
DRIVERS TO EXERCISE DUE CARE	7	0	2	4	0	0	13
DRIVING WITHOUT LIGHTS	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
EACH HEADLAMP OPERATIONAL	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
FAIL TO YIELD TO EMER VEHICLE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
FAILURE TO MAINTAIN PROPER CONTROL	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
FAILURE TO PROVIDE EVIDENCE OF FINANCIAL	1	· ·	Ü	V	· ·	· ·	-
RESPONSIBILITY	7	1	13	6	0	0	27
FAILURE TO YIELD	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
FAILURE TO YIELD AT UNCONTROLLED INTERSECTION	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
IMPEDING TRAFFIC	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
IMPROPER LEFT TURN	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER LIGHTS	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
IMPROPER TURNS	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
LIGHTS - GENERALLY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
MAINTAIN A SAFE LOOKOUT	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
NO HELMET, MOTORCYCLE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
SAFETY BELT LAW	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
SPEED LIMIT - SCHOOL ZONE 11-20 OVER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SPEED LIMIT 1-5 OVER	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
SPEED LIMIT 11-15 OVER	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
SPEED LIMIT 16-25 OVER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SPEEDING	4	0	5	2	0	0	11
TINTED WINDOWS	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNAL - GENERAL	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNATTENDED VEHICLES	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
USE OF OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLES ON HIGHWAYS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
VIOL OF SEATBELT LAW	3	0	5	3	0	0	11
VIOL OF SEATBELT LAW	5	U	J	3	U	U	11

Referral Reasons

	White		B 1	ack	O	ther	
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
VIOLATION OF CITY REGISTRATION LAW	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Sub Total:	36	2	51	21	0	0	110
TRANSIENT Allegations							
RUNAWAY - OUT OF STATE	4	3	0	2	1	0	10
Sub Total:	4	3	0	2	1	0	10
UNRULY Allegations							
MINOR IN POSSESSION OF TOBACCO	9	5	11	1	0	0	26
TRUANCY	110	88	200	259	15	11	683
VIOLATION OF CURFEW	14	10	61	0	1	0	86
Sub Total:	133	103	272	260	16	11	795
Total:	1,073	557	5,684	2,488	167	114	10,083

Referral Reasons—Selected Charges

	W	hite	В	lack	0	ther	Juve	niles	Com	plaints	Incid	lents
DELINQUENT Allegations	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016
AGGRAVATED ARSON	0	0	5	3	0	0	6	4	6	6	8	6
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	18	2	176	36	0	0	173	165	177	167	232	212
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - MISD A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	2	2	201	14	1	0	176	189	189	220	220	252
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	1	4	1	0	0	5	5	5	5	6	6
AGGRAVATED RAPE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	4	3	4	3	8
AGGRAVATED RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
AGGRAVATED RIOT	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	4	4	171	4	1	0	115	98	125	99	184	152
AGGRAVATED SEXUAL BATTERY	10	3	29	5	1	0	39	42	40	43	48	50
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED												
BURGLARY	0	0	10	0	1	0	9	16	9	16	11	17
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED RAPE OF												
A CHILD	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED												
ROBBERY	0	0	24	0	0	0	15	15	17	15	24	18
ATTEMPT - CARJACKING	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4	2	4	2	4
ATTEMPT - ESPECIALLY												
AGGRAVATE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3
ATTEMPT - MURDER 1ST DEGREE	0	0	13	1	0	0	10	11	10	11	14	14
ATTEMPT - MURDER 2ND DEGREE	0	0	11	4	0	0	8	8	8	8	15	24
ATTEMPT - RAPE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0
ATTEMPT - RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	1	4	1	4	1
CARJACKING	0	0	50	3	0	0	40	20	42	20	53	20
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT												
HOMICIDE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	0
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED												
BURGLARY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED												
KIDNAPPING	0	0	16	0	0	0	7	4	7	4	16	5
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED												
ROBBERY	3	0	O	1	0	0	4	7	4	7	4	7
FIRST DEGREE MURDER IN												
PERPETR	2	0	8	0	0	0	9	4	9	4	10	4
MURDER - 1ST DEGREE	1	0	4	1	0	0	6	8	6	8	6	8
MURDER - 2ND DEGREE	0	0	3	1	0	0	4	1	4	1	4	1
RAPE	1	0	3	0	0	0	4	5	4	5	4	6
RAPE OF A CHILD	59	3	25	1	4	0	37	37	40	37	92	40
RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT W/												
WEAPON	2	0	21	1	0	0	16	8	16	8	24	59
RECKLESS HOMICIDE	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	0
VEHICULAR HOMICIDE	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
Sub - Total:	102	15	798	77	8	0	707	664	742	701	1,000	922
Takali	102	15	700				707	((1	740	701	1 000	022
Total:	102	15	798	77	8	0	707	664	742	701	1,000	922

^{*} This report is a comparison of the number of Juveniles. Complaints and Incidents referred to Juvenile court on Selected Charges.

Living Arrangement of Child

	DELIN	IQUENT	UN	RULY	DEPENDENT		OTHER			
Arrangements	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
FOSTER FAMILY HOME	50	17	2	0	91	86	1	0	247	3.20
GROUP HOME	17	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	24	0.31
HOME OF NON-RELATIVE	25	8	2	0	54	65	0	0	154	1.99
IN HOME OF RELATIVES	282	100	30	16	480	493	24	26	1,451	18.77
IN INSTITUTION	26	3	0	0	12	12	0	0	53	0.69
OWN HOME - BOTH PTS	143	25	61	45	26	22	19	16	357	4.62
OWN HOME - FATHER	202	30	18	17	122	142	118	103	752	9.73
OWN HOME - FTH/SMTH	29	3	0	2	8	2	4	2	50	0.65
OWN HOME - MOTHER	1,769	511	255	284	471	448	372	356	4,466	57.78
OWN HOME - MTH/SFTH	77	23	6	7	6	7	3	0	129	1.67
OWN HOME-STEPFATHER	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	0.06
OWN HOME-STEPMOTHER	1	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	6	0.08
RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT	9	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	11	0.14
UNKNOWN	8	1	0	0	7	6	1	1	24	0.31
Total:	2,642	727	374	371	1,279	1,289	543	504	7,729	99.99

	W	hite '	B 1	ack	Other			
Arrangements	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
FOSTER FAMILY HOME	18	17	122	76	4	10	247	3.20
GROUP HOME	5	0	14	5	0	0	24	0.31
HOME OF NON-RELATIVE	14	10	66	58	1	5	154	1.99
IN HOME OF RELATIVES	119	85	659	529	38	21	1,451	18.77
IN INSTITUTION	4	4	34	11	0	0	53	0.69
OWN HOME - BOTH PTS	103	48	133	54	13	6	357	4.62
OWN HOME - FATHER	112	68	335	210	13	14	752	9.73
OWN HOME - FTH/SMTH	8	2	32	7	1	0	50	0.65
OWN HOME - MOTHER	345	252	2,453	1,292	69	55	4,466	57.78
OWN HOME - MTH/SFTH	10	5	81	32	1	0	129	1.67
OWN HOME-STEPFATHER	3	1	1	0	0	0	5	0.06
OWN HOME-STEPMOTHER	0	1	1	4	0	0	6	0.08
RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT	3	0	7	1	0	0	11	0.14
UNKNOWN	5	2	9	4	2	2	24	0.31
Total:	749	495	3,947	2,283	142	113	7,729	99.99

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*}All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

Family Income

	W	'hite	B 1:	ack	O	ther		
DELINQUENT	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
*Not Indicated	6	3	20	9	0	0	38	1.12
UNDER \$5,999	4	0	45	21	0	0	70	2.07
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	26	2	272	45	8	0	353	10.47
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	28	2	234	60	1	0	325	9.64
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	8	3	286	63	4	1	365	10.83
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	31	7	385	118	3	2	546	16.20
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	41	13	202	46	1	2	305	9.05
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	42	11	34	11	0	1	99	2.93
\$100,000 OR MORE	8	6	3	0	1	0	18	0.53
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	33	4	636	145	7	5	830	24.63
UNKNOWN	51	20	217	126	5	1	42 0	12.46
Total:	278	71	2,334	644	30	12	3,369	99.93

	W	hite	Bl	Black		ther		
UNRULY	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
UNDER \$5,999	0	0	4	3	2	1	10	1.34
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	8	3	15	12	0	0	38	5.10
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	10	6	21	17	3	2	59	7.91
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	4	5	16	15	3	1	44	5.90
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	18	12	31	16	0	1	78	10.46
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	17	2	12	8	2	0	41	5.50
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	7	4	4	2	0	1	18	2.41
\$100,000 OR MORE	1	2	0	0	1	0	4	0.53
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	5	2	45	50	0	0	102	13.69
UNKNOWN	50	61	89	140	6	5	351	47.11
Total:	120	97	237	263	17	11	745	99.95

	W	hite	Black		O	ther		
DEPENDENT	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
*Not Indicated	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0.11
UNDER \$5,999	24	13	74	82	2	8	203	7.90
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	7	1	17	14	1	0	40	1.55
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	5	3	23	19	3	0	53	2.06
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	7	8	43	39	4	4	105	4.08
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	10	13	59	60	2	4	148	5.76
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	9	16	29	25	2	5	86	3.34
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	8	4	9	7	0	3	31	1.20
\$100,000 OR MORE	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0.07
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	37	32	245	235	9	8	566	22.04
UNKNOWN	138	141	464	507	44	37	1,331	51.83
Total:	245	231	966	988	68	70	2,568	99.94

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*}All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

Family Income

	Wh	iite	Bla	ıck	Otl	her		
OTHER	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
*Not Indicated	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.09
UNDER \$5,999	8	7	27	31	1	2	76	7.25
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	2	0	6	7	0	0	15	1.43
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	7	3	14	17	2	2	45	4.29
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	6	3	34	16	0	0	59	5.63
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	17	6	51	40	5	2	121	11.55
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	4	6	23	24	0	1	58	5.53
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	2	2	5	4	0	3	16	1.52
\$100,000 OR MORE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.09
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	14	18	84	106	3	4	229	21.87
UNKNOWN	45	51	166	142	16	6	426	40.68
Total:	106	96	410	388	27	20	1,047	99.93
Grand Total:	749	495	3,947	2,283	142	113	7,729	

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*}All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

Prior Referral/Recidivists

Complaint Level

\mathbf{D}^{1}	ELINQUENT			UNRULY	
Prior Referrals	Total	Percent	Prior Referrals	Total	Percent
0	1,105	32.80	0	614	82.42
1	572	16.98	1	112	15.03
2	409	12.14	2	17	2.28
3	305	9.05	3	2	0.27
4	240	7.12	4	0	0.00
5	181	5.37	5	0	0.00
6	149	4.42	6	0	0.00
7	107	3.18	7	0	0.00
8	84	2.49	8	0	0.00
9+	217	6.44	9+	0	0.00
Total:	3,369	100.00	Total:	745	100.00

Location of Residence

	In County	Out of County In State	Out of State	Total
CONVERSION	0	0	0	0
RURAL	32	6	9	47
SUBURBAN	757	8	18	783
TOWN	34	10	8	52
UNKNOWN	43	0	11	54
URBAN - BUSINESS OR INDUSTRIAL	81	1	4	86
URBAN - RESIDENTIAL	6,416	75	213	6,704
*Not Indicated	2	0	1	3
Total:	7,365	100	264	7,729

Length of Residence

	DELIN	QUENT	UN	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	O'.	ГНЕR		
Time in County	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total 1	Percentage
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	2,068	524	270	246	700	735	308	301	5,152	66.66
NOT CURRENTLY										
RESIDENT	26	10	0	0	28	28	13	6	111	1.44
ONE BUT LESS THAN										
FIVE YEARS	230	60	18	17	325	344	169	142	1,305	16.88
UNDER ONE YEAR	179	45	9	3	195	160	45	48	684	8.85
UNKNOWN	139	88	77	105	31	22	8	7	477	6.17
Total:	2,642	727	374	371	1,279	1,289	543	504	7,729	100.00

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*} All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

Serious or Persistent School Behavior

Complaint Level

	DELIN	QUENT	UN	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	OT	HER		
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
*Not Indicated	3	0	0	0	14	12	1	2	32	0
BEHAVIOR SATISFACTORY	1,106	346	91	79	630	663	265	244	3,424	44
BEHAVIOR UNSATISFACTORY	821	171	101	59	52	37	18	14	1,273	16
NOT IN SCHOOL	181	45	4	1	462	423	195	194	1,505	19
UNKNOWN	531	165	178	232	121	154	64	50	1,495	19
Total:	2,642	727	374	371	1,279	1,289	543	504	7,729	100

	W	White		Black		ther		
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
*Not Indicated	4	5	14	9	0	0	32	0
BEHAVIOR SATISFACTORY	340	211	1,702	1,069	50	52	3,424	44
BEHAVIOR UNSATISFACTORY	83	31	889	238	20	12	1,273	16
NOT IN SCHOOL	164	125	627	507	51	31	1,505	19
UNKNOWN	158	123	715	460	21	18	1,495	19
Total:	749	495	3,947	2,283	142	113	7,729	100

Grade Placement in Relation to Age

	DELIN	QUENT	UN	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	OT	HER		
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total l	Percent
*Not Indicated	4	0	0	0	14	12	1	2	33	0
ACCELERATED	4	4	1	0	3	0	1	2	15	0
AT EXPECTED LEVEL	1,469	493	164	195	648	696	276	232	4,173	54
BELOW EXPECTED LEVEL	909	171	204	174	91	70	47	43	1,709	22
NOT APPLICABLE (NOT IN SCHOOL)	163	35	3	1	456	410	191	196	1,455	19
UNKNOWN	93	24	2	1	67	101	27	29	344	4
Total:	2,642	727	374	371	1,279	1,289	543	504	7,729	100

	W	Vhite	В	lack	(Other		
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total 1	Percent
*Not Indicated	4	5	15	9	0	0	33	0
ACCELERATED	1	1	8	5	0	0	15	0
AT EXPECTED LEVEL	396	251	2,105	1,304	56	61	4,173	54
BELOW EXPECTED LEVEL	161	87	1,063	356	27	15	1,709	22
NOT APPLICABLE (NOT IN SCHOOL)	160	119	601	494	52	29	1,455	19
UNKNOWN	27	32	155	115	7	8	344	4
Total:	749	495	3,947	2,283	142	113	7,729	100

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*} All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

School Attainment by Sex and Race

Complaint Level

DELINQUENT

	W	hite	Bl	ack	O	ther	
Last Grade Completed	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
1ST GRADE	0	1	1	2	0	0	4
2ND GRADE	0	0	3	1	0	1	5
3RD GRADE	1	0	7	0	0	0	8
4TH GRADE	4	0	18	4	0	0	26
5TH GRADE	9	0	37	10	0	0	56
6TH GRADE	14	4	164	42	2	1	227
7TH GRADE	21	1	228	63	4	1	318
8TH GRADE	44	11	515	127	10	4	711
9TH GRADE	59	14	608	149	4	2	836
10TH GRADE	64	24	433	129	4	0	654
11TH GRADE	42	14	261	95	5	0	417
12TH GRADE	11	1	32	8	0	2	54
NEVER IN SCHOOL	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
UNKNOWN	9	1	27	14	0	1	52
Total:	278	71	2,334	644	30	12	3,369

UNRULY

	W	hite	Bl	ack	O	ther	
Last Grade Completed	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
1ST GRADE	5	4	10	18	0	0	37
2ND GRADE	6	2	12	22	0	1	43
3RD GRADE	8	5	7	8	0	1	29
4TH GRADE	8	4	11	13	0	1	37
5TH GRADE	5	1	14	10	2	2	34
6TH GRADE	11	7	16	12	3	0	49
7TH GRADE	12	12	22	10	2	0	58
8TH GRADE	16	6	59	39	5	0	125
9TH GRADE	14	18	30	43	2	3	110
10TH GRADE	20	17	17	35	2	0	91
11TH GRADE	8	10	14	17	0	1	50
12TH GRADE	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
KINDERGARTEN	1	5	13	15	0	1	35
PRE-SCHOOL	5	6	9	21	1	1	43
UNKNOWN	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Total:	120	97	237	263	17	11	745

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*} All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

– Juvenile Court 2017 Annual Report -

General Social Data

School Attainment by Sex and Race

Complaint Level

DEPENDENT

	W	hite	B	lack	O	ther	
Last Grade Completed	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
*Not Indicated	4	4	10	9	0	0	27
1ST GRADE	18	10	58	66	5	4	161
2ND GRADE	12	11	57	56	2	5	143
3RD GRADE	12	9	40	57	2	4	124
4TH GRADE	9	15	51	40	1	2	118
5TH GRADE	5	20	52	35	2	3	117
6TH GRADE	9	9	43	55	3	1	120
7TH GRADE	9	8	51	49	0	3	120
8TH GRADE	6	12	50	49	3	5	125
9TH GRADE	8	14	51	52	1	4	130
10TH GRADE	7	3	36	32	3	3	84
11TH GRADE	5	1	12	24	1	3	46
12TH GRADE	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
CURRENTLY IN PRE-SCHOOL	6	0	10	4	0	1	21
KINDERGARTEN	10	12	50	36	5	3	116
NEVER IN SCHOOL	2	1	10	15	2	1	31
PRE-SCHOOL	12	8	49	43	4	4	120
TOO YOUNG FOR SCHOOL	100	70	290	296	30	19	805
UNKNOWN	11	24	45	69	4	5	158
Total:	245	231	966	988	68	70	2,568

OTHER

OTHER	W	hite	Bi	ack	O	ther	
Last Grade Completed	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
*Not Indicated	0	1	1	1	0	0	3
1ST GRADE	3	3	20	27	0	2	55
2ND GRADE	6	10	26	18	0	1	61
3RD GRADE	10	6	25	20	0	1	62
4TH GRADE	7	5	29	21	3	0	65
5TH GRADE	4	3	17	20	0	1	45
6TH GRADE	1	3	30	24	1	0	59
7TH GRADE	4	3	8	18	0	1	34
8TH GRADE	4	2	10	18	0	1	35
9TH GRADE	2	2	14	12	1	0	31
10TH GRADE	4	3	6	5	0	1	19
11TH GRADE	3	0	3	2	0	0	8
12TH GRADE	1	1	1	1	0	0	4
CURRENTLY IN PRE-SCHOOL	3	1	6	4	0	0	14
KINDERGARTEN	8	5	33	24	1	2	73
NEVER IN SCHOOL	2	1	1	4	0	0	8
PRE-SCHOOL	5	7	29	15	2	1	59
TOO YOUNG FOR SCHOOL	36	36	137	138	17	9	373
UNKNOWN	3	4	14	16	2	0	39
Total:	106	96	410	388	27	20	1,047
Grand Total:	749	495	3,947	2,283	142	113	7,729

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*} All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

43

Employment and School Status

	EMPLOYED - FULL	EMPLOYEI PART)- NOT	INAPPLICABLE		NOT	
	- FULL TIME	TIME) - PRE - SCHOOL	UNKNOWN		ED Total
DELINQUENT	111/12	111111	EMI LOTEE	71112 0011001	CIVILI (O WI)	11 (210:111	SD Total
IN SCHOOLFULL TIME	25	127	2,723	6	41	70	2,992
IN SCHOOLPART TIME	0	0	7	0	1	0	8
NOT IN SCHOOL	2	8	327	0	2	0	339
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOO YOUNG FOR	V	O	V	· ·	· ·	O .	O
SCHOOL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	1	0	19	0	4	6	30
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total:	28	135	3,076	6	48	76	3,369
Total.	20	133	3,070	0		70	3,307
UNRULY							
IN SCHOOLFULL TIME	0	6	692	0	24	7	729
IN SCHOOLPART TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NOT IN SCHOOL	0	0	10	0	1	0	11
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOO YOUNG FOR							
SCHOOL	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
UNKNOWN	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total:	0	6	707	0	25	7	745
							-
DEPENDENT							
IN SCHOOLFULL TIME	0	3	1,513	11	10	0	1,537
IN SCHOOLPART TIME	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
NOT IN SCHOOL	0	0	110	5	0	0	115
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOO YOUNG FOR							
SCHOOL	1	1	740	52	0	2	796
UNKNOWN	0	0	84	2	5	0	91
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	25	25
Total:	1	4	2,451	70	15	27	2,568
OTHER							
IN SCHOOLFULL TIME	1	1	608	0	2	0	612
IN SCHOOLPART TIME	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
NOT IN SCHOOL	1	0	35	0	0	0	36
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOO YOUNG FOR							
SCHOOL	0	1	357	6	0	0	364
UNKNOWN	0	0	29	0	0	0	29
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Total:	2	2	1,032	6	2	3	1,047

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*} All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

44

Estimated Mental Capacity

	DELIN	QUENT	UNRULY		DEPE	NDENT	OT	HER		
Estimated Mental Capacity	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percentage
*Not Indicated	3	0	0	0	16	12	1	2	34	0.44
ABOVE AVERAGE	19	3	1	1	9	14	4	3	54	0.70
AVERAGE	1,730	488	165	124	620	624	249	230	4,230	54.73
BELOW AVERAGE	235	38	25	10	31	30	15	9	393	5.09
NOT DETERMINED	655	198	183	236	603	609	274	260	3,018	39.05
Total:	2,642	727	374	371	1,279	1,289	543	504	7,729	100.00

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*} All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

School Attainment by Sex and Race

Complaint Level

DELINQUENT

	W	hite	Bl	ack	O	ther	
Last Grade Completed	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
1ST GRADE	0	1	1	2	0	0	4
2ND GRADE	0	0	3	1	0	1	5
3RD GRADE	1	0	7	0	0	0	8
4TH GRADE	4	0	18	4	0	0	26
5TH GRADE	9	0	37	10	0	0	56
6TH GRADE	14	4	164	42	2	1	227
7TH GRADE	21	1	228	63	4	1	318
8TH GRADE	44	11	515	127	10	4	711
9TH GRADE	59	14	608	149	4	2	836
10TH GRADE	64	24	433	129	4	0	654
11TH GRADE	42	14	261	95	5	0	417
12TH GRADE	11	1	32	8	0	2	54
NEVER IN SCHOOL	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
UNKNOWN	9	1	27	14	0	1	52
Total:	278	71	2,334	644	30	12	3,369

UNRULY

	W	hite	Bl	ack	O	ther	
Last Grade Completed	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
1ST GRADE	5	4	10	18	0	0	37
2ND GRADE	6	2	12	22	0	1	43
3RD GRADE	8	5	7	8	0	1	29
4TH GRADE	8	4	11	13	0	1	37
5TH GRADE	5	1	14	10	2	2	34
6TH GRADE	11	7	16	12	3	0	49
7TH GRADE	12	12	22	10	2	0	58
8TH GRADE	16	6	59	39	5	0	125
9TH GRADE	14	18	30	43	2	3	110
10TH GRADE	20	17	17	35	2	0	91
11TH GRADE	8	10	14	17	0	1	50
12TH GRADE	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
KINDERGARTEN	1	5	13	15	0	1	35
PRE-SCHOOL	5	6	9	21	1	1	43
UNKNOWN	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Total:	120	97	237	263	17	11	745

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*} All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

General Social Data School Attainment by Sex and Race Complaint Level

DEPENDENT

	\mathbf{W}	hite	Bl	ack	O	ther	
Last Grade Completed	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total
*Not Indicated	4	4	10	9	0	0	27
1ST GRADE	18	10	58	66	5	4	161
2ND GRADE	12	11	57	56	2	5	143
3RD GRADE	12	9	40	57	2	4	124
4TH GRADE	9	15	51	40	1	2	118
5TH GRADE	5	20	52	35	2	3	117
6TH GRADE	9	9	43	55	3	1	120
7TH GRADE	9	8	51	49	0	3	120
8TH GRADE	6	12	50	49	3	5	125
9TH GRADE	8	14	51	52	1	4	130
10TH GRADE	7	3	36	32	3	3	84
11TH GRADE	5	1	12	24	1	3	46
12TH GRADE	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
CURRENTLY IN PRE-SCHOOL	6	0	10	4	0	1	21
KINDERGARTEN	10	12	50	36	5	3	116
NEVER IN SCHOOL	2	1	10	15	2	1	31
PRE-SCHOOL	12	8	49	43	4	4	120
TOO YOUNG FOR SCHOOL	100	70	290	296	30	19	805
UNKNOWN	11	24	45	69	4	5	158
Total:	245	231	966	988	68	70	2,568

OTHER

OTHER	W	1. 1	D	1	0	41	
Lost Crado Completed	W Males	hite	Males	ack Females	Males	ther Females	Total
Last Grade Completed		Females		remaies			
*Not Indicated	0	1	1	1	0	0	3
1ST GRADE	3	3	20	27	0	2	55
2ND GRADE	6	10	26	18	0	1	61
3RD GRADE	10	6	25	20	0	1	62
4TH GRADE	7	5	29	21	3	0	65
5TH GRADE	4	3	17	20	0	1	45
6TH GRADE	1	3	30	24	1	0	59
7TH GRADE	4	3	8	18	0	1	34
8TH GRADE	4	2	10	18	0	1	35
9TH GRADE	2	2	14	12	1	0	31
10TH GRADE	4	3	6	5	0	1	19
11TH GRADE	3	0	3	2	0	0	8
12TH GRADE	1	1	1	1	0	0	4
CURRENTLY IN PRE-SCHOOL	3	1	6	4	0	0	14
KINDERGARTEN	8	5	33	24	1	2	73
NEVER IN SCHOOL	2	1	1	4	0	0	8
PRE-SCHOOL	5	7	29	15	2	1	59
TOO YOUNG FOR SCHOOL	36	36	137	138	17	9	373
UNKNOWN	3	4	14	16	2	0	39
Total:	106	96	410	388	27	20	1,047
Grand Total:	749	495	3,947	2,283	142	113	7,729

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*} All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

Referral Data

Referral Source

	DELINQUENT		UN	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	CO	HER		
Referred By	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
BARTLETT POLICE	29	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	0.42
COLLIERVILLE POLICE	6	2	0	0	0	0	O	0	8	0.10
DEPT. OF CHILDREN'S SERVICES	2	1	0	0	342	307	0	0	652	8.43
GERMANTOWN POLICE	7	1	0	0	0	0	O	0	8	0.10
MEMPHIS POLICE	1,236	260	3	6	0	0	1	0	1,506	19.48
MILLINGTON POLICE	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.14
NEIGHBOR	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0.02
NON-RELATIVE	0	0	0	0	25	31	0	0	56	0.72
OTHER COURT	18	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	25	0.32
OTHER SOURCE	0	0	0	0	49	51	25	21	146	1.88
PRNTS OR RELATIVES	0	1	0	0	863	897	453	421	2,635	34.09
PROBATION OFFICER	146	17	0	0	0	0	O	0	163	2.10
SCHOOL SYS - ARL	1	1	6	3	0	0	1	2	14	0.18
SCHOOL SYS - ASD	0	0	6	14	0	0	2	4	26	0.33
SCHOOL SYS - BAR	3	0	38	31	0	0	6	0	78	1.00
SCHOOL SYS - COLL	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	2	8	0.10
SCHOOL SYS - GER	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	4	0.05
SCHOOL SYS - LKL	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.01
SCHOOL SYS - MLG	0	0	62	72	0	0	2	5	141	1.82
SCHOOL SYS - PRIVATE	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0.02
SCHOOL SYS - SCS	1	1	186	232	0	0	37	42	499	6.45
SCHOOL SYS - TVS	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
SHELBY COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT	. 102	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	131	1.69
SOCIAL AGENCY	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.03
TN HIGHWAY PATROL	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.03
Total:	1,566	323	307	360	1,279	1,289	532	500	6,156	79.52

	DELINQUENT		UNRULY 1		DEPE	NDENT	CO	'HER		
Summoned by	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
BARTLETT PD - JS	62	20	9	2	0	0	0	1	94	1.21
COLLIERVILLE PD - JS	50	24	2	1	0	0	2	0	79	1.02
GERMANTOWN PD - JS	24	8	4	1	0	0	3	0	40	0.51
MEMPHIS PD - JS	741	266	45	5	0	0	4	1	1,062	13.74
MILLINGTON PD - JS	27	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	0.46
SHERIFF'S DEPT -JS	172	77	7	2	0	0	2	2	262	3.38
Total:	1,076	404	67	11	0	0	11	4	1,573	20.32
Grand Total:	2,642	727	374	371	1,279	1,289	543	504	7,729	99.84

^{*} The SRT Summons numbers have not been added into the 2017 children's cases total of 7,729. The SRT Summons numbers reflect a total of 2,010 summonses comprised of 1,726 Delinquent cases and 284 Unruly Cases.

^{*} All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

Referral Data

Care Following Referral

	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		T OTHER			
Care After Referral	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total	Percent
DETENTION ALTERNATIVE	131	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	170	14.14
DETENTION CENTER	753	96	3	2	0	4	0	0	858	71.38
RELEASED ELECTRONIC	151	18	1	0	0	0	O	0	170	14.14
RELEASED ON APPROPRIATE	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.33
Total	1,039	153	4	2	0	4	0	0	1,202	99.99
	DELIN	QUENT	UN	IRULY	DEPE	NDENT	O'	THER		
Care Location	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total	Percent
DETENTION ALTERNATIVE	276	56	1	0	0	0	O	0	333	27.70
DETENTION CENTER	763	97	3	2	0	4	0	0	869	72.29
Total	1,039	153	4	2	0	4	0	0	1,202	99.99
	DELI	NQUENT	UN	IRULY	DEPE	NDENT	O'	THER		
Care Reason	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total	Percent
APC EXECUTED	94	28	3	0	0	4	O	0	129	10.73
APPROPRIATE BOND SET	27	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	2.99
AWAITING STATE PLACEMENT	55	3	0	0	0	0	O	0	58	4.82
CASE MANAGEMENT	1	1	0	0	0	0	O	0	2	0.16
DANGER TO COMMUNITY	395	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	425	35.35
FLIGHT RISK	12	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	17	1.41
HOLD OTHER AGENCY	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.91
INTENSIVE CASE MANAGEMENT	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.49
PARENT/GUARD. REFUSED	43	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	5.57
RE-ENTRY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.08
RELEASED ELECTRONIC	199	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	222	18.46
RESPITE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.08
SERIOUS ALLEGATION	163	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	183	15.22
UNABLE TO LOCATE PARENT /	31	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	3.66
Total	1,039	153	4	2	0	4	0	0	1,202	99.93

Dispositional Data Disposition by Hearing Type Case Action Level

	DELI	NQUENT	UN	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	OT	HER		
Hearing Types	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percentage
ADULT BOND HEARING	6	4	0	0	0	0	7	11	28	0.08
AGREED ORDER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.00
CASE BEFORE THE CRT	1,540	178	3	1	355	351	31	16	2,475	7.73
CONFERENCE	999	381	110	108	0	0	29	29	1,656	5.17
COURT HEARING	4,325	620	105	89	3,635	3,665	1,223	1,276	14,938	46.67
DETENTION HEARING	794	105	5	4	2	5	0	1	916	2.86
EXTRADITION HEARING	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0.00
INITIAL FOSTER CARE										
REVIEW	58	7	0	0	64	80	0	1	210	0.65
INITIAL PROBABLE CAUSE										
DETERMINATION	138	14	1	2	0	1	0	0	156	0.48
JUDICIAL REVIEW	9	1	0	0	7	35	0	0	52	0.16
MODIFICATION OF										
DETENTION ORDER	22	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	0.09
MOTION	830	90	0	1	613	674	65	88	2,361	7.37
NON-JUDICIAL	1,726	644	383	361	17	19	31	13	3,194	9.97
PERIODIC FOSTER CARE										
REVIEW	79	6	0	0	246	252	0	0	583	1.82
PERMANENCY HEARING	133	31	0	0	433	506	0	0	1,103	3.44
PLACEMENT HEARING	20	2	0	0	4	1	0	0	27	0.08
PRELIMINARY HEARING	35	19	2	2	465	434	21	17	995	3.10
RATIFICATION HEARING	250	25	0	2	300	308	1	5	891	2.78
REHEARING	18	2	0	0	303	372	88	94	877	2.74
REHEARING - DETENTION										
HEARING	180	16	0	0	0	1	0	0	197	0.61
RELEASE AUTHORIZED	647	220	3	4	0	0	0	0	874	2.73
REVOCATION HEARING	40	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	41	0.12
STATUS HEARING	49	3	4	0	7	12	5	1	81	0.25
TRANSFER HEARING	232	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	249	0.77
YOUTH COURT	27	36	6	1	0	0	0	0	70	0.21
Total:	12,159	2428	622	576	6,452	6,716	1,502	15,52	32,007	100

Adult Offenders

	CONTRIBUTING TO THE UNRULY BEHAVIOR OF AMINOR-ADULT	PARENTAL EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT	VIOLATION OF COMPULSORY SCHOOL AT- TENDANCE	VIOLATION OF PROBATION -ADULT	VIOLATION OF PROBATION - CONTRIBUTING UNRULY BEHAVIOR	TOTAL
MALE WHITE	8	17	0	0	2	27
MALE BLACK	11	32	2	0	0	44
MALE OTHER	1	1	0	0	1	3
FEMALE WHITE	5	15	0	0	0	20
FEMALE BLACK	6	41	0	1	2	50
FEMALE OTHER	0	2	0	0	1	3
TOTAL	31	100	2.	1	6	148

Non-Final Dispositions

	DELIN	QUENT	UN	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	OT	HER		
Formal	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Female	s Total	Percent
A BOND AUTHORIZED	22	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	29	0.09
A P C CANCELED	20	3	2	3	3	5	1	1	38	0.12
A P C EXECUTED	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
A P C ISSUED	192	36	8	8	11	29	0	4	288	0.93
ADULT - ADVISEMENT	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
ADULT - APC CANCELLED	4	2	0	0	0	0	2	8	16	0.05
ADULT - APC ISSUED	24	8	1	2	0	0	9	22	66	0.21
ADULT - APC ON PARENT/		O	1	_	Ü	V			00	0.21
GUARDIAN	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0.01
ADULT - CASE STRUCK FROM	1	-	· ·	· ·	Ü	V	· ·	1	9	0.01
DOCKET	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	17	32	0.10
ADULT - CONTINUED	12	21	0	2	0	0	166	197	398	1.29
ADULT - MOTION FILED	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.00
ADULT - MOTION GRANTED	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	5	0.02
ADULT - MOTION WITHDRAWN	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.02
AMENDED MOTION TO DISMISS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
BOND REVOKED	2	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	2	0.00
C.A.S.A.			~	~	0		0	_		
	0	0	0	0	24	21	0	1	46	0.15
CASE HELD IN ABEYANCE	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.02
CASE STRUCK FROM DOCKET	77	21	3	3	163	206	48	54	575	1.86
CHILD DETAINED	625	62	3	2	1	3	0	0	696	2.25
CONTINUE EXTENSION OF FOSTER										
CARE	7	1	0	0	16	52	0	0	76	0.25
CONTINUE FOSTER CARE	57	20	0	0	473	489	0	2	1,041	3.37
CONTINUE JUVENILE JUSTICE	110	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	121	0.39
CONTINUED	66	18	20	14	2,683	2,669	536	549	6,555	21.19
CONTINUED - ATTORNEY NOT										
PRESENT	51	9	0	0	1	4	0	0	65	0.21
CONTINUED - CO-DEFENDANT	95	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	0.36
CONTINUED - DCS NOT PRESENT	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0.01
CONTINUED - DEFENSE	575	82	1	5	0	1	0	0	664	2.15
CONTINUED - DISCOVERY	180	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	203	0.66
CONTINUED - DISPOSITION	252	47	7	6	0	0	0	0	312	1.01
CONTINUED - INCLEMENT										
WEATHER	35	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	0.15
CONTINUED - INVESTIGATION	1,040	137	5	3	0	0	0	0	1,185	3.83
CONTINUED - MENTAL HEALTH										
TREATMENT	49	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	0.20
CONTINUED - MUTUAL AGREE-										
MENT	2,167	293	8	1	5	13	5	1	2,493	8.06
CONTINUED - NEW CHARGE	60	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	67	0.22
CONTINUED - NEW DEFENSE										
COUNSEL	35	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	0.14
CONTINUED - PARENT / CHILD										
NOT PRESENT	144	28	2	0	0	0	0	0	174	0.56
CONTINUED - PENDING D&N										
HEARING	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.03
CONTINUED - PLEA AGREEMENT	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.03
CONTINUED - PRE TRANSFER										
REPORT	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0.05
			51							
			91							

Non-Final Dispositions

	DELIN	NQUENT	UNF	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	OI	HER		
Formal	Males	Females	Males I	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total ?	Percent
CONTINUED - PSYCHOLOGICAL										
EVALUATION	209	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	226	0.73
CONTINUED - REFERRED TO E&R	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	0.02
CONTINUED - REPORT TO JCS	2	1	6	8	0	0	0	0	17	0.06
CONTINUED - RESTITUTION	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
CONTINUED - RULE 208	127	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	134	0.43
CONTINUED - STATE	199	22	1	0	1	0	0	0	223	0.72
CONTINUED - STATE CONTINUED - STATUS REPORT	261	39		-	1	-	_	-	327	1.06
			16	9	_	1	0	0		
CONTINUED - TRANSFER HEARING	184	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	201	0.65
CONTINUED - TRIAL	395	53	5	4	0	0	0	0	457	1.48
CONTINUED - VICTIM / WITNESS	53	7	0	O	0	0	0	0	60	0.19
DCS - REF TO ABUSE / NEGLECT							_			.
HOTLINE	4	2	1	4	1	1	2	1	16	0.05
DETAINED	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
DETAINED - OTHER CHARGES	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
DETENTION ALTERNATIVE										
ELECTRONIC MONITORING	216	27	1	0	0	0	0	0	244	0.79
ELECTRONIC MONITORING -										
REMOVED	138	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	156	0.50
ELECTRONIC MONITORING -										
REVOKED	33	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	0.12
EXTRADITION WAIVED	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
F. C. HEARING / REVIEW CONTINUED	217	25	0	2	245	304	1	3	797	2.58
GRANTED	508	52	0	0	439	493	36	50	1,578	5.10
INSTANTER SUBPOENA	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
MOTION AOC TO FUND TRANSCRIPTION										
OF DELINQUENCY HR	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FILED	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.03
MOTION FOR BILL OF PARTICULARS	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.02
MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION OF	U	1	O	U	U	O	U	U	,	0.02
EXTENDED AND COMPLEX	22	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	28	0.09
MOTION FOR COMPETENCY	22	J	U	U	1	U	U	U	20	0.07
EXAMINATION	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE	8	0	0	0	4	6	2	0	20	0.06
MOTION FOR CONTINUALICE MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF	O	U	U	U	4	U	2	U	20	0.00
EVIDENCE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR DISCOVERY / INSPECTION	1	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	1	0.00
OF DOCUMENTS	325	31	0	0	0	2	0	0	358	1.16
MOTION FOR EXCULPATORY	323	31	U	U	U	2	U	U	336	1.10
EVIDENCE	50	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	0.17
MOTION FOR EXPUNGEMENT OF FILES	30	3	U	U	U	U	U	U	33	0.17
AND RECORDS	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.02
	6	1	0	U	U	0	U	U	/	0.02
MOTION FOR FUNDING OF EXPERT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
WITNESS / DEFENSE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR FUNDS FOR EXPERT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
ASSISTANCE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR FUNERAL LEAVE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR GUARDIAN AD LITEM	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01

Non-Final Dispositions

	DELI	NQUENT	UN	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	OT	HER		
Formal	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
MOTION FOR HEARING										
TREATMENT AND REHABILITATION	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.02
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO BRING AN										
INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE										
ADDITIONAL MOTIONS	39	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	0.14
MOTION FOR MENTAL										
HEALTH RECORDS	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION FOR ORDER FOR										
JAIL CONVERSATIONS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR PLACEMENT REVIEW	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR PRE-TRIAL HEARINGS	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.02
MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF										
EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
MOTION FOR PRODUCTION										
OF STATEMENTS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL										
EVALUATION	88	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	0.31
MOTION FOR PSYCHOSEXUAL										
EVALUATION OF A CHILD	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.02
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0.01
MOTION FOR RELEASE										
FROM DETENTION	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR SEATED HEARING	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR SEVERANCE	-		Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ			Ŭ	-	0.00
OF DEFENDANT'S	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0.05
MOTION FOR SEVERANCE OF	12	_	Ü	V	Ü	· ·	V	Ü		0.03
OFFENSES	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.02
MOTION FOR STATE TO	•	_	Ü	V	Ü	· ·	V	Ü	V	0.02
FILE WRITTEN RESPONSES	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR SUBSTITUTION	1	V	Ü	V	Ü	· ·	V	Ü	1	0.00
OF COUNSEL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR TEMPORARY	1	V	Ü	V	Ü	· ·	V	Ü	1	0.00
CUSTODY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR TRIAL HOME VISIT	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR WRITTEN NOTICE	O		O	O	O	O	O	O	1	0.00
OF IMPEACHING CONDUCT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION IN LIMINE	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0.07
MOTION REQUESTING	20	4	O	O	O	O	O	O	22	0.07
A REHEARING	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION RESERVE THE RIGHT TO	1	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	1	0.00
FILE ADDITIONAL MOTION	18	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0.07
MOTION TO ADMIT FORENSIC	10	3	O	O	O	U	O	U	23	0.07
INTERVIEW OF CHILD VICTIM	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO BE RELIEVED AS	1	U	O	O	O	U	O	U	1	0.00
COUNSEL	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
MOTION TO CHANGE YOUTH'S	3	1	U	U	U	U	U	U	7	0.01
COMMITMENT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO CLOSE PROCEEDINGS	1	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	1	0.00
PURSUANT TO RULE 114	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION TO COMPEL	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0.01
MOTION TO COMI EL	4	U	52	U	1	U	U	U	5	0.01

53

Non-Final Dispositions

	DELI	NQUENT	UN	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	ОТ	HER		
Formal		Females							Total	Percent
MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION										
OF BRADY MATERIAL	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
MOTION TO CORRECT ORDER	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO DENY TRANSFER	-	•	V		· ·	V	Ü	V	•	0.00
HEARING	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO DISMISS	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0.04
MOTION TO EXCLUDE EV PUR	12	1	O	O	O	O	O	O	13	0.01
TO T.R.E. RULE 901	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO EXCLUDE FORENSIC	1	V	O	O	O	O	O	O		0.00
INTERVIEW RECORDING	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO EXCLUDE UNCHARGED	1	V	O	O	O	O	O	O		0.00
MISCONDUCT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO MODIFY CUSTODY	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	0.02
MOTION TO MODIFY DETENTION	3	1	U	U	U	1	U	U	3	0.02
ORDER	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO MODIFY DETERMINATE	1	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	1	0.00
SENTENCE TO INDETERMINATE	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
	3	1	U	U	U	U	U	U	4	0.01
MOTION TO MODIFY JUDGMENT / APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.02
MOTION TO MODIFY ORDER	0	-		0	-		-	-		
	~	1	0		0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO MODIFY SAFETY PLAN	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO PRESERVE EVIDENCE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO QUASH NOTICE OF								^		
TRANSFER TO CRIM. CRT.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO RECEIVE DEFENDANT'S										
PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMIN	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.03
MOTION TO RECEIVE PSYCH AND										
PSYCHOSEXUAL EVALS	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
MOTION TO RECONSIDER BOND	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION TO RECONSIDER DENIAL										
OF TRANSFER TO CRIMIN.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO RELEASE MENTAL										
HEALTH RECORDS TO COUNSEL	36	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	38	0.12
MOTION TO REMOVE DEFENSE										
COUNSEL	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO REMOVE FROM DCS										
CUSTODY	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION TO REVIEW										
INSTITUTIONAL PLACEMENT	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
MOTION TO SET ASIDE DUE TO										
CLERICAL MISTAKE RULE60	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO SET BOND	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO SUPPRESS	75	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	81	0.26
MOTION TO VIOLATE ADVISEMENT										
AND REVOKE BOND	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO WAIVE COST BILL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO WITHDRAW	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	14	0.05
MOTION WITHDRAWN	114	18	0	0	3	9	0	1	145	0.47
NO CONTACT ORDER - DH	30	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	0.11
			5/							

54

Non-Final Dispositions

	DELIN	IQUENT	UN	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	OT	HER		
Formal	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
NOT GRANTED	30	5	0	0	28	26	4	6	99	0.32
NOTICE OF TRANSFER	30	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	33	0.11
NOTICE OF TRANSFER DENIED	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0.08
NOTICE OF TRANSFER										
WITHDRAWN	72	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	79	0.26
PERM PLAN - GOAL CHANGE	1	2	0	0	87	98	0	0	188	0.61
PERM PLAN RATIFIED	139	22	0	0	445	460	0	2	1,068	3.45
PROT CUST ORDER	1	1	0	0	294	269	7	6	578	1.87
PROT CUST ORDER-DENIED	8	4	0	0	32	36	3	4	87	0.28
PROT CUST ORDER-UPHELD	11	10	1	1	366	328	16	11	744	2.41
REF TO CLINICAL SERVICES	1	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	1	0.00
REFERRED TO MEDIATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.00
REHEARING FILED	95	9	0	0	97	117	31	26	375	1.21
RELEASE APP BOND	255	42	2	2	1	3	0	0	305	0.99
RELEASE OWN RECOGNIZANCE	8	6	0	0	0	0	6	4	24	0.08
RELEASE SUR BOND	36	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	0.14
RELEASE TO PARENTS	33	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	0.12
REMAIN - ELECTRONIC MONI-										
TORING	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	11	0.04
REMAIN ADVISEMENT	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0.04
RESTRAINING ORDER ISSUED	0	0	0	0	3	8	6	1	18	0.06
RULE 23 DIVERSION - 1 YEAR	156	35	7	8	0	0	0	0	206	0.67
RULE 23 DIVERSION - 6 MONTHS	150	36	5	4	0	0	0	0	195	0.63
SECURE BOND	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	0.03
TRANSFER CUSTODY & MONI-										
TORING INTERSTATE COMPACT	1	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	1	0.00
TRANSITIONAL LIVING PLAN										
APPROVED	21	6	0	0	17	21	0	0	65	0.21
Total:	10,579	1,478	111	94	5,456	5,680	896	978	25,272	81.71

	DELIN	NQUENT	UN	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	ОТ	HER		
Informal	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
A BOND AUTHORIZED	647	220	3	4	0	0	0	0	874	2.83
A P C CANCELED	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	0.04
A P C EXECUTED	149	36	4	1	3	8	0	2	203	0.66
ADULT - APC CANCELLED	1	0	1	0	O	0	0	0	2	0.01
ADULT - APC EXECUTED	4	1	0	1	O	0	0	1	7	0.02
ATTORNEY FAILED TO APPEAR	17	2	0	0	O	0	0	0	19	0.06
ATTORNEY NOT REQUESTED	555	276	102	104	O	0	29	29	1,095	3.54
ATTORNEY PRESENT	77	16	2	1	O	0	0	0	96	0.31
ATTORNEY REQUESTED	265	36	5	3	O	0	0	0	309	1.00
CASE HELD IN ABEYANCE	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.03
CONFERENCE HELD	900	329	110	108	O	0	29	29	1,505	4.87
CONTINUE EXTENSION OF										
FOSTER CARE	1	1	0	0	12	17	0	0	31	0.10
CONTINUE FOSTER CARE	56	9	0	0	275	297	0	0	637	2.06

Non-Final Dispositions

	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OT	HER		
Informal	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
CONTINUE JUVENILE JUSTICE	56	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	0.19
DCS - REF TO ABUSE / NEGLECT										
HOTLINE	6	1	15	15	0	0	0	0	37	0.12
DETENTION ALTERNATIVE										
ELECTRONIC MONITORING	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
DIRECT REFERRAL	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0.01
ELECTRONIC MONITORING -										
REMOVED	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.02
EMPLOYMENT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
F. C. HEARING / REVIEW										
CONTINUED	23	1	0	0	24	18	0	1	67	0.22
FAMILY RESCHEDULED	24	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0.13
NO SHOW - CHILD	21	17	3	2	0	0	0	1	44	0.14
NO SHOW - FAMILY	86	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	130	0.42
NOTICE OF TRANSFER	187	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	202	0.65
NOTICE OF TRANSFER										
WITHDRAWN	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.02
PERM PLAN RATIFIED	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.00
REFERRED TO DA FOR REVIEW	0	1	27	24	0	0	21	27	100	0.32
TRANSIENT	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
TRANSPORTATION	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
VOL FOSTER CARE PLACEMENT	0	0	0	0	8	7	0	0	15	0.05
YOUTH COURT	66	69	6	1	0	0	0	0	142	0.46
Total:	3,176	1,097	278	264	323	348	79	92	5,657	18.29
Grand Total:	13,755	2,575	389	358	5,779	6,028	975	1,070	30,929	100

Juvenile Court 2017 Annual Report Dispositional Data

	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		T OTHER			
Formal	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
ACCESS GRANTED TO CHILD	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.01
ACCESS GRANTED TO ENTER										
HOME	1	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	8	0.06
ADULT - DCS PREVENTION										
SERVICES	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	7	14	0.10
ADULT - DISMISSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	11	21	0.15
ADULT - JAIL SENTENCE	6	3	0	0	0	0	11	8	28	0.20
ADULT - JAIL SUSPENDED										
ENTENCE	3	0	0	0	0	0	22	24	49	0.35
ADULT - JUDICIAL DIVERSION	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	24	50	0.36
ADULT - SUPERVISED										
PROBATION	3	1	0	0	0	0	27	29	60	0.43
ADULT - UNSUPERVISED										
PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.01
ADULT - WAIVER TO GRAND										
JURY	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	1	1	0.01
AFFIRMED	48	5	0	0	53	66	7	6	185	1.32
CAMP CHAMBERS	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.09
CEASEFIRE GUN SAFETY	189	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	202	1.44
COMMUNITY SERVICE	290	33	1	0	0	0	0	0	324	2.31
COMMUNITY SERVICE - FIRE										
SETTER PROGRAM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.01
DCS - COMMITMENT										
SUSPENDED / SUPERVISED	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.03
DCS - COMMITMENT					•				_	
SUSPENDED / UNSUPERVISED	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.04
DCS - CORRECTIVE	165	5	0	0	O	0	0	0	170	1.21
DCS - CORRECTIVE	7.0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	0.57
DETERMINATE SENTENCE	73	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	78	0.56
DCS - PREVENTION	19	2	2	0	6	2	0	0	31	0.22
DCS - PROTECTIVE	47	19	2	2	341	335	6	6	758	5.42
DEFENSIVE DRIVING SCHOOL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
DENIAL OF DRIVING PRIV	57	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	0.44
DISCHARGE FROM TDCS	106	8	0	0	3	1	0	0	118	0.84
DISMISSED	507	107	5	2	423	441	149	131	1,765	12.61
DISRUPTION OF PLACEMENT	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.03
ELECTRONIC MONITORING	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0.10
EVENING REPORTING CENTER -										
CB	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0.11
EVENING REPORTING CENTER -										
YSB	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0.20
FINE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
HOME PLACEMENT	112	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	118	0.84
JIFF - EIP	25	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	33	0.24
JIFF INTERVENTION	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	0.46
M.A.R.R.S	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
MOOT - NO ACTION	17	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	21	0.15

	DELIN	NQUENT	UNI	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	OT	HER		
Formal	Males	Females	Males	Females	s Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
NO CONTACT ORDER - CH	246	37	0	0	8	17	3	1	312	2.23
NO JURISDICTION / PREVIOUSLY										
WAIVED	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
ORDER FOR VISITATION	0	0	0	0	350	380	281	280	1,291	9.22
ORDER OF PROTECTION	0	0	0	0	6	3	0	1	10	0.07
ORDER OF WITHDRAWAL	853	48	1	0	0	0	0	0	902	6.44
PARENT / GUARDIAN	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.01
PETITION TO REHEAR DISMISSED	0	0	0	0	79	86	9	11	185	1.32
PLACEMENT APPROVED	11	0	O	0	2	1	0	0	14	0.10
PLACEMENT HEARING REQUESTED	12	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	12	0.09
PLACEMENT NOT APPROVED	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
PROBATION APS - CONTINUED	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
PROBATION COURTESY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
SUPERVISION PROPATION TO A PC	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
PROBATION TO APS PROBATION TO PARENTS	58	10	3	4	0	0	0	0	75	0.54
	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.11
RECORD ORDERED SEALED	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0.01
REF TO EVAL & REFERRAL	494	83	10	15	1	0	0	0	603	4.31
REHEARING - DISMISSED	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0.02
REHEARING - WITHDRAWN	18	1	0	0	5	6	1	2	33	0.24
RELATIVE/INDIVIDUAL	3	0	0	0	767	776	265	253	2,064	14.75
REMAIN YSB	38	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	40	0.29
REMAIN - DCS CORRECTIVE	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.05
REMAIN - DCS PROTECTIVE	4	5	0	0	37	60	1	0	107	0.76
REQUEST FOR VIOLATION	1	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
RESTITUTION - JUDICIAL	58	5	O	0	0	0	0	0	63	0.45
RESTITUTION DISMISSED	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
RESTITUTION RESERVED	279	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	308	2.20
RESTRAINING ORDER - UPHELD	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	7	0.05
SEX OFFENDER REGISTRY	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.04
STATUS APPROVED	21	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	27	0.19
STATUS HEARING REQUESTED	23	6	O	0	0	0	0	0	29	0.21
STATUS NOT APPROVED	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	8	0.06
TERMINATION PAR RIGHTS	0	0	0	0	23	29	0	0	52	0.37
TRANS TO INTERSTA COMPACT	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.03
TRANS TO OTHER COURT/ADJ	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.01
TRANS TO OTHER COURT/DISP	10	1	0	O	0	3	0	0	14	0.10
TRIAL HOME PLACEMENT	112	4	0	0	16	15	0	0	147	1.05
VOL FOSTER CARE PLACEMENT -										
CONFIRMED	0	0	0	0	6	3	0	0	9	0.06

	DELIN	IQUENT	UN	RULY	DEPE	ENDENT	OT	HER		
Formal	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
VOL FOSTER CARE PLACEMENT -										
DENIED	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0.01
WAIVED CRIMINAL COURT-MOTION										
FOR TRANSFER GRANTED	85	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	92	0.66
YOUTH SERVICE BUREAU	255	24	19	15	0	0	0	0	313	2.24
Total:	4,435	493	48	38	2,140	2,238	826	796	11,014	78.69


	DELIN	NQUENT	UN	RULY	DEPI	ENDENT	ОТ	HER		
Informal		_				Females			Total	Percent
901 BLOCK SQUAD	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.05
ADULT - VERBAL WARNING	0	0	18	32	0	0	0	0	50	0.36
ADVISE AND COUNSEL	364	160	13	5	0	0	0	2	544	3.89
ADVISORY LETTER	74	62	143	187	0	0	2	0	468	3.34
ADVISORY LETTER - OUT OF	, ,	02	115	107	O	V	_	O	100	3.31
COUNTY	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.03
ADVISORY LETTER IN LIEU OF APC	41	35	1	1	0	0	2	0	80	0.57
BYPASS	10	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	16	0.37
CAMP CHAMBERS	3	0		0	0	-	0	0	3	0.11
			0	~	~	0	_			
CEASEFIRE GUN SAFETY	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0.12
COMMUNITY SERVICE	71	40	8	1	0	0	1	1	122	0.87
COMMUNITY SERVICE - FIRE SETTER							^		_	
PROGRAM	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.02
DCS - PREVENTION	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.08
DEFENSIVE DRIVING SCHOOL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
ELECTRONIC MONITORING	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
EVENING REPORTING CENTER - CB	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.04
EVENING REPORTING CENTER - YSB	38	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	39	0.28
FORFEITURE	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
JIFF - EIP	40	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	0.34
JIFF INTERVENTION	62	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	63	0.45
M.A.R.R.S	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.06
NO PETITION FILED - AGE OF		_	_	ŭ.	ŭ	· ·			-	0.00
MAJORITY	19	9	5	10	0	0	2	0	45	0.32
NO PETITION FILED - CANNOT	17		J	10	· ·	•	_	· ·	13	0.32
SUBSTANTIATE	26	9	9	7	0	0	1	0	52	0.37
NO PETITION FILED - COMPLAINANT				,	O	V		V	32	0.57
WITHDREW COMPLAINT	28	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	0.35
NO PETITION FILED - DCS CUSTODY	26	10	7	2	0	0	3	1	49	0.35
NO PETITION FILED - E&R SERVICES	20	10	1	2	U	U	3	1	77	0.55
IN PLACE	8	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	13	0.09
				=	~	-				
NO PETITION FILED - EXONERATED	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.04
NO PETITION FILED - INCORRECT	7	_	20	25	0	0	0	0	75	0.54
CONTACT INFO	7	5	28	35	0	0	0	0	75	0.54
NO PETITION FILED - NO FURTHER	440	40	00	40	0	0	4.4	4	224	2.20
COURT ACTION	119	49	99	49	0	0	14	4	334	2.39
NO PETITION FILED - PREVIOUS	4	0		0	_	0	0			0.04
TRANSFER CRIMINAL COU	1	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	1	0.01
NO PETITION FILED - UNDER AGE			_				_		-	
OF CONSENT	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0.02
NO PETITION FILED - YSB										
PROBATION	4	1	7	0	0	0	1	0	13	0.09
			59							

	DELIN	IQUENT	UN	RULY	DEPE	NDENT	OT	HER		
Informal	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Total	Percent
PROBATION APS - CONTINUED	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
REF TO EVAL & REFERRAL	82	51	4	1	0	0	1	0	139	0.99
REL - PROBATIONARY										
SUPERVISION	61	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	75	0.54
RELATIVE/INDIVIDUAL	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	8	0.06
RELEASE FROM YSB										
SUPERVISION	193	19	13	7	1	0	1	0	234	1.67
RELEASE NO CHARGE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
RESTITUTION -NJ	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.03
SCHOOL BASED PROBATION										
OFFICER LIASION	42	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	50	0.36
VERBAL WARNING	165	125	23	19	0	0	4	1	337	2.41
Total:	1,54 0	644	384	362	6	4	33	9	2,982	21.31
Grand Total:	5,975	1,137	432	400	2,146	2,242	859	805	13,996	100

Types of Offenses


TEN YEAR COMPARISON


Types of Offenses 2017



Types of Offenses

TEN YEAR COMPARISON





ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIVISION

Pam Skelton, CAO

Trisha Monteil, Deputy Administrator, Finance and Purchasing Brenda Johnson, Manager, Human Resources Shannon Caraway, Manager, Information Systems Sherry K. Schedler, Manager, Interagency Services Mitchell C. Morgan, IV-D Coordinator

The Administrative Division of Juvenile Court is responsible for:

- Development and administration of budget, contracts and grants
- Human resources
- Procurement of equipment and supplies
- Information and telecommunications systems
- Support services (materials control, print shop, and office services)
- Volunteer services
- Child support services
- Interagency services

The Juvenile Court budget decreased from \$12,923,677 in FY2016 to \$12,944,220 in FY2017. Revenue to support expenditures comes from the following sources:

Department of Human Services,	
Child Support & Advocate Services	\$2,026,872
US Department Of Justice	
Defending Childhood Initiative & JAG	\$77,082
•	\$8,000
	· · ·
Tennessee Commission on Children	
and Youth (TCCY), CASA	\$9,000
,,,	" ,
Annie E. Casey Foundation	\$15,000
	" - 3
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$4,000
	π ., σ σ σ
County General Fund	\$10,678,514
-	
Total Operating Funds	\$12,818,468

INTERAGENCY SERVICES

Sherry C. Schedler, Manager

The Office of Interagency Services develops and maintains youth services initiatives and projects shared by Juvenile Court and outside agencies. Interagency Services endeavors to

expand services to children and families through community partnerships, program development and grants.

In 2017, the Court participated in several grant initiatives including:

- The Justice Assistance Grant (JAG), funded by the U.S. Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), allows states and local governments to prevent and control crime and improve the criminal justice system. JAG provided funds for community youth forums and supplies targeting gun safety, anti-bullying and mentorship recruitment.
- Shelby County Government reallocated U.S. Office
 of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention
 (OJJDP) funds for the Defending Childhood
 Initiative (DCI) to Juvenile Court for services and
 items specific to a Trauma Audit.

Juvenile Court continues a strong partnership with the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ) on important initiatives including:

Juvenile Court participated in the first comprehensive Trauma Audit by the NCJFCJ in early 2016. Court staff participated in the process, as well as key community stakeholders. To successfully meet contradictory goals, courts need to understand many underlying factors affect the lives of youth and their families. One of the most pervasive factors is exposure to trauma. To be effective in achieving its mission, juvenile courts must fully understand the role of traumatic exposure in children's lives and deploy resources addressing traumatic stress. The NCJFCJ works with juvenile and family courts to define and operationalize what it means to be a traumaresponsive court. Traumatic exposure, delinquency, and school failure are related. Since trauma impacts a child's development and health throughout his or her life, juvenile justice systems need to be traumainformed at all levels and insure the environment, practice, and policy are trauma-responsive.

From June 20-23, 2017, a Trauma Audit Follow-Up & Evaluation Assessment Site Visit was conducted by the NCJFCJ. Throughout 2017, Juvenile Court staff continued Trauma and Resilience Training as part of

Several court staff completed additional training hours to become trauma trainers.

Juvenile Court continues an excellent partnership with Shelby County Schools on several successful programs including:

- Juvenile Court and Shelby County Schools launched a program partnership in 2014 to increase accountability and school success for students on probation. The **School-Based Probation Liaison (SBPL) Initiative** authorizes trained faculty at 12 schools to provide intervention to students on probation. Liaisons maintain regular contact with students and their Juvenile Court counselors. They monitor daily attendance and academics while also serving as mentors and educational advocates. At the conclusion of the 2016-2017 School Year, students showed improved academics, attendance and behavior.
- School House Adjustment Program Enterprise (SHAPE) provides intervention with students who commit minor offenses at 40 Shelby County Schools by offering an alternative to detention. Coordinators in SHAPE schools assign dispositional alternatives such as community service, restitution, and counseling. SHAPE was selected as a DMC Best Practice by OJJDP in 2011.
- Hope Academy provides academic instruction and programming for detained youth. In 2017, Hope Academy celebrated its seventh anniversary at Juvenile Court having served over 5,000 students since inception. Mr. Eugene Lockhart was welcomed as Hope Academy's new Principal due to the retirement of founding Principal, Michael J. Smith. In 2017, students will receive enhanced services through Project S.T.A.N.D.
- Shelby County Schools received a federal grant in 2016 to implement Project S.T.A.N.D. (Student Transition Acceleration and National Career Readiness Certificate) serving students in Hope Academy. The grant provides academic assessment and tutoring while at Hope Academy to prepare students for career exploration and readiness. Students transition to intensive support services and career readiness training. Services include Career, Tutoring, and Technical Education (CTE) instruction/tutoring, workforce training, individualized career planning, job shadowing, internships, job placement, and National Career Readiness Certification. Project S.T.A.N.D. formally launched in January 2017 and Juvenile Court is pleased to be a partner.

Youth Court, a partnership with the Tennessee Bar Association, Memphis Bar Association, Memphis Area Legal Services, and Shelby County Schools has prospered since it began in 2011. Youth Court is a model program of OJJDP and integrates elements of restorative justice to hold youth accountable for offenses while preventing future delinquency. Youth courts are an alternative sentencing mechanism for first time, non-violent offenders appearing before Juvenile Court and sentenced by a jury of peers. In 2017, 17 schools participated in Youth Court. Local attorneys advise and mentor participants, which has become a model in Tennessee.

We are also delighted to join local law enforcement in several community partnerships. Some highlights include:

A pilot was established to reduce the number of youth transported to Juvenile Court who do not pose a danger to themselves or the community in 2013. The **Law Enforcement Assessment Phone-In Pilot Program (LEAP)** allows law enforcement officers to call Detention about a youth in custody to determine if they may be issued a juvenile summons in lieu of transport. The following years saw Memphis, Collierville, and Germantown Police Departments joining the Shelby County Sheriff's Office (SCSO) expanding LEAP project partners. In 2017, weekly inservice training was conducted Memphis Police Department's Training Academy on the LEAP Program.

A formal partnership was established in 2015 creating the **Juvenile Court Precinct Liaison (JCPL) Initiative**. The Initiative calls for Juvenile Court to assign an experienced youth services officer to Memphis Police Department (MPD) precincts. The JCPL is a liaison with Juvenile Court and assists in screening and/or referral of youth brought to the precinct. The JCPL is also a facilitator for communication and coordination with Juvenile Court. The goal is to reduce the need for detention when possible and assist law enforcement in communicating and dealing with youth. The Pilot began in the Old Allen Station Precinct in November 2015. In September 2017, a Juvenile Court staff member was assigned as JCPL at the Tillman Station Precinct.

Special thanks are extended to our valued community partners as we unite to improve services to children and families.

Disproportionate Minority Contact, DMC Bernard Williams, Ph.D.

DMC Deputy Administrator

Dr. Bernard Williams oversees Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) for Memphis and Shelby County Juvenile Court. The office of DMC utilizes innovative strategies to address delinquency matters for children who are appearing before the Court. The three major categories for DMC are: (1) Over-representation of youth of color, (2) Disparate treatment, and (3) Unnecessary entry and moving deeper into the juvenile justice system. Numerous interventions have been made and are constant to eliminate DMC at the decision points such as: implementation of new policies, updated procedures and practices, data collection, data evaluation, and training of all staff in regards to DMC. The office of DMC is frequently evaluating diversionary programs and risk assessment tools within the court in order to decrease youth of color from going deeper into the juvenile justice system. The office of DMC acts as a review and evaluation body to ensure that compliance issues/concerns within the Court are appropriately evaluated, investigated, and resolved.

Juvenile Court 2017	7 Appual Report	
aveine Court 201	minual Report	

Definition of Terms

Over-representation of youth of color refers to the overrepresentation relative to proportion of youth population or proportion at decision points.

Disparate treatment of youth of color indicates that similarly situated youth receive different outcomes.

Unnecessary entry and moving deeper is defined as more youth of color are likely to enter and move through system.

Index

Administrative Services Division	Grade Placement in Relation to Age	41
Admissions, Detention Center	Homicides Committed By Juveniles	62
Adult Offenders51	Hope Academy	21
Advocate for Noncustodial Parents9	Interagency Services	63-64
Alcohol and Drug Related Offenses: Ten-Year	Juvenile Detention Services	21
Comparison63	Juvenile Defender	10
Auxiliary Probation Service	Juvenile Summons	
Boys Detention	Legal Department	
Budget64	Living Arrangements of Child	
Care Following Referral50	Mental Capacity	
Care Location50	Message from the Judge	
Care Reason50	Office of Clinical Services	
CAO9	Office of the Guardian ad litem	
Children's Bureau	Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance	
Commission on Missing and Exploited Children	Improvement	
(COMEC)	Organizational Chart	4
Community Service Program	Placements, Institutional and Agencies	
Complaints, Juveniles	Protective Services Department	
Corrective Services Department	Recidivist Report	
Court Appointed Special Advocates17-18	Referral Reasons	
Court Clerk's Office	Referral Reasons, Selected Charges	
Court Services Division11-12	Referral Source	
Delinquency Placements23	Residence, Length of Time in County	
Dependency and Neglect Placements23	Residence, Location	
Detention Center	School Attainment, Sex and Race	
Disposition, Final57-60	School Misbehavior	
Dispositions, Hearing Type50	School Status	
Dispositions, Non-Final51-56	Special Services Auxiliary	
Disproportionate Minority Contact64-	Staff Positions	
	Statistical Summary	6
Employment Status, Juveniles	Table of Contents	5
Evaluation and Referral Bureau	Traffic Information	24
Family Income	Types of Offenses, Ten-Year Comparison	61
Foster Care Review Board	Volunteer Services Bureau	
Cirlo Dotantian 21		_