

# Annual Report

JUVENILE COURT OF MEMPHIS AND SHELBY COUNTY  
616 Adams Avenue ♦ Memphis, Tennessee 38105

2015

**Dan H. Michael, *Judge***



Garland Erguden, *Chief Legal Officer*

David. S. Walker, *Chief Magistrate*

Felicia M. Hogan, *Juvenile Magistrate*

Sheldon Y. McCall, *Juvenile Magistrate*

Harold W. Horne, *Juvenile Magistrate*

Terre Fratesi, *Juvenile Magistrate*

Mitzi Pollard, *Juvenile Magistrate*

Lyttonya Cunningham, *Child Support Magistrate*

Joseph G. Little, *Child Support Magistrate*

Nancy Kessler, *Child Support Magistrate*

Debra Sanders, *Child Support Magistrate*

Larry K. Scroggs, *Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Counsel*

Pamela Skelton, *Director*  
*Court Services*

Dini Malone, *Director*  
*Administrative Services*


## A Message from the Judge

This annual report of the activities of the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County for calendar year 2015 represents my first full year as presiding Judge, after taking the oath of office on September 1, 2014. This year was a busy year as the Court transitioned to a new administration and a new challenge of dedication, commitment and teamwork to all who work at the Court. This annual report cannot adequately measure my distinct honor to serve the citizens of Shelby County, but it can provide an overview of the tireless work of hundreds of dedicated employees and volunteers on behalf of children and families in Shelby County.

For more than a century, Juvenile Court has engaged in a quest for excellence by constantly searching for ways to improve services while building upon research and evidence-based practices. Many juvenile justice initiatives undertaken at this Court have been adopted as models for other programs in Tennessee and across the nation. The process of developing new initiatives continues. Several significant events occurred in 2015.

After Memphis and Shelby County's designation by the Annie E. Casey Foundation as a **Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Site** in 2012, Juvenile Court led the efforts to create the organizational structure and develop a work plan. In 2013, the Court helped add subcommittees for specific tasks in the areas of data collection and analysis, reduction of disproportionate minority contact, review and validation of the detention assessment tool (DAT), and alternatives to detention. In 2014, our JDAI representatives visited Santa Cruz, CA to learn more about the operation of an Evening Reporting Center (ERC). The visit sparked planning for a pilot ERC with a Court agency partner which was successfully implemented in 2015. JDAI is now in effect in more than 200 counties across 39 states and the District of Columbia. The Court's efforts have resulted in improved collaboration among community agencies, significant reductions (25%) in the number of juveniles detained for less serious offenses, and 25% fewer transports by law enforcement officers.

In 2015 Juvenile Court continued to pursue reform efforts in accordance with the **Memorandum of Agreement (MOA)** between the U. S. Department of Justice and the Court and Shelby County Government. In recognition of the many reforms our Court implemented before 2012, DOJ determined the Court should continue to build on its previous reforms and become the model for juvenile justice in the United States.



**The Honorable Dan H. Michael**

*Judge*

Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County

**Youth Court**, the statutorily based program begun in February 2011, with student teams from four Memphis City Schools, expanded to 17 high schools in 2015. Youth Court is a juvenile delinquency diversion and peer restorative justice program dedicated to rehabilitation of first-time nonviolent offenders. The program holds the youthful offenders accountable for their behaviors and educates them about citizenship. Local attorneys and law students from the University of Memphis guide the student team members in their roles as prosecutors, defense counsel, jurors and court officers. One-hundred eighteen (118) cases were referred to the program in 2015, involving 253 students and 78 volunteer attorneys. Nineteen (19) first-time offenders began participating as high school team members soon after their dispositions were completed and all of their charges were dismissed. The program has the lowest recidivism of any Court program with a current rate of seven percent (7%).

**Hope Academy** began its fifth full academic year in August 2015. In February 2010, the Court partnered with Memphis City Schools to establish a structured education program for detained youth, known as "Hope Academy." The program provides six hours of classroom instruction in English, math, science, social studies and guidance/life skills. It includes a computer lab and the necessary software to connect detained youth to their regular classroom curriculum. A recreational director plans and implements a program to provide an hour of physical education and training each day. This program has proven to be of great benefit to the youth it serves. It has significantly reduced recidivism among those who have attended Hope Academy. An education mentor project, labeled **Hope Academy**

physical education and training each day. This program has proven to be of great benefit to the youth it serves. It has significantly reduced recidivism among those who have attended Hope Academy. An education mentor project, labeled **Hope Academy Mentor Program (HAMP)** began in 2012, with the objective of following up on a youth's academic progress once he or she returns to a regular school environment.

The **School Based Probation Liaison project (SBPL)**, a partnership with Shelby County Schools, began its third year of operation in 2015. The project is designed to maintain closer contact with juveniles under supervised probation upon their return to their assigned schools. Key SCS faculty and administrators are authorized to monitor the students' academic progress and school attendance as conditions of probation. The SCS staffers are also encouraged to serve as mentors and advocates for the probationers. The objective is to help prevent their return to the juvenile justice system.

The Court maintained two special grant agreements with the Tennessee Department of Human Services that provide funding for four child support magistrates, six principal court clerks and two management/supervisory personnel. The grants also support the Court's Office of the Non-Custodial Parent Advocate and provide for design and development of new computer software programs. The technological assistance reinforced the Court's initiative to provide for electronic filing of orders and petitions on child support cases. E-filing of IV-D child support petitions became operational October 5, 2011.

The **Office of Non-custodial Parent Advocate**, established in 2006, continued to function effectively in 2015. Its attorney staff assists daily pro se or self-represented litigants by explaining Court procedures and by providing them with general guidance through the different offices involved with their cases. The Office of Non-custodial Advocate has served more than 30,000 people and has been studied as a model for establishing such programs in other Tennessee counties.

The Court continued its participation in *School Pathways to Justice*, a project of the **National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ)**. The Court is one of only 16 juvenile courts in the nation selected by NCJFCJ. The project is strongly supported by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) and several foundation partners. The Court also began its work as an *Implementation Site* for the NCJFCJ dependency project. One of eight juvenile courts selected from across the nation, the Court will serve as a laboratory for improving processes in dependency and neglect cases.

Site visits for both NCJFCJ are scheduled in early 2016, and the Court will begin work with the NCJFCJ to conduct a trauma audit. This trauma audit is a goal of the Court in 2016 and will begin an on-going project that will assist the Court in becoming a trauma –informed court.


Before my eight year term as Presiding Judge officially began September 1, 2014, I explored ways to collaborate more effectively with local agencies, community partners, schools and law enforcement. One of my first initiatives was to ask **Shelby County Sheriff Bill Oldham** to consider taking over operations of the Court's detention center. The Sheriff completed a comprehensive assessment and, with the support of the Shelby County Commission, the Sheriff moved forward to transition detention operations from the Court to the Sheriff's Office. Successful transition occurred on July 1, 2015, which will result in a more secure environment for juvenile detainees, additional programming, and ensure a much higher level of training for staff.

It is an honor to have the support and encouragement of so many outstanding individuals who come to work each day, intent upon helping children and youth discover better paths for their life journeys. I am inspired by their examples of service. I am also grateful for the countless hours of voluntary services rendered by the **Auxiliary Probation Service, the Foster Care Review Boards, CASA, the Chaplaincy Corps, the Youth Court attorneys and law students, and so many others.** The Court could not operate efficiently and effectively without the generous contributions of time, talent and energy by its volunteers. Their loyal support enables the Court to fulfill its mission.

This report is available in electronic format, accessible via the Court's website:  
[www.juvenilecourt.shelbycountyttn.gov](http://www.juvenilecourt.shelbycountyttn.gov).

# Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County, Tennessee

## Organizational Chart



# Table of Contents

<b>A Message From the Judge</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>Organizational Chart</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>Statistical Summary</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>Court Clerk’s Office</b> .....	<b>7</b>
Collections Department .....	7
Accounting Department.....	8
Minute Department.....	8
Cost Clerk’s Department.....	8
Central Records Department.....	8
Process/Parent Location.....	8
<b>Legal Department</b> .....	<b>9</b>
Advocate for Noncustodial Parents .....	9
Judge’s Action Center.....	9
Office of the Guardian ad litem.....	9
<b>Juvenile Defender Panel</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>Office of Clinical Services</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>Court Service Division</b> .....	<b>11</b>
Evaluation and Referral Section.....	12
<b>Children’s Bureau</b> .....	<b>13</b>
Corrective Services Department .....	13
Protective Services Department.....	14
<b>Youth Services Bureau</b> .....	<b>16</b>
<b>Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement</b> .....	<b>18</b>
<b>Hope Academy</b> .....	<b>18</b>
<b>Juvenile Detention Services</b> .....	<b>18</b>
<b>Juvenile Data</b> .....	<b>19</b>
<b>Administrative Services Division</b> .....	<b>56</b>
Volunteer Services Bureau .....	56
Auxiliary Probation Services .....	56
Foster Care Services.....	58
COMEC.....	58
CASA.....	59
Interagency Services.....	59
<b>Index</b> .....	<b>62</b>

The following table is a brief statistical summary that reveals to some extent the Court's progress and the effectiveness and efficiency of its services during the past five years.

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
<b>Staff</b>	254	254	249	255	164
<b>Budget - Fiscal Year 2013-2014</b>	\$17,408,524	\$17,838,008	\$18,448,956	\$18,747,969	\$13,414,658
<b>Children's Cases</b>					
Other - Custody, Etc.	1,079	1,210	1,054	927	951
Dependent and Neglected	3,383	3,470	3,017	2,647	2,697
Unruly and Runaway	1,906	1,564	1,848	1,137	1,245
Delinquent	10,733	8,995	9,105	7,383	6,200
Traffic (Summoned/Taken into custody)	91	81	79	62	29
<b>Total Children's Cases</b>	<b>17,192</b>	<b>15,320</b>	<b>15,103</b>	<b>12,156</b>	<b>11,122</b>
<b>Traffic Citations</b>	3,114	3,285	2,977	2,706	2,789
<b>Children Admitted to Detention Center</b>					
Dependent and Neglected	3	0	0	0	2
Unruly and Runaway	12	10	4	0	0
Delinquent	5,132	3,844	1,440	1,272	938
Traffic	0	1	0	0	0
Transient (Previously included in Unruly.)	18	16	8	0	7
Special (Previously included in Delinquent.)	84	78	52	32	21
Federal (Previously included in Delinquent.)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Children Admitted</b>	<b>5,249</b>	<b>3,949</b>	<b>1,504</b>	<b>1,304</b>	<b>968</b>
<b>Children Committed to DCS Corrective</b>	271	295	241	184	155
<b>Children Committed to DCS Protective</b>	897	816	715	442	570
<b>Children Committed to YSB</b>	576	624	368	319	300
<b>Children Transferred as Adults</b>	121	99	90	77	47
<b>Child Support cases heard</b>	<b>33,175</b>	<b>31,774</b>	<b>31,675</b>	<b>27,237</b>	<b>22,410</b>
<b>All others (Childrens cases heard)</b>	<b>15,189</b>	<b>15,604</b>	<b>14,662</b>	<b>13,162</b>	<b>14,610</b>

\* Traffic Citations for 2013 are different than what was reported for 2013 due to a miscalculation of numbers.

## Court Clerk's Office

**Joy Touliatos**  
*Juvenile Court Clerk*

Harvey Henderson, *Chief Administrative Officer*  
Teresa Garvins, *Administrator*  
Bill Pope, *Accounting*  
Gail Poindexter, *Administrative Assistant*  
Julia Wiseman, *Central Records Department*  
Kathy Adams, *Collections Department*  
Karen Brooks, *Minute Department*  
Adreine Williams, *Court Cost*  
Calvin Walk, *Process/ Parent Location*  
LaDonna Graham, *Special Projects Coordinator*



**Joy Touliatos**  
*Clerk of Court*

The goal of the Clerk's Office in 2015 was to continue to function efficiently and effectively, to respond to the needs of the families of our community, and to generate revenue through collection of court ordered fines and fees, grant contracts and state reimbursement to offset the cost of court operation. In 2009, the Child Support Services Division at Juvenile Court was not awarded the state contract for the first time since 1976. The state contract for child support services for Shelby County went to Maximus, a private agency, beginning in July 2009. Unlike in previous years, the IV-D Child Support Agency is no longer located in the Juvenile Court building but at an off-site location. This transition in child support services has impacted the Clerk's Office operation in all areas from processing petitions filed for establishment, enforcement, genetic testing and all other related child support issues as well as "Clerk Services" reimbursement from the State. The Clerk's Office staff continues to work closely with Maximus to help meet and process the workload efficiently through this transition period.

In 2015, the Clerk's Office secured a grant contract with the State of Tennessee for the tenth consecutive year in the amount of \$940,404.85 for "service of process" in all Title IV-D child support cases. This grant shifts 66% of the total cost of funding fifteen full time positions to the State leaving the Clerk's Office budget responsible for the remaining 34%.

The Juvenile Court Clerk's Office and staff will continue to serve the public to the best of our ability with proven effective leadership, accountability and integrity.

## COLLECTIONS DEPARTMENT

Kathy Adams, *Manager*

The Collections Department within the Court Clerk's Office is responsible for the collection and processing of all monies assessed and paid through the Juvenile Court system. This includes child support payments by mail or in person, employer payrolls, all cash window activity generated from courtroom dockets, walk-ins, as well as fees and fines assessed non-judicially such as conferences, court costs, and traffic ticket violations. This area of the Clerk's Office also handles the writing of all appearance bonds, juvenile or adult, cash or surety, and collection and disbursement of court-ordered restitution payments.

Beginning in October 1999, most child support collections were re-directed to the "centralized collections" process through the State of Tennessee in Nashville. However, the Court Clerk's Office still processed \$4,894,354.81 in child support transactions in 2015. The Clerk's Office also received in 2015 a total of \$851,674.99 in state reimbursements for "Clerk Services" associated with these collections/transactions and filings under the IV-D Child Support Program.



## ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT

Bill Pope, *Manager*  
Brent Weirich, *Accountant*

This department is responsible for accounting and internally auditing the bookkeeping procedures of the Collections Department on a daily and monthly basis. At the end of each month, collected revenue that has been deposited daily through the Shelby County Trustee's Office is remitted to the County Department of Finance to be deposited into the County general fund, and all account balances are reconciled to their respective bank statements. At the end of each fiscal year, reports are prepared for the state-mandated external audit by an independent accounting/auditing firm. In addition to these routine banking responsibilities, the Accounting Department along with the Clerk has the fiduciary responsibility of managing approximately 669 victims' compensation accounts. These funds, issued by the state Treasury Department under the Criminal Injuries Compensation Program, are put into interest-bearing trust accounts for minors, and currently total almost \$4,414,777.84.

## MINUTE DEPARTMENT

Karen Brooks, *Manager*  
Katha Robards, *Supervisor*

The minutes of the Juvenile Court constitute the official record of the Court. There are eleven Minute Clerks, who are responsible for recording all the Court's proceedings and decisions and for preparing Court orders and entering those orders in the minutes.

Minute Clerks attend eighty-two scheduled dockets weekly, in addition to special dockets, special hearings, and Foster Care Review Board. In 2015, there were approximately 87,066 pages of minutes were compiled representing over 41,178 individual Juvenile Court orders.

## COST CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

Adreine Williams, *Supervisor*

As provided by law, court costs are assessed in all cases before the Court, and the Cost Clerk's Department is responsible for maintenance and collection of these fees. In 2015, collections totaled \$326,361.44.

## CENTRAL RECORDS DEPARTMENT

Julia Wiseman, *Manager*  
Freda Lewis, *Supervisor*

Central Records Department personnel are responsible for building, housing and securely maintaining all Juvenile Court records. Currently there are over 1,363,798 records housed in the Clerk's Office. In 2015, there were 8,573 legal records created and 2,100 social records created. This department also serves to provide assistance and information to the general public, court personnel, social service agencies, attorneys and law enforcement agencies by providing accurate and appropriate information required in the processing and handling of cases through the Juvenile Court.

## PROCESS/PARENT LOCATION

Calvin Walk, *Manager*  
Lori Reece, *Supervisor Parent Location*

The process Department is responsible for the service of all legal documents that require appearance in court. In 2015, 12,573 summons, subpoenas, and scire facias were successfully served. Except in rare and extreme cases, all documents are received ready for service by the Process Department within a minimum of five days prior to a scheduled court date.

The Parent Location Department is responsible for preparing jail arrest papers for the court dockets. In addition, direct access to the Sheriff's Department computer system known as the Jail Management Systems (JMS) allows staff to enter and update current status of all juvenile and adult warrants in a timely manner.

Staff in Parent Location funded under the IV-D State Contract is responsible for all tasks specific to the grant. First and foremost, this staff must enter time-sensitive data daily into the Tennessee Child Support Enforcement System relative to the status of service on all IV-D Child Support Cases.



# Juvenile Court Legal Departments

**Pamela Skelton**, *Chief Administrative Officer*

The Office of the Chief Administrative Officer provides assistance to the Court staff in pursuit of their administrative responsibilities. In addition, the Chief Administrative Officer serves as liaison between Juvenile Court and the Office of the Shelby County Attorney. The Chief Administrative Officer also serves as liaison between Juvenile Court and the state and federal government and legislative liaison between the Court and the General Assembly of the State of Tennessee. This office ensures compliance with and implementation of extensive federal and state regulations and legislation relating to the operation of Juvenile Court.

## Advocate for Noncustodial Parents

**Laurie Neale**, *Supervising Attorney*

**Carlyn Addison**, *Attorney*

**Blake Morrison**, *Attorney*

**Caitlin O'Connor**, *Intern*

People representing themselves in court often need help. In 2006, the Office of the Advocate for Noncustodial Parents was established. Attorneys provide assistance to pro se litigants in understanding their rights and responsibilities under the law, and guidance in the use of the proper legal forms. Help is available with issues such as child support, paternity, custody, and visitation. This innovative office reflects Judge Michael's commitment that Juvenile Court be open and accessible to the large number of persons who are self-represented. With 3,049 contacts in 2015, this office provided services to numerous parents and family members.

## Judge's Action Center

**Thomas W. Coupé**, *Supervising Attorney*

**Yolanda Joshua**, *Coordinator*

The Judge's Action Center was created in 2008 to serve as a liaison between Juvenile Court and the public to help answer questions or address concerns for people who have issues involving Juvenile Court of child welfare matters.

The Action Center provides helpful and timely answers for those who have questions concerning Juvenile Court, assists people with problems that have previously gone unresolved and receives suggestions on how to improve court operations.

## Office of the Guardian ad litem

**Belynda Dwyer**, *Supervising Attorney*

**Sarah Kyle Gilmer**, *Coordinator*

The Office of the Guardian ad litem is charged with assuring competent legal representation for children and parents in required Juvenile Court cases in compliance with Federal and State laws and Juvenile Court policy and procedure. The Office is also charged with serving as a liaison between Guardians ad litem, appointed counsel, private attorneys, the Tennessee Department of Children's Services, departments within Juvenile Court and other stake holders to promote the best practices and policies in children's cases.

The Attorney Coordinator facilitates the appointment of a Guardian ad litem, an appropriately trained and licensed lawyer, to advocate for the best interests of a child in any proceeding in which:

- The child has no parent, guardian, or other custodian appearing on the child's behalf
- The child's interest may conflict with those of the parent, guardian, or custodian
- The child is alleged to be abused
- The allegation of harm falls within the mandatory child abuse reporting laws; or
- The proceeding is a contested termination of parental rights case

The Attorney Coordinator also facilitates the appointment of counsel for qualified indigent parents in dependency and neglect and termination of parental rights cases and parents involving application of the Federal Civil Servicemember Act.

In 2015, approximately 1,257 Guardians ad litem and 1,045 parents' counsel were appointed in children's cases.

## Juvenile Defender Panel

**Scot Bearup, *Coordinator***

The Juvenile Defender's office is managed by a Coordinator who supervises a staff of 21 private attorneys, known as the Juvenile Defender Panel, and one investigator. Of those attorneys, all handle detention and delinquency dockets. Some of those attorneys also handle the child support contempt dockets and education neglect/truancy dockets. All attorneys are duly licensed and in good standing to practice, and have juvenile and criminal defense experience ranging from 3 to 38 years. The Panel defends juveniles charged with delinquent offenses ranging from disorderly conduct to first degree murder.

The Defender's Office is appointed to provide legal defense to all indigent juveniles within 24 hours of their detention in delinquency matters (offenses classified as felony and misdemeanor). In felony classified cases, (for example, aggravated robbery, robbery, aggravated kidnapping, kidnapping, aggravated assault, aggravated rape, rape, sexual battery, murder first, murder second, and voluntary manslaughter), panel attorneys work diligently towards a disposition that will avoid transfer to adult court and retain the child in the juvenile system for rehabilitation. All cases classified as misdemeanor, truancy and unruly are heard in Juvenile Court and rehabilitative services are provided within the Juvenile System.

The Defender's Office also works with the families, support organizations, schools and mental health facilities to protect the juvenile's interest and litigate for placement in the appropriate program for rehabilitation.

Defenders are also appointed to represent indigent adults (both incarcerated and walk-ins) charged with contempt of court for either failure to pay child support or failure to comply with parental visitation orders.

From January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015, the Juvenile Defender Panel represented 2,533 cases assigned on the delinquency docket. There were 7,025 adult defendants cited for failure to comply with orders of child support in contempt proceedings and of those, 3,438 (48.94%) utilized services of the Defender Panel.

## Office of Clinical Services

**Tucker Johnson, *Ph. D.***

**Candice Hamlin, *Admin Tech***

The Office of Clinical Services is responsible for conducting mental health and cognitive screenings, comprehensive psychological and specialized evaluations, and risk/needs assessments of Court-involved youth; preparing memoranda and psychological reports to assist with post-adjudicatory disposition of Court-involved youth; initiating referrals to the Tennessee Department of Children's Services (DCS) for alleged child maltreatment; preparation of transfer packets for youth waived to the criminal justice system; and providing other services as requested by the Court. In 2015, there were 74 comprehensive mental health evaluations completed by the Office of Clinical Services.

The Office of Clinical Services maintains a formal partnership with the University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC) through the University of Tennessee Professional Psychology Internship Consortium (UTPPIC). Pre-doctoral interns are placed in Juvenile Court for 4-month rotations where they are trained in best practices for juvenile forensic transfer evaluations. The training opportunities provided to future psychologists are consistently rated among the best of the clinical rotations available to pre-doctoral interns.

The primary objective of the Office of Clinical Services is to assist the Court with post-adjudicatory disposition based on identified mental health needs, consistent with the philosophy of the juvenile justice system.

# Court Services Division

Gary Cummings, *Director*

The Court Services Division includes the Children’s Bureau, and the Youth Services Bureau, which now contains the Evaluation and Referral Section. The Court Service Division was also responsible for Detention Services until June 30, 2015. Detention Services were then transferred to the Shelby County Sheriff’s Office – Juvenile Detention Services effective July 1, 2015. Court Services provides pre-adjudicatory and post-adjudicatory services to children brought to the attention of Juvenile Court. The Court Services Division is mandated by Tennessee Code Annotated, Title 37 to meet the following statutory requirements:

- Provide for the care, protections, and wholesome development of children coming within its provisions;
- Remove from children committing delinquent acts the taint of criminality and the consequences of criminal behavior and substitute a program of treatment, training and rehabilitation;
- Avoid whenever possible separating children from their parents only when necessary to protect the child’s welfare or in the interest of public safety;
- Assure that all parties are assured a fair hearing and their constitutional and other legal rights are recognized and enforced;
- Deinstitutionalize children who have not been found to be delinquent.

In 2015, 11,122 children were brought to the attention of Juvenile Court on matters relating to delinquency, unruly behavior, and dependency and neglect. This is a decrease of 8.5% from the 12,156 cases that came to the Court in 2014. An additional 2,789 children were issued traffic citations representing a 3.1% increase over the 2,706 cases the previous year. While the number of cases has dropped significantly over the past ten years, the Court continues to see more children diagnosed with serious mental health and physical issues that contribute to the difficulty of finding appropriate treatment and placement resources. The Evaluation and Referral Section of the Youth Services Bureau is responsible for finding the appropriate mental health services ordered by the Court. They are also responsible for monitoring the progress of the treatment to ensure compliance of court orders.

**Juvenile Detention Services** admitted 2,134 children transported to the Detention Center in 2015. Of that number, 1,166 were released without being “officially admitted” to the Detention Center. Implementation of the Detention Assessment Tool (DAT) has assisted the Court in detaining only those children who may be in danger of harming themselves or a danger to the community.

Over the past five years, the Court has worked with the Memphis City Schools in the School House Adjustment Program Enterprise (SHAPE) to help reduce the number of children taken into custody from 22 targeted city schools. The Juvenile Court has partnered with the Memphis Police Department and the Shelby County Sheriff’s Department to implement the Juvenile Summons Program. This program requests that law enforcement officers issue juvenile summonses in lieu of physical arrest on seven designated offenses.

In September of 2013, the Court entered into a Memorandum of Agreement with the Shelby County Sheriff’s Office to implement the Law Enforcement Assessment Phone-in Pilot Program (LEAP) to help reduce the number of children who are physically arrested and brought to Juvenile Court. Sheriff’s deputies may call the Central Detention Admissions Office to seek assistance on the necessity of physical arrests. Juvenile Summonses may be issued in lieu of arrest. Officers may also seek advice on other options that would allow children to remain in their home or community placement pending review of their complaint. The LEAP program was expanded to include the Memphis Police Department in 2014, and added the Germantown and Collierville Police Departments in 2015. Our hope is to include other local law enforcement jurisdictions during 2016.

These efforts to reduce the number of physical arrests have resulted in the Juvenile Court being designated by the Annie E. Casey Foundation as a Juvenile Detention Alternatives (JDAI) site. While the Detention Services Bureau works to reduce the number of children detained, public safety and the safety of the children are always paramount. Every effort is made to place children in a safe and secure environment pending the outcome of their case.

**The Children’s Bureau** was responsible for resolving 13,911 children’s cases presented to the Court in 2015. This represents a 6.4% decrease from the previous year’s 14,862 children’s cases. The Children’s Bureau consists of the Corrective Services Department which handles cases of children charged with delinquent and traffic charges.

The Protective Services Department handles children who are alleged to be dependent and neglected as well as custody and visitation matters. The probation counselors in the Children’s Bureau work closely with the Tennessee Department of Children’s Services, the Tennessee Department of Human Services and many local private agencies to ensure that the safest and least restrictive placements are made for all children. Counselors assigned to the Non-Custodial Diversion Section work with unruly children who have not yet been officially referred to the

to the Non-Custodial Diversion Section work with unruly children who have not yet been officially referred to the Court. Referrals to local child-serving agencies assist parents with counseling and behavior management programs.

**The Youth Services Bureau (YSB)** provides a post adjudicatory continuum of graduated sanction for children and allows them to remain in their homes under close supervision. During 2014, the Youth Services Bureau received 300 referrals, placing children under supervision in several different programs: Case Management, Intensive Case Management, Drug Offenders Control System (DOCS), and electronic monitoring to include voice recognition, radio frequency and Global Positioning Satellites (GPS). Case Management and Intensive Case Management are used for children needing a higher level of supervision. Case managers visit the children in their homes and schools to ensure that they are in compliance with the orders of the Court. The DOCS Program is designed to monitor children who have committed drug offenses or who are in need of drug education. Violators of these monitoring strategies are brought to the attention of the Juvenile Court Magistrates and may be subject to further sanctions for violations of the conditions of placement.

**The Evaluation and Referral Section (E & R)** works in collaboration with other bureaus at the Court to meet the ever growing mental health needs of children coming to the attention of Juvenile Court. In 2015, E & R served 926 families and made numerous referrals to community service providers. Once the needs are identified, E & R moves quickly to find resources appropriate for the child and the family. Many local child serving agencies provide an array of treatment services from inpatient care to outpatient group and individual therapeutic counseling. Compliance and progress are monitored by the probation counselors assigned to E & R. Non-compliance with court ordered treatment may result in children and families being brought back to court.

## The Evaluation and Referral Section

*Nancy Roll, Manager*

Established in 1989, the Evaluation and Referral Section (E&R) is mandated with seeking treatment and rehabilitation of court involved youth. The bureau was changed to a section in 2015, and placed with the Youth Services Bureau E&R recognizes that many children who present to the court do so for a number of reasons, including having unidentified and/or untreated mental health, substance abuse or other special needs. These unmet needs gravely affect decision making and behavior. The E&R Section works closely with other areas of the Court to identify the needs specific to each family. During 2014, the Court implemented the Youth Assessment and Screening Instrument, and the tool is still used. This assessment tool has provided E&R with the opportunity to identify youth with high risk factors. Once these needs and risk factors are identified, referrals are made to community service providers and compliance and progress in treatment are closely monitored. Ultimately, the goal of the Evaluation and Referral Bureau is to promote healthy development and resilience, reduce recidivism and assist youth in developing into productive members of society. Because each child is unique, and because multiple individuals, life circumstances and experiences effect development, the Evaluation and Referral Bureau takes a holistic, strength-based approach when working with Court-involved youth and families.

During the 2015 calendar year, 926 youth were referred to E&R for services. The substantial increase in referrals necessitated hiring new staff.

## Children's Bureau

**Barry L. Mitchell**, *Chief Probation Officer*  
**Mamie Jones**, *Deputy Chief Probation Officer*

The Children's Bureau is responsible for handling a diverse caseload. The Corrective Services Department handles all delinquent matters while the Protective Services Department handles cases alleging dependency and neglect as well as visitation and custody issues. In 2015, the Children's Bureau handled 11,122 cases.

To handle such a diverse caseload, the Children's Bureau maintains a close working relationship with other departments of the Court. Additionally, the Children's Bureau works with law enforcement and child placement agencies outside the Court.

The Community Service Coordinator is responsible for developing resources for juveniles to perform volunteer work as ordered by the Court. This service allows juveniles to take responsibility for their actions and make restitution for their delinquent acts.

The Non-Custodial Diversion Section handles traffic, truancy, and unruly cases. Staff handles walk-in clients that are experiencing difficulty with the behavior of unruly children. Appropriate cases are referred to local agencies for counseling. During 2015, this section handled 406 unruly cases.

## Corrective Services

### Department

**Martha Rogers**, *Manager, Non-Custodial Diversion Section*

**Jacqueline Parson**, *Supervisor, Probation Section*

**Jason Tate**, *Supervisor, Probation Section*

**Ruby Jones**, *Supervisor, Clerical Section*

The Corrective Services Department, consisting of the Probation Sections and the Non-Custodial Diversion Section, handles a wide range of offenses committed by juveniles. Dispositions in these cases vary from forfeitures and community service to probation, placement in the Youth Services Bureau, commitment to the Tennessee Department of Children's Services or transfer to Criminal Court

The Probation Section is responsible for the majority of pre-hearing investigations and casework in delinquency cases including juvenile summonses issued by law enforcement agencies throughout Shelby County. The Non-Custodial Diversion Section provides assistance with complaints of unruly children, processes truancy complaints, handles thousands of traffic violations each year, and coordinates the Community Service Program and Mediation and Restitution/Reconciliation Services. The Non-Custodial Section manager gathers information from the Graduated Sanctions Grid to evaluate trends that affect Disproportionate Minority Contact issues.

The Community Service Program is a symbolic restitution program for children who have committed various types of offenses. Delinquent youth are placed with public and private non-profit agencies that provide work assignments and supervision. There were 226 referrals to the program in 2015.

## Protective Services Department

**Robert Michael Blancett**, *Manager*

**Erica N. Glynn**, *Supervisor*

**Demetria Maxwell-Hughlett**, *Supervisor*

The Protective Services Department consists of Juvenile Services Counselors that are responsible for handling all matters that involve child abuse, neglect, custody and visitation. Protective Services counselors held conferences with approximately 5,039 individual clients during 2015 as well as provided assistance to approximately 7,473 children. Protective Services provides assistance to individuals, both relatives and nonrelatives; agencies, such as the Tennessee Department of Children’s Services; and both private and court appointed attorneys in preparing and filing petitions that contain allegations of abuse and neglect against children. The Protective Services Department processed a total of 3,622 complaints during 2015. There were approximately 2,708 dependency and neglect complaints filed and 914 custody and visitation complaints filed in 2015.

The Protective Services Counselors ensure that all cases are set on the docket to be heard by a magistrate or the Judge. Protective Services counselors are also responsible for making referrals to the Tennessee Department of Children’s Services on each case that comes into their office so that the allegations on the petitions may be properly investigated and the results can be presented to the Judge or Magistrate that hears the case. The counselors are also responsible for ensuring a referral is made requesting a guardian ad litem (court appointed attorney) be appointed for each child’s case.

The Protective Services Department also assists clients with obtaining protective custody orders for removing children from environments or persons that are found to be an eminent harm or danger to the child. Counselors in this department also assist noncustodial parents in filing petitions for visitation of children born out of wedlock. This department is responsible for assisting clients in obtaining marriage waivers for minors. Obtaining emergency medical treatment orders for doctors to provide medical treatment to children in life threatening situations is also a very important responsibility of this department.

The Protective Services Department works closely with the Tennessee Department of Children’s Services, Court Appointed Special Advocates, private attorneys, law enforcement personnel, Memphis and Shelby County School officials, and private child placing agencies. The staff also works closely with other

organizations such as the Child Protection Investigation Team housed at the Child Advocacy Center. The Child Protection Investigation Team is a coordinated interagency multidisciplinary response to prevent, detect, investigate, treat and prosecute child sexual abuse and severe physical abuse. Protective Services also plays an important role in the Department of Justice’s Defending Childhood Initiative. This initiative addresses children’s exposure to violence. Goals are to prevent children’s exposure to violence as victims and witnesses, mitigate the negative effects experienced by children exposed to violence, and develop knowledge and increase awareness of this initiative.

The Protective Services Department plays an important role in the Shelby County Interagency Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team. This team assists local agencies in identifying and reviewing domestic abuse deaths, including homicides and suicides, and facilitating communication among the various agencies involved in domestic abuse cases. Determining whether or not children were witnesses to or exposed to these fatalities and if they were related to either the victim or the offender is also an important concern of this team. Members of this team include personnel from the coroner’s office, law enforcement, District Attorney’s office, Family Safety Center, Department of Children’s Services, and other governmental and private agencies.

The Protective Services Department of the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County also is involved with other initiatives and collaborations. The Protective Services Department is an Endorsing Partner of the Shelby County Early Success Coalition. The mission of the Early Success Coalition is to promote and expand effective outreach, education and direct services for families with young children, preconception to age six, that together offer families an integrated, comprehensive set of resources to help them provide their children with the strongest foundation for lifelong success. The Protective Services Department at Juvenile Court is also a partner with the Department of Children’s Services In Home Tennessee Domestic Violence work group. In Home Tennessee is aimed at enhancing the services provided to families involved with the child welfare system across the state. Through In Home Tennessee, communities and the Department of Children’s Services work together to enhance child welfare practice, and to improve the availability, quality, and delivery of in-home services to Tennessee’s children and families. The primary focus of this work group is DCS intervention in domestic violence cases and the ongoing assessment of the risk posed to children by the presence of domestic violence.

welfare practice, and to improve the availability, quality, and delivery of in-home services to Tennessee's children and families. The primary focus of this work group is DCS intervention in domestic violence cases and the ongoing assessment of the risk posed to children by the presence of domestic violence.

The National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges chose the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County as one of only eight sites in the country as a National Implementation Site for Dependency and Neglect. This is a nationwide child welfare program to "implement best practices and to improve court systems for the benefit of the children and families in their respective jurisdictions." The Protective Services Department is pleased to be working with NCJFCJ in developing and improving our court systems in order to better serve the children and families of Memphis and Shelby County



## Youth Services Bureau

**Frances Gonzales**, *Administrator*

**Donna Gray**, *Deputy Administrator*

**Nancy Roll**, *Manager*

The mission of the Youth Services Bureau is to develop, manage and supervise community-based programs for youth in Shelby County who require supervision by the Court. Using a sensible, not sanctimonious approach, the goals of the Youth Services Bureau are to correct, re-educate, redirect, and habilitate delinquent youth who come before the Court.

When a youth is taken into custody for a delinquent offense in Shelby County, they must answer to those charges in the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County. There are various ways these cases are handled including a Court hearing. Prior to going to Court, the Youth Services Bureau reviews the case to see if it is appropriate for YSB. A number of factors are taken into consideration when approving a case for YSB placement. Some of the factors include prior history, community risk, and availability of the home. All children placed in YSB reside in the home of their parent or guardian, and are monitored by YSB Case Managers or Intensive Case Managers.

YSB uses a strength based individual placement plan and assessment chart, and Community Risk Assessment. This is developed through an interview with the parent/guardian and child, and a review of the youth's Juvenile Court file. After this information is assembled a "staffing" is held involving the bureau administrators, manager, and the Assessment Services Coordinator. Based on the assessment, a consensus is reached as to which program best benefits the youth and family. The youth is placed on Case Management, Drug Offenders Control System (DOCS), or Intensive Case Management (ICM). Electronic monitoring such as voice verification, ankle bracelets with Radio Frequency (RF), or GPS tracking are placed on children who require more supervision.

Youth who do not require an intense level of supervision are placed on Case Management. These youth are monitored using state of the art electronic technology such as those mentioned above. The various levels of monitoring are used as graduated sanctions for those youth in need of closer supervision. Additionally, these youth are required to be at home, except when they go to school, attend church, have a doctor's

appointment, or other special occasions approved by their Case Manager or Intensive Case Manager.

Drug Offenders Control System (DOCS) is designed to monitor youth that have committed drug offenses or are in need of drug education. Youth follow the same rules as Case Management and additionally must attend a community-based alcohol and drug program. The children are randomly tested for drugs by urinalysis. After the youth have successfully completed their home confinement, drug education class and have clean drug screens they are discharged from the program.

Youth who require closer supervision are assigned to Intensive Case Management. Their cases are supervised by an Intensive Case Manager, who makes home visits, school visits, job visits, and helps secure community resources that may assist the youth from having further contact with the Court. The intensive case manager may also use some form of electronic monitoring. Each intensive case manager supervises a caseload of approximately 12 to 15 cases, which affords them the ability to interact with each client on a much closer basis

As previously mentioned, the various forms of electronic monitoring are used as a graduated sanction. A youth may start with voice verification, but later be moved to a higher level of electronic monitoring, such as RF or GPS if this level of supervision proves to be ineffective.

Youth placed on voice verification are required to have a template of their voice made by reciting various words and phrases to the monitoring station. Once the youth returns home they will be called on a random basis several times per day, and must repeat various words and phrases as prompted by the monitoring station. If there is no answer, or the voice does not match the template, the monitoring station will report the violation to the Case Manager, who will take the appropriate action in dealing with the violation. When a youth is placed on RF a signal is sent to the monitoring station when the youth leaves home, and likewise upon their return. If the absence is unauthorized, once again the monitoring station will notify the case manager via the internet. GPS monitoring is the highest level of electronic monitoring. These youth may be traced using the internet, which will show their movement on a map, and each location the youth visits. By using GPS both inclusion and exclusion

electronic monitoring. These youth may be traced using the internet, which will show their movement on a map, and each location the youth visits. By using GPS both inclusion and exclusion zones may be established. This allows the case manager to determine if a youth has left a location they are required to be at, such as school, or they are in a location that is off limits to them.

The Youth Service Bureau continues its collaborative efforts with community-based initiatives such as Juvenile Intervention and Faith Based Follow-up (JIFF) program, which provides counseling and mentoring services to youth in selected zip codes. YSB marked its eleventh year of involvement with JIFF. Youth assigned to YSB requiring mental health and substance abuse services are referred to the Court’s Evaluation and Referral Section.

YSB continues to partner with Shelby County Schools in the School Based Probation Liaison Initiative. Teachers in seventeen schools will act as a

liaison for youth placed on court-supervised probation or in YSB. They will address educational and behavioral problems a youth may be having in school and work with the assigned auxiliary probation officer or YSB case manager in addressing these issues.

During 2015, YSB implemented a pilot project for an Evening Reporting Center. The center is used as a Juvenile Detention Alternative program. The pilot was so successful that funding was acquired to make the ERC a permanent program. The center is used as a graduated sanction for those youth in YSB who are not in compliance with their case plan. It may also be used as an incentive for children who are in compliance, but if they attend and successfully complete, they may be released from YSB probation earlier than their projected release.

**Children consigned to the YSB in 2015 compared to the previous nine years:**

<b>Total</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>
<b>Total</b>	931	985	791	669	579	576	624	368	322	300

## Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement

Caralee Barrett, *Research Specialist*

In 2005, the Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement (OEPI) was established to augment the existing statistical data collection efforts of Juvenile Court. This office will gather and examine data relevant to the many duties and activities of the Court Services Division in order to assist in the continuous examination of the systemic performance and to measure the effectiveness of:

- Intervention initiatives of delinquent youth whose Court case proceedings result in adjudication or are handled non-judicially
- The level of monitoring and supervision according to dispositions and referral sources
- The impact of interventions based on youth development, accountability, and/or public safety

Ongoing trend analysis will assist the Court in planning for the timely and appropriate allocation of resources to manage the changing needs of an unpredictable caseload. Informative internal evaluations serve to provide objective and quantifiable data to promote, support, and guide development and sustainability of effective programs offered by the Detention Services Bureau, Children's Bureau, Youth Service Bureau, and the Evaluation and Referral Bureau, and Volunteer Services Bureau.

As the Memorandum Agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice (December 2012) to address remedial measures with the Court, the OEPI is assisting with addressing equal protection issues relative to Disproportionate Minority Contact.

### Juvenile Detention Services

Chief Kirk Fields, Sheriff's Office, *Juvenile Facilities*  
 Chief Deidra Bridgeforth, Sheriff's Office, *Juvenile Court Detention*

On July 1, 2015, the Shelby County Sheriff's Office took control of Juvenile Court's Juvenile Detention Services (JDS). JDS is designed for those youth who are a threat to themselves, public safety, or who are a flight risk. JDS is a 135 bed single occupancy secure detention center. Children are usually held for a short period of time awaiting court hearings or further placement decisions. While housed at the detention

center, supervised youth are provided education, recreation, health assessments, counseling, and other intervention services. Juvenile Court, in collaboration with Shelby County Schools, provides an education program for all detained youth in the detention center. Highly trained staff provide for the care, safety, welfare, and security of every child in the custody of the Court. It is the expectation that DSB ensures that every child is protected from harm and self-incrimination by ensuring due process. In 2015, JDS admitted 968 youths with 1,166 youths being released to a parent or guardian.

The detention center is comprised of three units: Boy's Detention, Girl's Detention, and Central Detention Control. JDS is a twenty-four (24) hour, seven (7) day a week, 365 days a year operation. DSB necessitates a staffing ratio of 1 to 8 during waking hours and 1 to 12 during sleeping hours.

The detention center has partnered with the Annie E. Casey Foundation to work toward developing alternatives to detention, thus reducing the number of youth from being introduced to the Juvenile Justice System. All youth admitted to the detention center are informed of their rights and their charge(s). Youth who are admitted to the detention center are appointed counsel by request.

In an effort to safeguard every youth in the detention center, Correct Care Solutions (CCS) provides twenty-four (24) hour medical and mental health services to all youth in the custody of Juvenile Court.

Juvenile Detention Services will continue to strive to work towards improving its efforts in the reduction of youths being introduced into the Juvenile Justice System, by adhering to national and federal best practices used throughout the United States.

### Hope Academy

Michael Smith, *Program Administrator*


Hope Academy is a partnership with Shelby County Juvenile Court and Shelby County Schools that provides a quality education to address the academic and social needs of students detained at Juvenile Court. All educational programs are staffed by certified Shelby County School staff in the following subjects: Science, Social Studies, Math, and English.

The outcome of HOPE Academy will focus on academic success; drop-out prevention, recovery of out-of-school youth, increased graduation rates, educational, emotional and counseling services, and diversion from the criminal justice system.

**Detention Center**  
**Juveniles Detained by Type**

**TEN YEAR COMPARISON**

**Juveniles Detained by Type 2015**




Average Number of Days in Detention Center

Delinquent	24.97
Special	8.91
Transient	2.56
Dependent & Neglected	1.50
<b>Total Average for All Categories</b>	<b>24.43</b>

Institutional and Agency Placements


**Delinquency Placements**  
TEN YEAR COMPARISON

**Delinquency Placements 2015**



**Dependency and Neglect Placements**  
TEN YEAR COMPARISON


**Dependency and Neglect Placements 2015**




Institutional and Agency Placements

**Traffic Violators  
2015**


**Traffic Violators by Age 2015**



**Traffic Violators by Race 2015**



**Traffic Violators by Sex 2015**



	Citations Received	Amount of Forfeitures	Closed	Open	Total Required Conferences	Conferences Held	Handled Non-Judicially	Handled Judicially
<b>Totals</b>	2,789	\$100,148.35	2,669	120	892	1,061	0	0

The Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County has waived jurisdiction in all cases in which a child sixteen (16) years of age or over is charged by officers of the Shelby County municipalities of, Collierville, Germantown, and Millington with violation of a state traffic law or a municipal traffic ordinance, except when a child is taken into custody in connection with the offense, in which case the child must be brought to the Juvenile Court Detention Center. Juvenile Court expressly retains jurisdiction over all juveniles charged with traffic law violations by other law enforcement agencies within Shelby County.

## Children's Bureau

### Juvenile Complaints By Month

#### Complaint Level

Month	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
January	331	165	47	30	86	96	48	55	858
February	243	116	74	54	87	109	38	42	763
March	432	171	79	62	121	115	58	50	1,088
April	390	156	95	58	103	108	35	40	985
May	426	215	74	24	76	87	49	42	993
June	441	199	91	52	140	150	32	32	1,137
July	333	111	93	71	162	143	42	29	984
August	292	86	47	19	159	150	47	37	837
September	384	149	34	10	92	122	40	32	863
October	397	152	47	26	113	120	39	38	932
November	313	134	39	28	92	90	39	44	779
December	433	131	52	39	77	99	44	28	903
<b>Total:</b>	<b>4,415</b>	<b>1,785</b>	<b>772</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>1,308</b>	<b>1,389</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>11,122</b>

Month	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
January	71	57	423	271	18	18	858
February	83	54	345	251	14	16	763
March	89	57	584	329	17	12	1,088
April	97	58	509	288	17	16	985
May	91	50	523	304	11	14	993
June	97	69	590	354	17	10	1,137
July	82	42	528	300	20	12	984
August	97	58	433	223	15	11	837
September	71	58	464	237	15	18	863
October	82	42	506	285	8	9	932
November	75	52	401	239	7	5	779
December	89	47	506	237	11	13	903
<b>Total:</b>	<b>1,024</b>	<b>644</b>	<b>5,812</b>	<b>3,318</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>11,122</b>


“Other” category includes Custody, School Admissions, Visitation, and Traffic Charges that are not considered delinquent per TCA.



## Juvenile Complaints By Type

TEN YEAR COMPARISON


### Juvenile Complaints by Type 2015



## Juvenile Complaints By Type

2015

### Juvenile Complaints 2015



Delinquency      Unruly      Dependency      Total Other

“Other” category includes Custody, School Admissions, Visitation, and Traffic Charges that are not considered delinquent per TCA.

## Children's Bureau Juvenile Complaints By Age Complaint Level

Age	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
0	0	0	0	0	103	108	41	40	292
1	0	0	0	0	98	73	44	30	245
2	0	0	0	0	94	80	46	39	259
3	0	0	0	0	78	81	44	39	242
4	0	0	0	0	85	72	36	40	233
5	0	0	13	11	75	84	33	42	258
6	5	2	49	42	82	83	30	40	333
7	8	2	31	35	59	94	25	21	275
8	22	7	31	25	74	73	31	24	287
9	37	9	21	20	76	69	29	25	286
10	60	19	19	23	61	72	26	23	303
11	86	30	20	22	47	64	11	15	295
12	197	91	36	11	57	81	21	17	511
13	395	149	31	19	61	60	14	15	744
14	615	264	90	46	67	72	24	21	1,199
15	810	339	104	68	85	85	26	15	1,532
16	1,025	420	157	71	57	82	14	14	1,840
17	1,148	445	165	78	49	54	16	9	1,964
18	7	8	5	2	0	2	0	0	24
<b>Total:</b>	4,415	1,785	772	473	1,308	1,389	511	469	11,122

Age	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
0	29	31	107	99	8	18	292
1	34	25	96	71	12	7	245
2	34	15	103	90	3	14	259
3	23	23	97	87	2	10	242
4	26	23	83	77	12	12	233
5	21	25	96	104	4	8	258
6	21	19	138	138	7	10	333
7	16	20	99	124	8	8	275
8	30	23	120	100	8	6	287
9	16	16	140	102	7	5	286
10	17	19	139	112	10	6	303
11	15	18	146	109	3	4	295
12	29	26	275	169	7	5	511
13	40	28	454	205	7	10	744
14	72	47	709	355	15	1	1,199
15	128	62	875	438	22	7	1,532
16	191	109	1,057	465	5	13	1,840
17	281	112	1,068	464	29	10	1,964
18	1	3	10	9	1	0	24
<b>Total:</b>	1,024	644	5,812	3,318	170	154	11,122

“Other” category includes Custody, School Admissions, Visitation, and Traffic Charges that are not considered delinquent per TCA.

# Children's Bureau

## Referral Reasons

### Incident Level

	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
<b>CS/CUST./VISIT Allegations</b>							
CUSTODY	47	39	201	194	13	17	511
VISITATION	31	29	165	159	8	11	403
<b>Sub Total:</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>914</b>

#### **DELINQUENT Allegations**

<b>911 CALLS IN NON-EMERGENCY SITUATIONS</b>							
PROHIBITED	1	0	4	7	0	0	12
ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT-FELONY	0	1	0	4	0	0	5
ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT-MISDEMEANOR	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
ACCIDENT - DEATH OR PERSONAL INJURY	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
ACTING IN CONCERT - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
AGGRAVATED 911 CALLS IN NON-EMERGENCY SITUATIONS PROHIBITED	0	0	36	1	0	0	37
AGGRAVATED ANIMAL CRUELTY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
AGGRAVATED ARSON	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	28	7	116	47	3	0	201
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - MISD A	1	0	1	1	0	0	3
AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	8	0	257	15	1	0	281
AGGRAVATED CHILD ABUSE	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
AGGRAVATED CRIMINAL TRESPASS	1	0	21	0	1	0	23
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
AGGRAVATED RAPE	0	0	7	0	0	0	7
AGGRAVATED RIOT	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	1	153	8	0	0	162
AGGRAVATED SEXUAL BATTERY	6	1	33	3	1	0	44
AGGRAVATED SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A MINOR	2	0	8	7	0	0	17
AIRPORT AIRCRAFT SECURITY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ALT/FALS/FRG AUTO TITLE/PLATE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
ARSON	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ASSAULT	72	19	700	645	10	5	1,451
ASSAULT ON A POLICE OFFICER	0	0	4	2	0	0	6
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED ARSON	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	1	0	9	0	0	0	10
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	40	1	0	0	41
ATTEMPT - BURGLARY OF A VEHICLE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
ATTEMPT - CARJACKING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - CARRY WEAPON ON SCHOOL CAMPUS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	2	0	0	0	2

It should be noted that all offenses filed at Juvenile Court (excluding traffic citations) are reflected in this section. A child may be charged with multiple offenses on any given complaint.

# Children's Bureau

## Referral Reasons

### Incident Level

DELINQUENT Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
ATTEMPT - FRAUDULENT USE OF CREDIT / ID CARD							
ATTEMPT - MURDER 1ST DEGREE	6	0	38	0	0	0	44
ATTEMPT - MURDER 2ND DEGREE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
ATTEMPT - RAPE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - ROBBERY	0	0	12	0	0	0	12
ATTEMPT - SEXUAL BATTERY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000 TO \$9,999	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - THEFT OF PROPERTY \$500 OR LESS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - THEFT OF PROPERTY \$501 TO \$999	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
BURGLARY OF A BUILDING	2	0	85	9	0	0	96
BURGLARY OF A VEHICLE	15	0	56	2	0	0	73
CARJACKING	0	0	11	1	0	0	12
CARRY WEAPON ON SCHOOL CAMPUS	12	0	62	21	1	0	96
CHILD ABUSE AND CHILD NEGLECT (8 YRS OLD OR YOUNGER)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
CHILD ENDANGERMENT	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
CIVIL RIGHTS INTIMIDATION	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
COERCION OF A WITNESS	1	0	3	0	0	0	4
COMMUNICATING A THREAT CONCERNING A SCHOOL EMPLOYEE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
CONTEMPT OF COURT - DEL	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
CONTRABAND INTO PENAL INST	0	0	2	2	0	0	4
CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT A FELONY	0	1	6	2	0	0	9
CRIMINAL IMPERSONATION	2	0	11	4	0	0	17
CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY - FACILITATION FELONY AGG ROBBERY	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
CRIMINAL SIMULATION (UP TO \$1,000)	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
CRIMINAL TRESPASSING	49	14	512	101	4	1	681
CRUELTY TO ANIMALS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
DISORDERLY CONDUCT	13	5	266	208	2	1	495
DOMESTIC ASSAULT	35	17	371	221	7	7	658
DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE	5	2	0	0	0	0	7
DRIVING W/IMPAIRED .02 BAC	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
DRIVING WHILE LICENSE REVOKED, SUSPENDED OR CANCELLED	6	1	12	7	0	0	26
DRIVING WITHOUT A LICENSE	1	0	3	1	1	0	6
ESCAPE	3	1	3	1	0	0	8
ESP. AGGRAVATED SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A MI- NOR	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	5	0	0	0	5

# Children's Bureau

## Referral Reasons

### Incident Level

DELINQUENT Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
EVADING ARREST - FELONY	1	0	11	0	0	0	12
EVADING ARREST - MISDEMEANOR	4	0	151	14	2	0	171
EXHIBIT FALSE IDENTIFICATION	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
EXTORTION	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
FACILITATION OF A FELONY	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
FACILITATION OF A MISDEMEANOR	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
FACILITATION OF FELONY CRIMINAL ATTEMPT AGG ROBBERY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
FAIL TO COMPLY W/COMM SERVICE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
FAILURE TO REPORT AN ACCIDENT	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
FALSE REPORTING BOMB OR FIRE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
FALSE REPORTING TO AUTHORITY	1	0	4	3	0	0	8
FIRST DEGREE MURDER IN PERPETRATION OF FELONY	0	0	13	0	0	0	13
FORGERY	3	0	3	1	0	0	7
FRAUDULENT USE / ALTERED DRIVER'S LICENSE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
FUGITIVE FROM JUSTICE	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
GAMBLING	0	0	25	0	0	0	25
GIVING FALSE INFO TO POLICE	0	1	2	1	0	0	4
HARASSMENT	1	0	6	6	0	0	13
HARBORING OR HIDING A CHILD RUNAWAY	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
HUNTING FROM MOTORIZED VEHICLE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
HUNTING FROM PUBLIC ROAD	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
IDENTITY THEFT	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
ILLEGAL POSS OR FRAUD USE - CREDIT/DEBIT CARD \$500 <	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
ILLEGAL POSS OR FRAUD USE OF CREDIT/DEBIT (\$1,000 - \$9,999)	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ILLEGAL POSS OR FRAUD USE OF CREDIT/DEBIT CARD (\$501 - \$999)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ILLEGAL POSSESSION OR DESTRUCTION OF WILDLIFE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER DISPLAY OF REGISTRATION PLATES	1	1	1	2	0	0	5
IMPROPER STATE REGISTRATION	3	1	13	2	0	0	19
INCEST	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
INCITING TO RIOT	0	0	10	11	1	0	22
INDECENT EXPOSURE	1	1	7	1	0	0	10
JAYWALKING	0	0	4	1	0	0	5
JOYRIDING	1	0	3	1	0	0	5
JUVENILE IN POSSESSION OF HANDGUN	0	0	9	1	0	0	10
KIDNAPPING	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
LEAVING THE SCENE OF AN ACCIDENT	1	2	10	3	0	0	16
LICENSEE'S EMPLOYEE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

It should be noted that all offenses filed at Juvenile Court (excluding traffic citations) are reflected in this section. A child may be charged with multiple offenses on any given complaint.

# Children's Bureau

## Referral Reasons

### Incident Level

DELINQUENT Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
LITTERING	1	0	5	1	0	0	7
LOUD MUSIC	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
MAILBOX TAMPERING	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
MFG IMITATION CONTROLLED SUB	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-COC	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-MARJ	3	0	20	0	1	0	24
MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-OTHER	9	1	23	3	0	0	36
MINOR IN POSSESSION OF ALCOHOL	39	35	3	1	0	0	78
MINOR POSSESSION OF INTOXICATING LIQUOR	4	4	2	3	0	0	13
MISUSE OF EVIDENCE OF REGISTRATION	0	1	1	1	0	0	3
MURDER - 1ST DEGREE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
NO HUNTING LICENSE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
NO STATE DRIVER LICENSE	30	10	83	25	1	1	150
OBSERVATION WITHOUT CONSENT	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
OBSTRUCTING HWY/PASSAGEWAY	1	0	45	16	0	0	62
OBSTRUCTION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
PANHANDLING	1	0	3	0	0	0	4
PATRONIZING PROSTITUTION	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
PEDESTRIANS ON ROADWAYS	1	0	3	2	0	0	6
POSS PROHIBITED WEAPON - FELONY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
POSS PROHIBITED WEAPON - MISDEMEANOR	3	1	10	0	0	0	14
POSS / EMPLOY FIREARM DURING COMM / ATTEMPT DANGEROUS FELONY	1	0	31	0	0	0	32
POSS OF LEGEND DRUG WITHOUT PRESCRIPTION	6	1	7	2	0	0	16
POSS/SELL ITEM W/ALT SER NUM	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
POSSESSION OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ANALOGUE	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
POSSESSION OF BURGLARY TOOLS	0	0	12	0	0	0	12
POSSESSION OF GAMBLING DEVICE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
POSSESSION OF IMITATION FIREARM	1	0	9	0	0	0	10
PUBLIC INTOXICATION - ALCOHOL	5	2	2	0	1	0	10
PUBLIC INTOXICATION - OTHER	8	0	12	0	0	0	20
RAPE	0	0	13	0	0	0	13
RAPE OF A CHILD	7	1	29	1	0	0	38
RECKLESS AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
RECKLESS BURNING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
RECKLESS DRIVING	15	4	34	4	0	0	57
RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT	2	0	16	1	0	0	19
RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT W/WEAPON	2	4	25	2	0	0	33
REFUSAL TO SUBMIT TO BAC TEST	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
REG DRUG/GANG - LING/LOITERING	0	0	11	2	0	0	13
RESISTING (ARREST,STOP,FRISK)	2	4	60	23	1	0	90
RETALIATION FOR PAST ACTION	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
ROBBERY	0	0	95	10	0	0	105

# Children's Bureau

## Referral Reasons

### Incident Level

DELINQUENT Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
SALE OF TOBACCO TO A MINOR	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
SALE TO MINORS PROHIBITED	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
SET FIRE-PERSONAL PROPERTY/LND	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
SEXUAL BATTERY	0	0	11	2	0	0	13
SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A MINOR	2	0	10	5	0	0	17
SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-COCAINE	2	1	0	1	0	0	4
SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-MARJ	83	36	214	39	9	2	383
SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-OTHER	4	2	5	0	2	0	13
SOLICITATION TO COMMIT FELONY	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
SOLICITING RIDES FROM ROADWAY	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
STALKING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
STATUTORY RAPE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
TAMPER / FABRICATE EVIDENCE	1	0	6	2	0	0	9
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000-\$9,999	8	0	94	8	1	0	111
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000-\$9,999 - VEHICLE	2	0	75	5	0	0	82
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$10,000-\$59,999	0	0	13	5	0	0	18
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$10,000-\$59,999 - VEHICLE	0	0	12	0	0	0	12
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$500 OR LESS	76	62	526	230	9	3	906
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$501-\$999	11	2	87	15	0	0	115
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$501-\$999 - VEHICLE	0	0	2	2	0	0	4
THEFT OF SERV- \$500 OR LESS	2	1	4	4	0	0	11
UNAUTHORIZED USE - MOTOR VEH	1	1	6	0	0	0	8
UNDERAGE DRIVING WHILE IMPAIRED	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
UNLAWFUL PHOTOGRAPHING IN VIOLATION OF PRIVACY	0	0	2	0	1	0	3
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	2	2	6	0	0	0	10
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION DRUG PARAPHERNALIA - MISDEMEANOR	59	22	25	14	5	1	126
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF WEAPON MISDMN	5	1	84	6	2	0	98
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF WEAPON - FELONY	0	0	23	0	0	0	23
UNLAWFUL PUR/RECPT/POSS ALCOHOL	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
VANDALISM (\$1,000 - \$9,999)	6	0	30	2	0	0	38
VANDALISM (\$500 OR LESS)	59	3	221	46	4	1	334
VANDALISM (\$501 - \$999)	14	0	65	9	0	0	88
VEHICULAR HOMICIDE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
VIOL OF HOME PLACEMENT / AFTERCARE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
VIOL OF OPEN CONTAINER LAW	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
VIOL OF PARK CURFEW	2	2	2	2	0	0	8
VIOLATION OF COMPULSORY SCHOOL ATTENDANCE	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
VIOLATION OF DUTY TO REPORT	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
VIOLATION OF LEARNER / INTERMEDIATE LICENSE	4	3	1	1	0	0	9
VIOLATION OF PROBATION	3	1	6	0	0	0	10
VIOLATION OF PROBATION - YSB	2	0	48	3	0	0	53



## Children's Bureau Referral Reasons Incident Level

<b>DELINQUENT Allegations</b>	<b>White</b>		<b>Black</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>
	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	
VIOLATION OF PROTECTION ORDER OR RESTRAINING ORDER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
VIOLATION OF RESTRICTED DRIVERS LICENSE	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
VIOLATION OF STATE REGISTRATION LAW	1	0	4	1	0	0	6
<b>Sub Total:</b>	802	290	5,365	1,886	72	22	8,437

<b>DEP AND NEG Allegations</b>	<b>White</b>		<b>Black</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>
	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	
CONTEMPT OF COURT - DEP	13	17	46	71	6	7	160
DEPENDENT AND NEGLECTED GUARDIANSHIP	136	123	502	534	46	57	1,398
PET. FOR RESTRAINING ORDER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
PETITION TO INVESTIGATE	3	1	3	3	0	0	10
PETITION TO MODIFY	3	2	4	6	2	0	17
TERMINATION - PARENTAL RIGHTS	76	90	392	397	19	30	1,004
TRANSFER FROM OTHER COURT	14	8	31	32	3	4	92
VOLUNTARY FOSTERCARE PLMT	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>Sub Total:</b>	1	2	11	10	1	0	25
<b>Sub Total:</b>	246	243	990	1,054	77	98	2,708

<b>OTHER Allegations</b>	<b>White</b>		<b>Black</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>
	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	
CONTRIBUTING TO THE UNRULY BEHAVIOR OF A MINOR -ADULT	4	3	9	10	0	0	26
PARENTAL EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT	2	0	6	5	3	0	16
<b>Sub Total:</b>	6	3	15	15	3	0	42

<b>RUNAWAY Allegations</b>	<b>White</b>		<b>Black</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>
	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	
RUNAWAY - IN STATE	2	2	2	2	0	0	8
<b>Sub Total:</b>	2	2	2	2	0	0	8

<b>SPECIAL Allegations</b>	<b>White</b>		<b>Black</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>
	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	
HOLD FOR OTHER AGENCY - DEL	3	0	12	0	0	0	15
HOLD FOR TN D C S - DEL	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
YSB TECHNICAL VIOLATION	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>Sub Total:</b>	4	0	13	0	0	0	17

<b>TRANSIENT Allegations</b>	<b>White</b>		<b>Black</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>
	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	
RUNAWAY - OUT OF STATE	3	3	0	2	0	0	8
<b>Sub Total:</b>	3	3	0	2	0	0	8

## Children's Bureau Referral Reasons Incident Level

	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
<b>UNRULY Allegations</b>							
MINOR IN POSSESSION OF TOBACCO	40	15	54	4	0	0	113
TRUANCY	62	56	339	271	10	8	746
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION SMOKING PARAPHERNALIA	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
VIOLATION OF CURFEW	111	66	323	100	14	3	617
<b>Sub Total:</b>	213	137	719	375	24	11	1,479

<b>TRAFFIC Allegations</b>	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
ACCIDENT - DAMAGE TO VEHICLE/PROPERTY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
AN ACCIDENT WITH SERIOUS	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
BICYCLE VIOLATIONS - GENERAL	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
CHANGING LANE OR STRADDLING LANES	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
DEFECTIVE LIGHTS - GENERAL	6	1	3	0	0	0	10
DISREGARD A STOP LIGHT	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
DISREGARD A STOP SIGN	4	1	9	1	1	0	16
DISREGARD RAILROAD SIGN OR SIGNAL	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
DISREGARDING RED LIGHT	2	1	3	1	0	0	7
DRIVERS TO EXERCISE DUE CARE	1	3	4	2	0	0	10
DRIVING ON A SIDEWALK	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
DRIVING ON DIVIDED STREET	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
DRIVING WITHOUT LIGHTS	2	1	2	0	0	0	5
FAIL TO YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
FAIL TO YIELD TO EMER VEHICLE	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
FAILURE TO MAINTAIN PROPER CONTROL	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
FAILURE TO PROVIDE EVIDENCE OF FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY	18	6	35	9	1	1	70
IMPROPER BACKING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER LANE CHANGE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER LIGHTS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER PASSING ON RIGHT SIDE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER TURNS	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
LIGHTS - GENERALLY	1	0	1	1	0	0	3
LIGHTS REQUIRED ON BICYCLE	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
MAINTAIN A SAFE LOOKOUT	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
MAINTAIN SAFE SPEED	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
NO HELMET, MOTORCYCLE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
OBSTRUCTING TRAFFIC PROHIBITED	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ONE HEADLIGHT OR BRIGHT LIGHTS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
PROHIBITED REFLECTORIZED WINDOW	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
RIDING CYCLE NO HELMET/LIGHTS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SAFETY BELT LAW	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
SPEED LIMIT - SCHOOL ZONE -- 1-10 OVER	0	0	0	1	0	0	1

## Children's Bureau

### Referral Reasons

#### Incident Level

TRAFFIC Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
SPEED LIMIT -- 1-5 OVER	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
SPEED LIMIT -- 1-5(\$22);6-10(\$32);11-15(\$45);16-25(\$50);26+	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
SPEED LIMIT -- 11-15 OVER	8	1	0	0	0	1	10
SPEED LIMIT -- 16-25 OVER	4	2	3	0	0	0	9
SPEED LIMIT -- 26+ OVER (CONFERENCE)	5	0	1	2	0	0	8
SPEED LIMIT -- 6-10 OVER	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
SPEEDING	1	0	3	0	1	0	5
STRADDLING LANES	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
STRIKING A PARKED VEHICLE OR OBJECT	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
TAIL AND LICENSE LIGHTS	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
TINTED WINDOWS	2	1	2	0	0	1	6
TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNAL - GENERAL	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
USE OF MOBILE TELEPHONE OR PDA PROHIBITED WHILE DRIVING	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
USE OF OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLES ON HIGHWAYS	1	0	4	1	0	0	6
VIOL OF SEATBELT LAW	1	1	7	1	0	0	10
WALKING ON ROADWAY	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
<b>Sub Total:</b>	65	25	104	23	3	4	224
<b>Total:</b>	1,419	771	7,574	3,710	200	163	13,837

## Children's Bureau

### Referral Reasons—Selected Charges

#### Incident Level

DELINQUENT Allegations	White		Black		Other		Juveniles		Complaints		Incidents	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014
AGGRAVATED ARSON	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	3	5	3	5	3
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	28	7	116	47	3	0	140	150	143	154	201	206
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - MISD A	1	0	1	1	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3
AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	8	0	257	15	1	0	215	253	240	281	281	335
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	9	2	9	2	14
AGGRAVATED RAPE	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	6	7	6	7	8
AGGRAVATED RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
AGGRAVATED RIOT	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	12	6	12	6	12
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	1	153	8	0	0	100	82	110	87	162	121
AGGRAVATED SEXUAL BATTERY	6	1	33	3	1	0	39	20	41	21	44	30
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	1	0	9	0	0	0	10	32	10	33	10	33
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	40	1	0	0	23	19	23	20	41	21
ATTEMPT - CARJACKING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
ATTEMPT - ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4	2	4	2	4
ATTEMPT - MURDER 1ST DEGREE	6	0	38	0	0	0	17	8	17	8	44	19
ATTEMPT - MURDER 2ND DEGREE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	5
ATTEMPT - RAPE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	1	2
ATTEMPT - RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	8	1	8	1	10
CARJACKING	0	0	11	1	0	0	11	13	12	13	12	13
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	2	5	2	5	2
FIRST DEGREE MURDER IN PERPETRATION OF A FELONY	0	0	13	0	0	0	9	8	9	8	13	10
MURDER - 1ST DEGREE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
MURDER - 2ND DEGREE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2
RAPE	0	0	13	0	0	0	13	7	13	7	13	8
RAPE OF A CHILD	7	1	29	1	0	0	36	32	37	32	38	48
RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT W/ WEAPON	2	4	25	2	0	0	23	16	23	16	33	16
RECKLESS HOMICIDE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2
VEHICULAR HOMICIDE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
<b>Sub - Total:</b>	59	15	776	79	5	0	677	698	719	738	934	929
<b>Total:</b>	59	15	776	79	5	0	677	698	719	738	934	929

This report is a comparison of the number of Juveniles. Complaints and Incidents referred to Juvenile court on Selected Charges.

## General Social Data Living Arrangement of Child Complaint Level

Arrangements	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
FOSTER FAMILY HOME	46	24	3	3	135	127	1	1	340	3.06
GROUP HOME	21	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	27	0.24
HOME OF NON-RELATIVE	24	13	4	2	50	52	4	4	153	1.38
IN HOME OF RELATIVES	458	200	53	28	478	537	32	39	1,825	16.41
IN INSTITUTION	15	0	0	0	13	9	0	0	37	0.33
INDEPENDENT LIVING	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	0.05
OWN HOME - BOTH PTS	287	101	51	33	31	39	8	3	553	4.97
OWN HOME - FATHER	317	108	54	28	119	107	98	98	929	8.35
OWN HOME - FTH/SMTH	39	11	4	0	8	3	7	5	77	0.69
OWN HOME - MOTHER	3,062	1,288	586	371	455	493	348	311	6,914	62.17
OWN HOME - MTH/SFTH	110	22	9	5	11	9	1	3	170	1.53
OWN HOME-STEPFATHER	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0.03
OWN HOME-STEPMOTHER	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT	9	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	13	0.12
UNKNOWN	20	11	8	3	6	11	12	4	75	0.67
<b>Total:</b>	<b>4,415</b>	<b>1,785</b>	<b>772</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>1,308</b>	<b>1,389</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>11,122</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Arrangements	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
FOSTER FAMILY HOME	17	10	157	132	11	13	340	3.06
GROUP HOME	7	2	15	3	0	0	27	0.24
HOME OF NON-RELATIVE	9	10	71	56	2	5	153	1.38
IN HOME OF RELATIVES	124	124	857	630	40	50	1,825	16.41
IN INSTITUTION	9	1	16	5	3	3	37	0.33
INDEPENDENT LIVING	0	1	4	0	0	0	5	0.05
OWN HOME - BOTH PTS	126	61	233	104	18	11	553	4.97
OWN HOME - FATHER	176	82	398	241	14	18	929	8.35
OWN HOME - FTH/SMTH	21	4	36	13	1	2	77	0.69
OWN HOME - MOTHER	501	327	3,872	2,085	78	51	6,914	62.17
OWN HOME - MTH/SFTH	20	10	109	29	2	0	170	1.53
OWN HOME-STEPFATHER	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	0.03
OWN HOME-STEPMOTHER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.01
RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT	5	3	3	1	1	0	13	0.12
UNKNOWN	8	9	38	19	0	1	75	0.67
<b>Total:</b>	<b>1,024</b>	<b>644</b>	<b>5,812</b>	<b>3,318</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>11,122</b>	<b>100.0</b>

All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data Prior Referral/Recidivists Complaint Level

DELINQUENT			UNRULY		
Prior Referrals	Total	Percent	Prior Referrals	Total	Percent
0	2,644	42.65	0	1,059	85.06
1	1,186	19.13	1	146	11.73
2	664	10.71	2	27	2.17
3	457	7.37	3	8	0.64
4	352	5.68	4	4	0.32
5	249	4.02	5	1	0.08
6	168	2.71	6	0	0.00
7	115	1.86	7	0	0.00
8	80	1.29	8	0	0.00
9+	285	4.60	9+	0	0.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>6,200</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>Total:</b>	<b>1,245</b>	<b>100.0</b>

### Location of Residence

	In County	Out of County In State	Out of State	Total
RURAL	89	30	29	148
SUBURBAN	1,048	18	43	1,109
TOWN	113	14	29	156
URBAN - RESIDENTIAL	9,309	3	13	44
URBAN - BUSINESS OR INDUSTRIAL	107	2	1	110
UNKNOWN	28	86	160	9,555
<b>Total:</b>	<b>10,694</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>11,122</b>

### Length of Residence

Time in County	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percentage
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	3,814	1,496	557	290	624	725	255	243	8,004	71.97
NOT CURRENTLY RESIDENT	52	10	2	3	11	16	4	3	101	0.91
ONE BUT LESS THAN FIVE YEARS	201	86	20	12	412	404	169	153	1,457	13.10
UNDER ONE YEAR	142	58	12	2	236	230	63	59	802	7.21
UNKNOWN	206	135	181	166	25	14	20	11	758	6.82
<b>Total:</b>	<b>4,415</b>	<b>1,785</b>	<b>772</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>1,308</b>	<b>1,389</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>11,122</b>	<b>100.00</b>

All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Family Income

#### Complaint Level

<b>DELINQUENT</b>	<b>White</b>		<b>Black</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent</b>
	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>		
UNDER \$5,999	8	1	61	30	1	0	101	1.62
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	45	13	338	138	7	1	542	8.74
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	30	5	341	160	3	1	540	8.70
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	29	17	474	164	7	3	694	11.19
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	77	36	648	245	12	5	1,023	16.50
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	91	22	334	137	7	0	591	9.53
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	71	17	103	51	5	1	248	4.00
\$100,000 OR MORE	26	8	15	1	0	0	50	0.80
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	54	19	1,077	409	5	2	1,566	25.25
UNKNOWN	104	79	437	215	5	5	845	13.62
<b>Total:</b>	535	217	3,828	1,550	52	18	6,200	99.95

<b>UNRULY</b>	<b>White</b>		<b>Black</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent</b>
	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>		
UNDER \$5,999	2	2	5	3	0	0	12	0.96
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	7	1	31	22	0	0	61	4.89
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	7	4	37	11	2	3	64	5.14
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	6	5	51	21	0	2	85	6.82
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	12	12	69	27	3	3	126	10.12
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	17	15	24	4	5	1	66	5.30
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	13	11	10	8	0	0	42	3.37
\$100,000 OR MORE	5	1	0	0	1	0	7	0.56
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	9	13	134	52	2	2	212	17.02
UNKNOWN	73	49	243	201	4	0	570	45.78
<b>Total:</b>	151	113	604	349	17	11	1,245	99.96

<b>DEPENDENT</b>	<b>White</b>		<b>Black</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent</b>
	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>		
UNDER \$5,999	26	14	62	52	6	8	168	6.22
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	0	3	21	18	0	1	43	1.59
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	10	6	40	36	2	3	97	3.59
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	11	11	48	42	3	3	118	4.37
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	19	14	40	50	5	6	134	4.96
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	8	13	27	35	2	4	89	3.29
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	2	4	8	7	0	1	22	0.81
\$100,000 OR MORE	0	1	2	2	0	0	5	0.18
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	31	18	198	197	14	17	475	17.61
UNKNOWN	136	158	542	611	45	54	1,546	57.32
<b>Total:</b>	243	242	988	1,050	77	97	2,697	99.94

All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Family Income

#### Complaint Level

	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
<b>OTHER</b>								
UNDER \$5,999	4	8	28	29	0	3	72	7.34
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	1	2	5	3	1	0	12	1.22
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	1	5	12	16	0	0	34	3.46
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	2	0	20	19	1	0	42	4.28
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	6	1	24	26	1	2	60	6.12
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	8	3	26	7	5	0	49	5.00
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	1	1	3	4	0	0	9	0.91
\$100,000 OR MORE	1	3	0	0	0	0	4	0.40
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	5	5	32	37	1	3	83	8.46
UNKNOWN	66	44	242	228	15	20	615	62.75
<b>Total:</b>	95	72	392	369	24	28	980	99.94
<b>Grand Total:</b>	1,024	644	5,812	3,318	170	154	11,122	

All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.



## General Social Data

### Serious or Persistent School Behavior

#### Complaint Level

Age	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
BEHAVIOR SATISFACTORY	2,357	1,041	277	162	584	738	222	215	5,596	50.31
BEHAVIOR UNSATISFACTORY	1,249	401	132	56	59	31	19	8	1,955	17.58
NOT IN SCHOOL	266	72	17	4	527	482	230	206	1,804	16.22
UNKNOWN	543	271	346	251	138	138	40	40	1,767	15.89
<b>Total:</b>	4,415	1,785	772	473	1,308	1,389	511	469	11,122	100.00

Age	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
BEHAVIOR SATISFACTORY	503	303	2,846	1,787	91	66	5,596	50.31
BEHAVIOR UNSATISFACTORY	111	36	1,334	451	14	9	1,955	17.58
NOT IN SCHOOL	208	142	784	559	48	63	1,804	16.22
UNKNOWN	202	163	848	521	17	16	1,767	15.89
<b>Total:</b>	1,024	644	5,812	3,318	170	154	11,122	100.00

### Grade Placement in Relation to Age

#### Complaint Level

Age	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
ACCELERATED	15	10	3	0	4	3	1	0	36	0.32
AT EXPECTED LEVEL	2,596	1,291	512	366	613	739	230	214	6,561	58.99
BELOW EXPECTED LEVEL	1,500	391	227	100	87	75	25	18	2,423	21.79
NOT APPLICABLE (NOT IN SCH)	253	68	15	3	521	470	233	215	1,778	15.99
UNKNOWN	51	25	15	4	83	102	22	22	324	2.91
<b>Total:</b>	4,415	1,785	772	473	1,308	1,389	511	469	11,122	100.01

Age	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
ACCELERATED	9	0	14	13	0	0	36	0.32
AT EXPECTED LEVEL	557	391	3,302	2,146	92	73	6,561	58.99
BELOW EXPECTED LEVEL	218	72	1,595	510	26	2	2,423	21.79
NOT APPLICABLE (NOT IN SCH)	198	141	778	549	46	66	1,778	15.99
UNKNOWN	42	40	123	100	6	13	324	2.91
<b>Total:</b>	1,024	644	5,812	3,318	170	154	11,122	100.01

All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### School Attainment by Sex and Race

#### Complaint Level

DELINQUENT Last Grade Completed	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
1ST GRADE	1	0	13	3	0	0	17
2ND GRADE	4	0	19	7	0	0	30
3RD GRADE	2	0	49	12	0	0	63
4TH GRADE	1	0	65	27	0	1	94
5TH GRADE	13	6	126	37	7	0	189
6TH GRADE	23	8	284	134	6	1	456
7TH GRADE	40	15	487	157	2	1	702
8TH GRADE	85	32	714	298	8	1	1,138
9TH GRADE	117	41	857	327	13	3	1,358
10TH GRADE	125	54	733	276	8	5	1,201
11TH GRADE	98	51	392	223	8	6	778
12TH GRADE	12	6	49	29	0	0	96
KINDERGARTEN	0	0	8	3	0	0	11
NEVER IN SCHOOL	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
PRE-SCHOOL	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNKNOWN	14	4	30	16	0	0	64
<b>Total:</b>	535	217	3,828	1,550	52	18	6,200

UNRULY Last Grade Completed	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
1ST GRADE	3	0	32	22	0	0	57
2ND GRADE	3	0	20	24	0	1	48
3RD GRADE	1	1	15	26	0	0	43
4TH GRADE	2	5	30	15	0	1	53
5TH GRADE	5	2	20	15	0	0	42
6TH GRADE	2	6	25	8	1	1	43
7TH GRADE	11	8	45	17	2	0	83
8TH GRADE	21	28	88	40	1	0	178
9TH GRADE	31	10	105	40	3	2	191
10TH GRADE	28	26	89	49	2	3	197
11TH GRADE	28	18	44	20	5	2	117
12TH GRADE	6	1	6	2	0	0	15
KINDERGARTEN	4	4	38	33	2	0	81
PRE-SCHOOL	3	2	32	34	1	1	73
UNKNOWN	3	2	15	4	0	0	24
<b>Total:</b>	151	113	604	349	17	11	1,245

All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### School Attainment by Sex and Race

#### Complaint Level

<b>DEPENDENT</b> Last Grade Completed	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
1ST GRADE	10	10	49	59	7	5	140
2ND GRADE	20	19	47	48	4	0	138
3RD GRADE	8	8	54	49	5	1	125
4TH GRADE	2	9	38	47	5	3	104
5TH GRADE	5	18	43	55	0	4	125
6TH GRADE	5	10	44	44	2	3	108
7TH GRADE	15	12	43	70	1	4	145
8TH GRADE	14	8	56	53	6	2	139
9TH GRADE	7	2	53	57	3	0	122
10TH GRADE	2	9	32	42	2	1	88
11TH GRADE	5	3	12	25	0	0	45
12TH GRADE	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
KINDERGARTEN	7	16	53	52	5	7	140
NEVER IN SCHOOL	5	1	1	4	2	0	13
PRE-SCHOOL	7	10	42	49	0	5	113
TOO YOUNG FOR SCHOOL	112	88	358	322	29	47	956
UNKNOWN	19	19	63	69	6	15	191
<b>Total:</b>	243	242	988	1,050	77	97	2,697

<b>OTHER</b> Last Grade Completed	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
1ST GRADE	4	2	20	21	0	0	47
2ND GRADE	4	3	24	21	3	3	58
3RD GRADE	5	1	27	11	1	1	46
4TH GRADE	3	2	14	12	1	1	33
5TH GRADE	0	1	16	13	2	1	33
6TH GRADE	1	2	15	14	1	0	33
7TH GRADE	3	0	19	22	1	2	47
8TH GRADE	4	2	9	10	1	0	26
9TH GRADE	2	1	16	15	0	0	34
10TH GRADE	7	1	14	6	1	0	29
11TH GRADE	4	2	1	4	0	0	11
KINDERGARTEN	2	4	17	29	1	0	53
NEVER IN SCHOOL	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
PRE-SCHOOL	3	2	16	21	0	2	44
TOO YOUNG FOR SCHOOL	46	41	170	152	10	17	436
UNKNOWN	7	8	14	17	1	1	48
<b>Total:</b>	95	72	392	369	24	28	980

<b>Grand Total:</b>	1,024	644	5,812	3,318	170	154	11,122
---------------------	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----	-----	--------

All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data Employment and School Status Complaint Level

	EMPLOYED FULL TIME	EMPLOYED PART TIME	NOT EMPLOYED	INAPPLICABLE PRE - SCHOOL	UNKNOWN	NOT INDICATED	<b>Total</b>
<b>DELINQUENT</b>							
IN SCHOOL--FULL TIME	4	199	5,440	0	47	0	5,690
IN SCHOOL--PART TIME	0	3	7	0	0	0	10
NOT IN SCHOOL	0	21	439	0	4	0	464
PRE-SCHOOL AGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	0	3	28	0	5	0	36
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total:</b>	4	226	5,914	0	56	0	6,200
<b>UNRULY</b>							
IN SCHOOL--FULL TIME	0	20	1,130	0	44	0	1,194
IN SCHOOL--PART TIME	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
NOT IN SCHOOL	1	1	31	0	0	0	33
PRE-SCHOOL AGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	0	0	17	0	0	0	17
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total:</b>	1	21	1,179	0	44	0	1,245
<b>DEPENDENT</b>							
IN SCHOOL--FULL TIME	0	4	1,529	0	4	0	1,537
IN SCHOOL--PART TIME	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
NOT IN SCHOOL	0	0	69	0	3	0	72
PRE-SCHOOL AGE	0	0	0	956	0	0	956
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	0	0	130	0	1	0	131
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total:</b>	0	4	1,729	956	8	0	2,697
<b>OTHER</b>							
IN SCHOOL--FULL TIME	0	3	493	0	1	0	497
IN SCHOOL--PART TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NOT IN SCHOOL	0	0	13	0	0	0	13
PRE-SCHOOL AGE	0	0	0	436	0	0	436
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	0	0	34	0	0	0	34
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total:</b>	0	3	540	436	1	0	980
<b>Grand Total:</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>9,362</b>	<b>1,392</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11,122</b>

All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Estimated Mental Capacity Complaint Level

Estimated Mental Capacity	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percentage
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
ABOVE AVERAGE	26	15	4	4	21	23	10	7	110	0.99
AVERAGE	3,390	1,372	377	210	579	664	224	196	7,012	63.04
BELOW AVERAGE	258	60	21	4	46	30	9	10	438	3.94
NOT DETERMINED	741	338	370	255	663	671	268	256	3,562	32.03
<b>Total:</b>	4,415	1,785	772	473	1,308	1,389	511	469	11,122	100.01

All information contained in the General Social Data Reports is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## Referral Data

### Referral Source

#### Complaint Level

Referred By	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
AIRPORT POLICE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
BARTLETT POLICE	23	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	27	0.24
COLLIERVILLE POLICE	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0.16
DA MENTOR SCHOOL - CITY	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0.01
DEPT. OF CHILDREN'S SERVICES	4	0	0	0	305	321	1	0	631	5.67
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0.01
GERMANTOWN POLICE	8	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	10	0.08
MEMPHIS POLICE	1,342	387	8	4	0	0	1	0	1,742	15.66
MILLINGTON POLICE	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.09
NON-RELATIVE	0	0	0	0	18	15	0	0	33	0.29
OTHER COURT	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	18	0.16
OTHER SOURCE	2	0	0	0	33	26	7	10	78	0.70
PRNTS OR RELATIVES	0	1	0	0	937	1,022	455	438	2,853	25.65
PROBATION OFFICER	59	4	0	0	5	0	1	0	69	0.62
SCHOOL SYS - ARL	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	0.03
SCHOOL SYS - ASD	0	0	145	155	0	0	2	3	305	2.74
SCHOOL SYS - BAR	0	0	11	14	0	0	0	1	26	0.23
SCHOOL SYS - COLL	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
SCHOOL SYS - GER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0.01
SCHOOL SYS - MLG	0	0	56	42	0	0	1	1	100	0.89
SCHOOL SYS - SCS	0	0	85	77	0	0	17	13	192	1.72
SHELBY COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT.	110	44	0	1	0	0	0	0	155	1.39
SOCIAL AGENCY	0	0	0	0	9	2	0	0	11	0.09
TN HIGHWAY PATROL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<b>Total:</b>	1,593	445	308	298	1,308	1,389	486	466	6,293	56.45

Summoned by	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
BARTLETT PD - JS	140	60	28	17	0	0	2	0	247	2.22
COLLIERVILLE PD - JS	111	64	13	1	0	0	3	0	192	1.72
GERMANTOWN PD - JS	57	23	22	6	0	0	3	0	111	0.99
MEMPHIS PD - JS	2,085	986	314	101	0	0	10	1	3,497	31.44
MILLINGTON PD - JS	34	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	0.46
SHERIFF'S DEPT -JS	394	189	87	50	0	0	7	2	729	6.55
TN HWY PATROL - JS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<b>Total:</b>	2,822	1,340	464	175	0	0	25	3	4,829	43.38

<b>Grand Total:</b>	4,415	1,785	772	473	1,308	1,389	511	469	11,122	99.83
---------------------	-------	-------	-----	-----	-------	-------	-----	-----	--------	-------

A juvenile summons may be used by law enforcement officers in cases involving juvenile offenders (except traffic violations in which case a traffic summons or ticket would be issued) if, at the discretion of the officer, the juvenile would not be a menace to society or would not himself be harmed if not taken into custody.

## Referral Data

### Care Following Referral

#### Complaint Level

Care After Referral	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
DETENTION CENTER	921	138	2	5	1	1	0	0	1,068	92.22
RELEASED ELECTRONIC MONITORING	54	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	5.00
RELEASED ON APPROPRIATE BOND	19	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	2.76
<b>Total:</b>	994	155	2	5	1	1	0	0	1,158	99.98

Care Location	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
DETENTION ALTERNATIVE	141	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	190	16.40
DETENTION CENTER	853	106	2	5	1	1	0	0	968	83.59
<b>Total:</b>	994	155	2	5	1	1	0	0	1,158	99.99

Care Reason	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
APC EXECUTED	87	28	0	0	1	1	0	0	117	10.10
APPROPRIATE BOND SET	13	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	22	1.89
AWAITING STATE PLACEMENT	70	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	74	6.39
CASE MANAGEMENT	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.34
DANGER TO COMMUNITY	376	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	414	35.75
FLIGHT RISK	5	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	11	0.94
HOLD OTHER AGENCY	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	1.81
PARENT/GUARD. REFUSED RELEASE	63	34	1	1	0	0	0	0	99	8.54
RELEASED ELECTRONIC MONITORING	53	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	4.83
SERIOUS ALLEGATION	243	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	259	22.36
UNABLE TO LOCATE PARENT / GUARD.	59	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	81	6.99
<b>Total:</b>	994	155	2	5	1	1	0	0	1,158	99.94

## Dispositional Data

### Disposition by Hearing Type

#### Case Action Level

Hearing Types	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percentage
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
ADULT BOND HEARING	7	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	10	0.02
AGREED ORDER	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0.00
CASE BEFORE THE CRT	985	199	3	0	296	342	17	16	1,858	5.42
CONFERENCE	2,060	1,088	138	83	0	0	14	6	3,389	9.89
COURT HEARING	3,545	637	15	19	3,065	3,335	822	845	12,283	35.87
DETENTION HEARING	808	93	3	5	0	0	0	0	909	2.65
GRADUATED SANCTIONS	233	86	9	0	0	0	0	1	329	0.96
INITIAL FOSTER CARE REVIEW	59	17	0	0	164	157	0	1	398	1.16
INITIAL PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION	143	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	161	0.47
JUDICIAL REVIEW	9	3	0	0	26	19	0	0	57	0.16
MOTION	1,302	200	0	5	478	619	50	58	2,712	7.92
MOTION TO RECONSIDER	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.00
NON-JUDICIAL PERIODIC FOSTER CARE REVIEW	3,101	1,559	791	474	14	11	35	8	5,993	17.50
PERMANENCY HEARING	87	57	0	0	421	413	0	0	978	2.85
PLACEMENT HEARING	12	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0.04
PRELIMINARY HEARING	3	0	0	0	327	374	9	8	721	2.10
RATIFICATION HEARING	165	23	1	0	297	280	1	1	768	2.24
REHEARING	92	6	0	0	321	334	67	72	892	2.60
REHEARING - DETENTION HEARING	295	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	322	0.94
RELEASE AUTHORIZED	769	369	7	5	0	0	1	0	1,151	3.36
REVOCAATION HEARING	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.02
STATUS HEARING	36	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	0.11
TRANSFER HEARING	84	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	86	0.25
YOUTH COURT	27	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	0.11
<b>Total:</b>	<b>13,889</b>	<b>4,438</b>	<b>968</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>5,927</b>	<b>6,390</b>	<b>1,016</b>	<b>1,017</b>	<b>34,237</b>	<b>99.89</b>

### Adult Offenders

	CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT	PARENTAL EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT	VIOLATION OF COMPULSORY SCHOOL ATTENDANCE	VIOLATION OF DUTY TO REPORT	TOTAL
MALE WHITE	0	2	0	0	2
MALE BLACK	0	8	1	1	10
MALE OTHER	0	2	0	0	2
FEMALE WHITE	0	0	0	0	0
FEMALE BLACK	1	5	1	0	7
FEMALE OTHER	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>21</b>



# Dispositional Data

## Non-Final Dispositions

Formal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
A BOND AUTHORIZED	28	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0.11
A P C CANCELED	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
A P C ISSUED	174	57	2	0	7	5	1	1	247	0.82
ADULT - APC CANCELLED	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
ADULT - APC ISSUED	11	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	17	0.06
ADULT - APC ON PARENT/GUARDIAN	18	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	24	0.08
ADULT - CASE STRUCK FROM DOCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0.01
ADULT - CONTINUED	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	23	54	0.18
ADULT - MOTION GRANTED	3	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	0.02
ADULT - MOTION NOT GRANTED	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
ADVISEMENT	273	72	0	0	0	0	0	1	346	1.15
AFFIRMED	64	5	0	0	18	14	4	2	107	0.36
BOND REVOKED	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
C.A.S.A.	1	0	0	0	33	28	1	1	64	0.21
CASE HELD IN ABEYANCE	5	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	8	0.03
CASE STRUCK FROM DOCKET	82	21	0	0	160	203	37	49	552	1.84
CHILD DETAINED	534	42	0	3	0	0	0	0	579	1.93
CONTINUE EXTENSION OF FOSTER CARE	5	1	0	0	32	32	0	0	70	0.23
CONTINUE FOSTER CARE	22	15	0	0	463	449	0	1	950	3.16
CONTINUE JUVENILE JUSTICE	73	20	0	0	2	2	0	0	97	0.32
CONTINUED	52	6	1	3	2,141	2,361	392	459	5,415	18.04
CONTINUED - ATTORNEY NOT PRESENT	14	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	18	0.06
CONTINUED - DEFENSE	773	142	1	0	4	3	3	1	927	3.09
CONTINUED - DISCOVERY	60	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	0.24
CONTINUED - DISPOSITION	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.03
CONTINUED - INVESTIGATION	52	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	57	0.19
CONTINUED - MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT	20	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0.08
CONTINUED - MUTUAL AGREEMENT	1,000	202	0	0	2	0	1	1	1,206	4.02
CONTINUED - NEW CHARGE	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.04
CONTINUED - NEW DEFENSE										
COUNSEL	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.03
CONTINUED - NOTICE OF TRANSFER	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.02
CONTINUED - PARENT / CHILD NOT PRESENT	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0.05
CONTINUED - PENDING D&N HEARING	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
CONTINUED - PLEA AGREEMENT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
CONTINUED - PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION	50	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	0.17
CONTINUED - REFERRED TO E&R	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.03
CONTINUED - STATE	317	28	1	0	2	0	0	1	349	1.16
CONTINUED - STATUS REPORT	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.03
CONTINUED - TRANSFER HEARING	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.05
CONTINUED - TRIAL	50	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.27
CONTINUED - VICTIM / WITNESS	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0.07
DCS - REF TO ABUSE / NEGLECT HOTLINE	15	5	0	0	1	1	0	1	23	0.08

# Dispositional Data

## Non-Final Dispositions

Formal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
DETENTION ALTERNATIVE										
ELECTRONIC MONITORING	57	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	0.20
ELECTRONIC MONITORING - REMOVED	19	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0.07
ELECTRONIC MONITORING - REVOKED	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.02
EXTRADITION WAIVED	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	6	0.02
F. C. HEARING / REVIEW CONTINUED	165	50	1	0	251	246	1	0	714	2.38
GRANTED	782	111	0	0	352	445	17	19	1,726	5.75
INSTANTER SUBPOENA	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
JCCO - WEST TENNESSEE FORENSICS	29	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	0.11
MOTION DEMAND FOR NOTICE OF ALIBI DEFENSE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FILED	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.03
MOTION FOR A RULE 609 HEARING	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF REHABILITATIVE PLAN	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR ARREST HISTORIES OF WITNESSES	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION FOR AUDIO RECORDING OF HEARING	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION FOR BILL OF PARTICULARS	19	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0.07
MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION OF EXTENDED AND COMPLEX	45	6	0	1	0	0	1	1	54	0.18
MOTION FOR CHANGE IN RELEASE CONDITIONS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR COMMITMENT TO WESTERN MENTAL HEALTH INS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE	12	0	0	0	2	6	0	0	20	0.07
MOTION FOR DEFENDANTS REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF IMPEACHING EVIDENCE	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
MOTION FOR DISCOVERY / INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTS	702	124	0	1	0	0	1	2	830	2.76
MOTION FOR FUNDING OF EXPERT WITNESS / DEFENSE	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION FOR FURTHER EVALUATION	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
MOTION FOR GUARDIAN AD LITEM	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION FOR HEARING ON COMMITABILITY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR HEARING TREATMENT AND REHABILITATION	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.02
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE ADDITIONAL MOTIONS	90	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	103	0.34
MOTION FOR MEDICAL EXAMINATION	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION FOR NOTICE OF STATE'S INTENT TO USE EVIDENCE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR PARTICULAR STATEMENT	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION FOR PRE DISPOSITION REFERRAL TO EVAL & REFERRAL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR PRE-TRIAL HEARINGS	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0.04

# Dispositional Data

## Non-Final Dispositions

Formal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE	112	21	0	1	0	0	1	1	136	0.45
MOTION FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION	26	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0.09
MOTION FOR PSYCHOSEXUAL EVALUATION OF A CHILD	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
MOTION FOR RELEASE FROM DETENTION	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR REVIEW OF FORENSIC EVALUATIONS	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION FOR SEATED HEARING	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION FOR SERVICE PROVIDERS TO DELIVER RECORDS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION FOR SEVERANCE OF DEFENDANT'S	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0.05
MOTION FOR SEVERANCE OF OFFENSES	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION FOR SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	6	0.02
MOTION FOR WITNESS STATEMENTS	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
MOTION IN LIMINE	68	11	0	1	3	2	3	2	90	0.30
MOTION OF ACCUSED CHILD	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION REQUESTING A REHEARING	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION RESERVE THE RIGHT TO FILE ADDITIONAL MOTION	37	6	0	1	0	0	1	1	46	0.15
MOTION TO AMEND CHARGE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO CONSIDER ALTERNATIVES TO DCS CORRECTIVE	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION TO DISMISS	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.03
MOTION TO MODIFY DETERMINATE SENTENCE TO INDETERMINATE	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION TO MODIFY FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS OF MAGISTRATE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO MODIFY ORDER	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
MOTION TO QUASH NOTICE OF TRANSFER TO CRIM. CRT.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENAS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO REMOVE DEFENSE COUNSEL	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.02
MOTION TO REMOVE FROM DCS CUSTODY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO REQUIRE STATE TO FILE WRITTEN RESPONSES	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
MOTION TO RETAIN JURISDICTION	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO REVIEW INSTITUTIONAL PLACEMENT	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.02
MOTION TO SET ASIDE / AMEND ORDER	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO SET BOND	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION TO SUPPRESS	60	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	0.21
MOTION TO TERMINATE ADVISEMENT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION TO WAIVE COST BILL	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
MOTION TO WITHDRAW	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	0.02
MOTION WITHDRAWN	193	36	0	0	12	13	0	0	254	0.85
NO CONTACT ORDER - DH	44	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	0.17

## Dispositional Data Non-Final Dispositions

Formal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
NOT GRANTED	25	2	0	0	22	22	1	4	76	0.25
NOTICE OF TRANSFER	74	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	78	0.26
NOTICE OF TRANSFER DENIED	27	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0.09
NOTICE OF TRANSFER WITHDRAWN	31	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	0.11
PERM PLAN - GOAL CHANGE	0	2	0	0	94	91	0	0	187	0.62
PERM PLAN RATIFIED	71	23	0	0	423	392	0	1	910	3.03
PROT CUST ORDER	0	0	0	0	235	260	4	7	506	1.69
PROT CUST ORDER-DENIED	0	0	0	0	24	17	1	3	45	0.15
PROT CUST ORDER-UPHELD	1	0	0	0	254	287	2	6	550	1.83
REF TO CLINICAL SERVICES	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
REHEARING FILED	173	16	0	0	79	78	20	23	389	1.30
RELEASE APP BOND	284	52	3	2	0	0	0	0	341	1.14
RELEASE OWN RECOGNIZANCE	22	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	29	0.10
RELEASE SUR BOND	113	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	124	0.41
RELEASE TO PARENTS	26	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0.09
REMAIN - ELECTRONIC MONITORING	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.02
REMAIN ADVISEMENT	25	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	0.12
RESTRAINING ORDER ISSUED	0	0	0	0	8	7	1	1	17	0.06
SET ASIDE	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0.01
TDMHDID INVOLUNTARY COMMITMENT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
TRANSITIONAL LIVING PLAN APPROVED	16	5	0	0	24	30	0	0	75	0.25
WITHDRAWN AT REQUEST OF ADA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>7,289</b>	<b>1,247</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4,656</b>	<b>5,000</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>19,363</b>	<b>64.49</b>

Informal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
A BOND AUTHORIZED	769	369	7	5	0	0	1	0	1,151	3.83
A P C CANCELED	18	19	1	0	0	1	0	1	40	0.13
A P C EXECUTED	129	34	1	0	1	2	0	0	167	0.56
ADULT - APC CANCELLED	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
ADULT - APC EXECUTED	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.03
AGE OF MAJORITY	8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	0.05
ATTORNEY FAILED TO APPEAR	10	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.05
ATTORNEY NOT REQUESTED	1,592	963	130	80	0	0	8	5	2,778	9.25
ATTORNEY PRESENT	68	23	5	2	0	0	2	1	101	0.34
ATTORNEY REQUESTED	386	98	2	1	0	0	4	0	491	1.64
CASE HELD IN ABEYANCE	13	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	16	0.05
CHARGE AMENDED DOWN	25	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0.10
CHARGE AMENDED UP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
CONFERENCE HELD	2,051	1,085	138	83	0	0	14	6	3,377	11.25
CONTINUE EXTENSION OF FOSTER CARE	1	3	0	0	15	16	0	0	35	0.12
CONTINUE FOSTER CARE	32	21	1	0	545	521	0	0	1,120	3.73
CONTINUE JUVENILE JUSTICE	39	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	0.18
COURT REQUESTED	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.10
DAMAGES	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.02
DCS - REF TO ABUSE / NEGLECT										
HOTLINE	10	1	12	10	2	1	0	0	36	0.12
DCS CUSTODY	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.02
DIRECT REFERRAL	0	0	0	0	7	6	0	0	13	0.04
F. C. HEARING / REVIEW CONTINUED	63	20	0	0	256	269	0	1	609	2.03

## Dispositional Data

### Non-Final Dispositions

Informal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
IN COUNSELING / TREATMENT	12	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0.06
INJURY	17	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0.08
NO DAMAGES	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
NO GUN	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.02
NO INJURY	12	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0.07
NO PRIOR HISTORY	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0.05
NO THREAT	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.04
NOT EXPELLED	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
NOTHING TAKEN	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
NOTICE OF TRANSFER	87	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	91	0.30
NOTICE OF TRANSFER WITHDRAWN	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
PERM PLAN RATIFIED	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0.01
PETITION NOT NECESSARY	121	48	8	0	0	0	0	1	178	0.59
PROPERTY RETURNED	14	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0.07
RECOMMENDATION TO FILE TPR	0	0	0	0	14	7	0	0	21	0.07
RESTITUTION PAID	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
VOL FOSTER CARE PLACEMENT	0	0	0	0	13	8	0	0	21	0.07
YOUTH COURT	64	50	1	1	0	0	0	0	116	0.39
<b>Total:</b>	<b>5,623</b>	<b>2,817</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>855</b>	<b>831</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10,662</b>	<b>35.51</b>
<b>Grand Total:</b>	<b>12,912</b>	<b>4,064</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>5,511</b>	<b>5,831</b>	<b>557</b>	<b>631</b>	<b>30,025</b>	<b>100.00</b>

# Dispositional Data

## Final Dispositions

	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
<b>Formal</b>										
ACCESS GRANTED TO CHILD	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	6	0.04
ACCESS GRANTED TO ENTER HOME	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	12	0.08
ADULT - DCS PREVENTION SERVICES	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0.01
ADULT - DISMISSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	9	18	0.12
ADULT - JAIL SENTENCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	6	0.04
ADULT - JAIL SUSPENDED SENTENCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	9	0.06
ADULT - UNSUPERVISED PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	7	0.04
ADULT - WAIVER TO GRAND JURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	0.03
COMMUNITY SERVICE	53	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	81	0.52
DCS - COMMITMENT SUSPENDED / SUPERVISED	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.10
DCS - COMMITMENT SUSPENDED / UNSUPERVISED	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0.13
DCS - CORRECTIVE	141	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	146	0.93
DCS - CORRECTIVE DETERMINATE SENTENCE	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0.12
DCS - PREVENTION	36	7	0	0	2	6	0	0	51	0.33
DCS - PROTECTIVE	22	9	0	1	439	499	0	1	971	6.21
DEFENSIVE DRIVING SCHOOL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
DENIAL OF DRIVING PRIV	96	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	104	0.66
DISCHARGE FROM TDCS	131	8	0	0	8	1	1	0	149	0.95
DISMISSED	604	148	13	16	352	403	126	112	1,774	11.34
DISRUPTION OF PLACEMENT	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.05
FINE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
HOME PLACEMENT	129	9	0	0	3	1	1	0	143	0.91
M.A.R.R.S	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
NO CONTACT ORDER - CH	204	34	0	0	3	5	1	1	248	1.59
NOLLE PROS	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.02
OPERATION HOPE DIVERSION	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.01
ORDER FOR VISITATION	1	1	0	0	274	330	258	225	1,089	6.96
ORDER OF PROTECTION	0	0	0	0	7	3	0	0	10	0.06
ORDER OF WITHDRAWAL	134	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	157	1.00
ORDER REGULATING CONDUCT	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	5	0.03
PETITION TO REHEAR DISMISSED	0	0	0	0	84	66	10	7	167	1.07
PLACEMENT APPROVED	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.07
PLACEMENT HEARING REQUESTED	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
PROBATION APS - CONTINUED	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.06
PROBATION APS - REACTIVATE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
PROBATION TO APS	159	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	193	1.23
PROBATION TO PARENTS	45	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	0.35
RECORD ORDERED SEALED	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0.01
REF TO EVAL & REFERRAL	482	115	0	0	4	0	0	0	601	3.84

## Dispositional Data Final Dispositions

	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total Percent	
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
<b>Formal</b>										
REFERRED TO MADC	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
REHEARING - DISMISSED	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	0.03
REHEARING - WITHDRAWN	34	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	40	0.26
RELATIVE/INDIVIDUAL	0	0	0	0	827	957	207	192	2,183	13.96
RELEASE FROM TDCS	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	7	0.04
REMAIN YSB	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	0.22
REMAIN - DCS CORRECTIVE	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0.12
REMAIN - DCS CORRECTIVE										
DETERMINATE SENTENCE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
REMAIN - DCS PROTECTIVE	9	2	0	0	37	24	0	0	72	0.46
REQUEST FOR VIOLATION	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
RESTITUTION - JUDICIAL	58	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	0.40
RESTITUTION RESERVED	123	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	136	0.87
RESTRAINING ORDER - DENIED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0.01
RESTRAINING ORDER - UPHELD	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0.01
SEX OFFENDER REGISTRY	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.05
STATUS APPROVED	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0.13
STATUS HEARING REQUESTED	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0.12
STATUS NOT APPROVED	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
TERMINATION PAR RIGHTS	0	0	0	0	15	15	0	0	30	0.19
TRANS TO OTHER COURT/ADJ	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	8	0.05
TRANS TO OTHER COURT/DISP	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.04
TRIAL HOME PLACEMENT	140	10	0	0	32	23	1	0	206	1.32
VOL FOSTER CARE PLACEMENT - CONFIRMED	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	7	0.04
WAIVED CRIMINAL COURT-MOTION FOR TRANSFER GRANTED	46	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	0.30
YOUTH SERVICE BUREAU	285	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	319	2.04
<b>Total:</b>	<b>3,128</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2,119</b>	<b>2,356</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>561</b>	<b>9,346</b>	<b>59.75</b>

	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total Percent	
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
<b>Informal</b>										
BYPASS	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.06
COMMUNITY SERVICE	106	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	144	0.92
COMMUNITY SERVICE - FIRE SETTER PROGRAM	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
DCS - PREVENTION	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.03
DEFENSIVE DRIVING SCHOOL	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.04
EVENING REPORTING CENTER	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	0.23
FORFEITURE	37	13	1	1	0	0	1	1	54	0.35
JIFF INTERVENTION	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	0.38
M.A.R.R.S	86	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	111	0.71
NO PETITION FILED - AGE OF MAJORITY	17	14	8	2	0	0	0	0	41	0.26
NO PETITION FILED - CANNOT SUBSTANTIATE	70	38	5	4	0	0	1	0	118	0.75

## Dispositional Data Final Dispositions


Informal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
NO PETITION FILED - COMPLAINANT WITHDREW COMPLAINT	25	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0.26
NO PETITION FILED - DCS CUSTODY	14	6	2	2	0	0	0	0	24	0.15
NO PETITION FILED - E&R SERVICES IN PLACE	7	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	14	0.09
NO PETITION FILED - EXONERATED	32	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	52	0.33
NO PETITION FILED - INCORRECT CONTACT INFO	9	5	19	19	0	0	0	0	52	0.33
NO PETITION FILED - NO FURTHER COURT ACTION	124	44	156	73	0	0	11	2	410	2.62
NO PETITION FILED - PREVIOUS TRANSFER CRIMINAL COU	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.03
NO PETITION FILED - UNDER AGE OF CONSENT	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0.08
NO PETITION FILED - YSB PROBATION	11	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	16	0.10
PROBATION APS - CONTINUED	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01
PROBATION PARENTS-REACT/CONT	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
REF TO EVAL & REFERRAL	267	141	11	5	0	0	3	0	427	2.73
REL - PROBATIONARY SUPERVISION	157	42	0	0	0	0	1	0	200	1.28
RELEASE FROM YSB SUPERVISION	175	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	204	1.30
RESTITUTION -NJ	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.06
SCHOOL BASED PROBATION OFFICER LIASION	36	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	0.26
VERBAL WARNING	253	232	22	9	0	0	1	1	518	3.31
WARN AND COUNSEL	1,395	791	77	43	0	0	12	3	2,321	14.84
WARNING LETTER	229	119	480	319	0	0	8	0	1,155	7.38
WARNING LETTER - OUT OF COUNTY	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.06
WARNING LETTER IN LIEU OF APC	99	81	6	1	0	0	0	1	188	1.20
YOUTH COURT COMPLIANT - DISMISSED	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.08
<b>Total:</b>	<b>3,301</b>	<b>1,666</b>	<b>803</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6,296</b>	<b>40.25</b>
<b>Grand Total:</b>	<b>6,429</b>	<b>2,185</b>	<b>816</b>	<b>496</b>	<b>2,119</b>	<b>2,356</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>15,642</b>	<b>100.00</b>



# Types of Offenses



TEN YEAR COMPARISON

## Types of Offenses 2015



# Types of Offenses

TEN YEAR COMPARISON



# ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIVISION

Dini Malone, *Director*

Brenda Johnson, *Administrator, Human Resources*

Trisha Monteil, *Administrator, Finance and Purchasing*

Pamela L. Taylor, *Administrator, Volunteer Services*

Shannon Caraway, *Manager, Information Systems*

Sherry K. Schedler, *Manager, Interagency Services*

Terry Seamon, *Manager, Building Services*

Mitchell C. Morgan, *IV-D Coordinator*

The Administrative Division of Juvenile Court is responsible for:

- Development and administration of budget, contracts and grants
- Human resources
- Building maintenance of a 152,000 square foot facility
- Procurement of equipment and supplies
- Information and telecommunications systems
- Support services (materials control, print shop, food services and office services)
- Volunteer services
- Child support services
- Interagency services

The Juvenile Court budget decreased from \$18,747,969 to \$13,414,658 from FY 2015 to FY2016. Revenue to support expenditures comes from the following sources:

Department of Human Services, Child Support & Advocate Services	\$2,086,784
Department of Children’s Services Child & Family Intervention	\$67,688
Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)< Mediation Services	\$12,600
Tennessee Commission on Children and Youth (TCCY), CASA	\$9,000
Annie E. Casey Foundation	\$14,082
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$7,000
County General Fund	\$11,217,504
<b>Total Operating Funds</b>	<b>\$13,414,658</b>

## Volunteer Services Bureau

Pamela Taylor, *Administrator*

Volunteer Services Bureau oversees and provides administrative support for Juvenile Court volunteer programs.

In 2015, approximately 750 individuals and organizations throughout Memphis and Shelby County donated over 65,000 hours of volunteer services and other contributions to assist children and families served by Juvenile Court.

Volunteer opportunities at Juvenile Court include:

- Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)
- Ambassador Program
- Foster Care Review Board (FCRB)
- Chaplaincy Program
- Commission on Missing and Exploited Children (COMEC)
- Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

The volunteer programs at Juvenile Court offer immeasurable service to the community. The dedication of volunteers helping children and families in our community is admirable.

As a part of community outreach, Volunteer Services Bureau visited several organizations and provided onsite virtual tours to approximately 150 participants during 2015. Virtual tours are provided to motivate youth to refrain from inappropriate behavior and to educate the public on Court programs and operation.

## Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)

Tonya Watson, *Coordinator*

Created in 1964, the Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) was the first volunteer probation program of its kind in the nation. The Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) provides Court ordered services for the supervision, guidance,

protection and welfare of youth in Memphis and Shelby County.

In 2015, the Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) continued to thrive. It remains the oldest and largest volunteer probation service of its kind. In 2015, approximately 170 active Auxiliary Probation Officers contributed over 28,518 hours supervising approximately 172 youth placed on supervised probation and the BYPASS Program. The BYPASS Program (Bringing Youth Positive Assistance through Special Services) is an alternative to probation for very young children with minor offenses. The BYPASS Program is very successful in keeping many youth from future delinquent behavior.

During 2015, members of the Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) were honored for their outstanding contributions and dedicated service. Officer Terrell Bush received the APS Leadership Award, Officer Mary Easley received the Jesse H. Bishop Award, Officer Mary Brooks received the Clara Hill Award, and Chief Mary George received the APS Division chief of the Year Award.

Members celebrating anniversaries include:

- 40+ Years: District Chief Nathaniel Moody (45), Deputy Chief Tommy Smith (44)
- 30+ Years: Officer James Fisher (38), Officer Robert Carter (36), Officer Lillian Brisco (35)
- 20+ Years: Officer Aubrey Miller (29), Officer William Gilmore (26), Officer Leroy Davis (26), Division Chief Tommy Evans (26), Officer Earline Richmond (23), Chief Curt Evans (21), Officer Freddie Terrell (21).

### **Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) - Summons Division**

Nataki Oyeleye-Dowdy, *Coordinator*

In 2010, Juvenile Court of Memphis & Shelby County spearheaded a movement for local law enforcement to issue a summons instead of arresting and detaining first and second time juvenile offenders who commit a misdemeanor offense. The seven (7) misdemeanor offenses include: criminal trespass, simple assault, simple possession of marijuana, theft of property (\$500 or less), vandalism of property (\$500 or less), gambling, and disorderly conduct.

The Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)-Summons Division was created in September 2010 to address the increased volume of summons received by Juvenile Court due to the success of the innovative summons policy.

Auxiliary Probation Officers (APOs) conduct the summons conferences at Juvenile Court. During the conference, the arrest ticket is reviewed and the youth is counseled to determine guilt and the reason for the crime. The Auxiliary Probation Officer documents any special observations to determine if the juvenile needs to be referred for specialized counseling (anger management, substance abuse, depression). If the juvenile admits guilt, signs a Court waiver and pays Court costs the juvenile will not have a Juvenile Court record.

In 2015, Volunteer Services Bureau received 406 summonses. Approximately 11 active Auxiliary Probation Officers contributed approximately 646 hours conducting 342 conferences.

### **Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) - Mentoring Division**

Nataki Oyeleye-Dowdy, *Coordinator*

The APS-Mentoring Division was established in 2012 to enhance the APS-Summons Program.

The mission of the APS-Mentoring Division is to collaborate with citizens of Memphis and Shelby County and redirect children identified by the Court as being in the very early stages of delinquency by effectively using a combination of mentoring activities such as:

- Life Skills Training
- Job Skills Training
- Motivational Speeches
- Recreational Activities
- Common Sense Counseling
- Anger Management Counseling

Referrals to the APS-Mentoring Program are made by the Judge, Magistrates, and the APS-Mentoring Coordinator. In 2015, four (52) referrals were made to the program.

### **Special Services Auxiliary**

Pamela L. Taylor, *Administrator, Volunteer Services*

The Special Services Auxiliary utilizes volunteers to provide additional services to Juvenile Court.

Implemented in 2007, the Ambassador Program is based in the Court's lobby. Ambassadors offer a customer friendly atmosphere by greeting and guiding visitors to various locations within the building. Ambassadors also help the Court to monitor guests in the building by assisting visitors with the check-in process at lobby kiosks.

During 2015, thirty (30) individuals contributed approximately 267 hours with the Ambassador Program.

The Chaplaincy Program, supervised by Chaplain Philip R. Schmidt, coordinates visits by various faith based organizations that minister to the children in Detention Services Bureau. Effective July 2015, the Shelby County Sheriff's Office assumed management of Detention Services Bureau and the Chaplaincy Program.

### **Foster Care Services**

Melissa Ungberg, *Coordinator*

Foster Care services is responsible for the oversight and review of post-adjudicatory children placed in the custody the Tennessee Department of Children's Services subsequent to findings of dependency, neglect, and unruly or delinquent behavior to ensure his or her safety, well-being, permanency, and rehabilitation. In 2015, 2,021 cases were docketed before Magistrates to approve permanency and transitional living plans. These children are also reviewed by the Foster Care Review Board, a quasi-judicial board comprised of volunteers. The Board members review quarterly reports, treatment plans, health, and educational records submitted by the Department and make recommendations to the Court.

These findings and recommendations pertain to:

- Necessity of continuing foster care, the appropriateness of the placement, and the projected date a child can exit by adoption or permanent placement.
- Level of participation of all involved parties in fulfilling their plan responsibilities
- Extent of progress in the elimination of issues that necessitated placement in care
- Necessity of filing a termination of parental rights action if timely progress has not been made towards reunification

In 2015, the Foster Care Review Board reviewed 2,204 cases resulting in approximately 2,707 volunteer hours. In addition to reviewing issues related to permanency and well-being, some specialized Boards review older youth to ensure the development of a Transitional Plan tailored to their needs and issues approaching adulthood. Specialized Foster Care Review Boards also review young adults ages 18-21 receiving Extension of Foster Care services to ensure they are meeting the independent living goals of his or her Transition plan to better equip them for adulthood and independence. There are approximately 72 active Foster Care Review Board members, and they are to be commended for their dedication to the children and families of our community.

### **Commission on Missing and Exploited Children**

Len K. Edwards, Captain MPD (Ret), *Executive Director*

The Commission on Missing and Exploited Children (COMEC) is a non-profit charitable agency that began its life at the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County in 1984. The agency was formed after a series of highly publicized child disappearances. By design COMEC was established as a collaborative effort to better address the prevention and intervention needs in regard to missing and exploited children.

COMEC is one of this area's premier resources regarding child safety and works tirelessly to protect children and assist parents with issues that affect their children. COMEC collaborates with the Memphis Police Department, the Shelby County Sheriff's Department and many other local, state and national law enforcement agencies. COMEC also receives a large volume of walk-in citizen traffic from the Juvenile Court. These parents and caregivers seek information and guidance regarding child custody issues, children with behavioral issues and many other issues that affect children.

In 2015, COMEC:

- Presented 71 Child Safety Education Programs (Runaway/Abduction/Trafficking/Internet Safety).
- Provided 27 free community trainings/in-services at schools, churches and other public gatherings.
- Participated in 29 Foster Care Review Board situations.
- Assisted 108 searching parents of Runaway/Abducted Children.
- Provided 225 free substance abuse screenings to children whose parent's suspected drug use.
- Provided 73 free counseling sessions to parents and children.
- Distributed thousands of pieces of free Child Safety Prevention literature.
- Distributed over 500 free Child Safety Fingerprint Identification Kits.
- Provided a free Teen Drug Hotline (901-527-DRUG)

COMEC's mission is to provide complimentary beneficial services to the public in an effort to support the work of the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County, the areas Law Enforcement Agencies. Most importantly, COME provides services and

parents/children who are in crisis without regard for their ability to pay for services.

## **Court Appointed Special Advocates**

*Daphni Ishak, Program Director*

Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) became a program of The Exchange Club Family Center, Inc. July 1, 2013. CASA of Memphis and Shelby County began in 1986 under the late, Kenneth A. Turner, former judge of the Shelby County Juvenile Court as a result of a national movement by juvenile court judges to look for alternative ways to make sure the best interests of children would be consistently presented to the court. Our mission is to empower community volunteers to be advocates in court for abused and neglected children needing safe, permanent homes. In 2015, 59 active volunteers served 250 children and their families and contributed over 5,000 hours of service.

CASA volunteers receive in depth training to support their role as an advocate and are appointed by the Juvenile Court Judge to advocate for the best interest of an abused or neglected child in court. Once assigned to a child's case, volunteers are professionally supervised through the investigative process of gathering information from the child, their families, educators, social service professionals, health care providers, and any other persons having information regarding the child's case. Upon completion of the investigation, the findings are presented to the court outlining the volunteer's concerns, evaluations, and recommendations for the child's future.

CASA's role in court is powerful and significantly improves how justice is administered in dependency and neglect cases. Juvenile Court Magistrates use the report to respect the child's sense of time, avoid unnecessary continuances and make informed, timely decisions regarding the best interest of the child. For children, CASA volunteers are a lifeline in complex legal proceedings offering trust, advocacy, and a means to express their own opinions and hopes. One CASA child noted "To give a child a CASA volunteer is to give them a voice, and to give them a voice is to give them hope, and to give them hope is to give them the world."

## **Interagency Services**

*Sherry. C. Schedler, Manager*

The Office of Interagency Services develops and maintains youth services initiatives and projects shared by Juvenile Court and outside agencies. Interagency Services endeavors to expand services to children and families through community partnerships, program development and grants. In 2015, the Court participated in several grant initiatives including:

- The Child and Family Intervention Grant, awarded by the **Tennessee Department of Children's Services**, provided professional services for work with at-risk children and youth.
- The Justice Assistance Grant (JAG), funded by the **U.S. Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA)**, allows states and local governments to prevent and control crime and improve the criminal justice system. JAG funds awarded in 2015 provided security equipment.
- The Supreme Court of Tennessee, **Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)**, Access and Visitation Grant, provided funding for a part-time law student in the Office of the Advocate for Non-Custodial Parents ending in September 2015.
- **The Memphis Bar Foundation** awarded funding for Youth Court supplies.

We are pleased to join local law enforcement in community partnerships in 2015. Highlights include:

In September 2013, a pilot was established to reduce the number of youth transported to Juvenile Court who do not pose a danger to themselves or the community. The **Law Enforcement Assessment Phone-In Pilot Program (LEAP)** allows law enforcement officers to call Detention about a youth in custody to determine if they may be issued a juvenile summons in lieu of transport. In 2014, the Memphis Police Department (MPD) joined the Shelby County Sheriff's Office (SCSO) to expand LEAP. In 2015, Collierville Police and Germantown Police Departments joined as LEAP project partners.

In 2015, a formal partnership was established creating the **Juvenile Court Precinct Liaison (JCPL) Initiative**. The Initiative calls for Juvenile Court to assign an experienced youth services officer to Memphis Police Department (MPD) precincts. The JCPL acts as the liaison with Juvenile Court and assists in screening and/or referrals of juveniles brought to the precinct. The JCPL is a facilitator for communication and coordination with Juvenile Court. The objective is to reduce the need for detention where possible and to assist law enforcement officers in communicating with and dealing with juveniles and Court staff. The Pilot began in the Old Allen Station Precinct in November 2015.

Juvenile Court is honored to collaborate with the **National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ)** on three important Initiatives:

- **Juvenile Justice Model Courts Project** of the Juvenile and Family Law Department of the NCJFCJ; Juvenile Court became one of only a

dozen courts nationwide designated a Juvenile Justice Model Court in 2011. A Juvenile Justice Model Court is one that makes the commitment to follow key principles and recommendations to help improve delinquency case processing and outcomes for youth, families and communities while aspiring to excellence.

- Juvenile Court was selected as one of 16 courts in the nation to participate in the **School Pathways to Juvenile Justice System Project** in 2013. The NCJFCJ assists judicial leaders in reducing referrals to juvenile courts for school-based misbehaviors. The goal is to support student engagement and reduce students' school exclusion. Our NCJFCJ Facilitators are Judge Steven Teske, Judge John Romero and Cheri Ely. In 2015, Phase 2 Technical Assistance is pending.
- On July 7, 2014, The NCJFCJ selected Juvenile Court as one of eight cities in the country to launch the **National Implementation Sites Project** for child welfare issues including Dependency and Neglect and Foster Care.

Juvenile Court was chosen because of "the court's commitment and motivation to implement system reform efforts; the court's willingness to organize and form a strong collaborative team; and the court's desire to improve current court practice." Since 1992, the NCJFCJ has been collaborating with courts to improve outcomes for abused and neglected children and their families. In March 2015, Judge Dan H. Michael and several Court staff members attended the Inaugural NCJFCJ Implementation Sites Project All-Sites Conference and Lead Judges Meeting in Reno, Nevada.

Juvenile Court is grateful to have an outstanding partnership with Shelby County Schools on several very successful programs including:

- Juvenile Court and Shelby County Schools formally launched a program partnership on January 7, 2014 to increase accountability and school success for students on probation. The **School-Based Probation Liaison (SBPL) Initiative** authorizes trained faculty representatives at 17 schools to provide immediate intervention to students. Liaisons maintain regular contact with students and their Juvenile Court counselors. They monitor daily activity, attendance and academics while also serving as mentors and advocates for their students. At the conclusion of the 2014-2015 School Year, students showed improved academics and behavior.

- **School House Adjustment Program Enterprise (SHAPE)** provides intervention with students who commit minor offenses at 22 Shelby County Schools and provides alternatives from transporting to Juvenile Court. Coordinators in SHAPE schools assign dispositional alternatives such as community service, restitution, and/or counseling. SHAPE was selected as a DMC Best Practice by the U.S. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) in 2011.
- **Hope Academy** is a dynamic collaboration with Shelby County Schools to provide academic instruction and programming for detained youth. In 2015, Hope Academy celebrated its fifth anniversary at Juvenile Court and continues to excel. The Hope Academy Mentoring Program (HAMP) provides youth leaving Hope Academy with an educational mentor to assist in their transition to a community school. Highlights of 2015 include being recognized through Memphis CARES Mentoring Movement for making a positive difference in our youth. Tennessee Shakespeare Company's Romeo and Juliet Project in Residence conducted interactive presentations with students. College Fair Day allowed students to interact with and receive information from college representatives regarding post-secondary educational options.
- **Youth Court**, a partnership with the Tennessee Bar Association, Memphis Bar Association, Memphis Area Legal Services, and Shelby County Schools has prospered since it began in 2011. Youth Court is a model program of OJJDP and integrates elements of restorative justice to hold youth accountable for offenses while preventing future delinquency. Youth courts are an alternative sentencing mechanism for first time, non-violent offenders appearing before the Court and sentenced by a jury of peers. In 2015, 15 schools participated in Youth Court. Local attorneys advise and mentor participants in Youth Court, which has become a model in Tennessee.

The Memphis Shelby Crime Commission *spearheading* Operation: Safe Community sponsored Peer City Site Visits on behalf of the **National Forum on Youth Violence Prevention**. In August 2015, Juvenile Court and other Memphis leaders participated in a Peer City Site Visit to Miami-Dade County, Florida. The Peer City Visit included tours, speakers and valuable information on model programming such as Juvenile Assessment Centers, Juvenile Civil Citation Program, Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) and Children's Trust. In October 2015, Juvenile Court hosted the Long Beach, California Delegation as part of their Peer City Site Visit. Delegates participated in a roundtable discussion at Juvenile Court

on school/court collaborations and toured Hope Academy.

From October 25-28, 2015, Shelby County Schools hosted the **105th Annual International Association for Truancy and Dropout Prevention Conference (IATDP)**. Juvenile Court was honored to participate. Judge Michael accepted the award for Juvenile Court for ***Outstanding Program of the Year***, along with other local leaders of the Attendance Task Force, sponsored by Operation: Safe Community and PeopleFirst. Other agencies of the Attendance Task Force receiving the award with Juvenile Court include Memphis Shelby Crime Commission, Shelby County District Attorney Amy Weirich, Seeding Success, Shelby County Schools, Memphis Police Department, and Shelby County Sheriff's Office.

Interagency Services anticipates a highly productive 2016 as we join valued community partners to expand and improve services to children and families.



## Index

Administrative Services Division .....	56	Hope Academy .....	18
Admissions, Detention Center.....	6, 18, 19	Interagency Services.....	59-61
Adult Offenders .....	45	Juvenile Detention Services.....	18
Advocate for Noncustodial Parents .....	9	Juvenile Defender .....	10
Alcohol and Drug Related Offenses: Ten-Year Comparison .....	55	Juvenile Summons .....	43
Auxiliary Probation Service .....	56	Legal Department .....	9
Boys Detention .....	18	Living Arrangements of Child.....	30
Budget.....	56	Mental Capacity .....	42
Care Following Referral.....	44	Message from the Judge.....	2-3
Care Location.....	44	Office of Clinical Services .....	10
Care Reason .....	44	Office of the Guardian ad litem .....	9
CAO .....	9	Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement.....	18
Children’s Bureau.....	11, 13	Organizational Chart.....	4
Commission on Missing and Exploited Children (COMEC) .....	58	Placements, Institutional and Agencies.....	20
Community Service Program.....	13	Protective Services Department .....	14
Complaints, Juveniles .....	22	Recidivist Report .....	35
Corrective Services Department .....	13	Referral Reasons .....	25-32
Court Appointed Special Advocates .....	59	Referral Reasons, Selected Charges .....	23
Court Clerk’s Office .....	7-8	Referral Source .....	43
Court Services Division.....	11-12	Residence, Length of Time in County .....	35
Delinquency Placements.....	20	Residence, Location .....	35
Dependency and Neglect Placements .....	20	School Attainment, Sex and Race.....	39-40
Detention Center .....	19	School Misbehavior .....	38
Disposition, Final.....	51-53	School Status .....	41
Dispositions, Hearing Type.....	45	Special Services Auxiliary .....	57-58
Dispositions, Non-Final .....	46-50	Staff Positions.....	6
Employment Status, Juveniles .....	41	Statistical Summary .....	6
Evaluation and Referral Bureau.....	12	Table of Contents .....	5
Family Income .....	36-37	Traffic Information.....	21
Foster Care Review Board.....	58	Types of Offenses, Ten-Year Comparison .....	54
Girls Detention .....	18	Volunteer Services Bureau .....	56
Grade Placement in Relation to Age.....	38	Youth Services Bureau .....	12, 16-17
Homicides Committed By Juveniles .....	55		