# SHELBY COUNTY GOVERNMENT OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE COORDINATOR

**TO:** Winsome Gayle

Civil Rights Division
Special Litigation Section
US Department of Justice

FROM: Bill Powell

Settlement Agreement Coordinator

**DATE:** March 16, 2017

**SUBJECT:** Compliance Report #9 – March 2017

The Memorandum of Agreement (MoA) between Shelby County and the US Department of Justice (DoJ) was entered into December 17, 2012. Page 37 of the MoA requires the Settlement Agreement Coordinator to develop and submit a report regarding compliance with the Agreement every six months until the Agreement is terminated. This is the 9<sup>th</sup> Compliance Report. It should be clear this report comprises my opinion and assessment of the work in my role as the Settlement Agreement Coordinator. As with previous reports, this report is comprised of three sections in the format below:

# **Format**

- 1. Narrative summary providing an assessment of compliance with the commitments in the MoA during the period covered by the Report. This section will include a summation of positive developments as well as a summation of the key challenges that remain.
- 2. Synopsis of each Substantive Remedial Measure, including page # from the MoA on which the commitment is found. This section will include a summary of each individual commitment in the MoA, a status update on that commitment and comments related to compliance. If documentation has been previously submitted for that item, that will be noted and the prior report can be referred to as needed. This section thus serves as a cumulative record showing the status of each item and referencing prior reports and documentation for those items.
- 3. Appendix of supporting documentation including copies of pertinent policies, memos and other documentation related to each remedial measure and commitment.

# **Narrative Summary**

This 9<sup>th</sup> Compliance Report comes 4 years and 3 months after the MoA was signed. There has been significant progress during the past six month in each of the four areas of the MoA. Although important challenges remain the recent progress has been noteworthy.

The MoA includes commitments in Due Process, DMC and Equal Protection, Protection from Harm: Detention Facility and Community Outreach. Below is a short summary of progress in each major area. This brief summary includes identification of positive events as well as remaining challenges in each area. More detailed comments on each item can be found in the following section – Synopsis of Substantive Remedial Measures.

## **Due Process**

The last Compliance Report submitted in September 2016 noted the biggest remaining challenges included providing structural independence of the Public Defender and the need for sufficient capacity of the Juvenile Defender Unit of the Public Defender's Office. There has been significant movement in both areas in the past six months.

An Executive Order has been prepared for the Mayor to sign providing assurances that will allow the Public Defender to provide "independent, ethical and jealous advocacy" as required by the MoA. This Executive Order represents a bold move by the Mayor's Administration to support independence and address the MoA through local actions without having to wait on changes in public defense structures and funding at the State level. Development of this Executive Order was the result of the innovative and committed work of a number of individuals and it represents a good result of doing what is necessary and possible within the constraints of the County Charter.

In August 2016 Public Defender Stephen Bush submitted a "Blueprint to Achieve Compliance in Juvenile Defender Services". This "Blueprint" provides a roadmap to compliance with the MoA that can be achieved through local action. Given the assurances outlined in the Executive Order it is now incumbent on the Public Defender to implement the operational steps outlined in the "Blueprint". Together, the Executive Order and implementation of the Blueprint should move closer to compliance with the terms of the MoA.

The challenge of capacity remains a concern but the percentage of complaints represented by the Juvenile Defender Unit rose to 53% in 2016 and has increased to 57% in the first two months of 2017.

Due Process protections afforded at the Court are much improved since the Court and the County entered into the MoA with the Department of Justice. There is a focus on the protection of rights and on documenting consideration of factors in decisions by Magistrates and by staff. Most cases are handled non-judicially and the Probation staff does a good job in assuring youth are advised of their rights and in administering a Graduated Response Grid designed to help them make consistent dispositional decisions.

The Children's Defense Clinic at the University of Memphis Law School became operational in August 2016 and is a great addition to the Juvenile Justice System in Shelby County. The Clinic provides specialized training for law students and should yield long term benefits of improving representation of youth in Shelby County.

It is clear that a great deal has been done in the area of Due Process protections.

#### Good:

- Executive Order providing assurances for Public Defender independence has been prepared for the Mayor's signature
- Data samples show continued good performance in 2016 for Due Process protections. Attorneys are present 100% of the time at Detention Hearings, Affidavits are contested 63% of the time, and statements of Notice and Advisement of Rights are consistently provided
- Probation continues to do a good job in capturing valuable data and in explaining the rights to youth at Probation conferences
- The Juvenile Defender Unit has increased the number of youth they represent. They handled about 53% of the complaints compared to 30% in 2015 and 19% in 2014. In addition, the Juvenile Defender Unit has handled 57% of the complaints in January and February 2017.
- The Children's Defense Clinic at the University of Memphis became operational in August 2016
- 5% of the cases resulted in a trial which is the same rate reported in Compliance Reports #7 and #8. This percentage is a decline from 19% in 2013.
- The Office of Clinical Services continues to do a very good job with psychological evaluations

#### Challenges:

- Insuring sufficient Public Defender capacity so that the Juvenile Defender Unit has the capability of handling all non-conflict assigned counsel cases.
- Implementing the recommendations outlined in the "Blueprint to Achieve Compliance in Juvenile Defender Services". Although the recommendations are within local control there remain a number of details that must be articulated and implemented.
- Probation does a very good job explaining the right to counsel and keeping cases from going to Court. Even so, an increasing number of youth, 38% in 2016, an increase from 25% in 2015, do not admit to charges yet receive some record of sanctions while 99% of them do not have an attorney.

## **DMC and Equal Protection**

The last Compliance Report submitted in September 2016 cited disparities from Dr. Leiber's Assessment Report for 2015 data. The Assessment Report for 2016 data will be ready until May 2017. Thus we have no fresher data to assess change since Compliance Report #8 submitted six months ago. This report thus focuses on the efforts made in the past six months while recognizing the data reflecting the impact of those efforts will not be available for some time.

Following Dr. Leiber's technical assistance visit in July 2016 Juvenile Court CAO Pam Skelton formed a Strategic Planning Committee to address DMC issues and Dr. Leiber's recommendations. Disparities needed to be addressed at each Decision Point in the Juvenile Justice System and the Strategic Planning Committee has been the focal point for pushing for change. Some examples of the work being done through this committee:

- Juvenile Court is addressing the high number of referrals from law enforcement by becoming involved in the annual in-service training with the Memphis Police Department to discuss alternatives to referring youth to the Court
- A Summons Review Team has been established to develop a process to address summons without the necessity of Court intervention

- The Graduated Response Grid has been tweaked to establish more appropriate and more consistent dispositions. Plans are also underway to validate the Grid.
- An expeditor position has been created to facilitate the release of youth from Detention

In addition to the specific work being done through the Strategic Planning Committee, the active engagement of staff in this process has been refreshing.

As stated in the previous Compliance Reports, myriad social issues come into play when determining what may happen with a delinquency case. Some things may be under the control of the Court, others may not, yet the Court is charged with insuring equal protection is provided and disparate treatment is addressed.

It is worth mentioning again the many positive developments that have occurred since the MoA was signed. The number of children detained, the number of children petitioned to Court, the number of children transferred to the adult system have all been dramatically reduced since the MoA was signed. The bulk of this reduction involves minority youth which means fewer minority youth are progressing through the juvenile justice system. The Court deserves recognition for this accomplishment. That being said, more needs to be done to insure everything is being done to provide equitable treatment across racial lines. The leadership being shown by Ms. Skelton and the hard work being done through the Strategic Planning Committee gives hope that will ultimately result in a reduction in DMC.

#### Good:

- Leadership taken by CAO Pam Skelton
- Training being done with the Memphis Police Department to reduce referrals
- Work of the Summons Review Team to divert cases from Court intervention
- Modifications of the Graduated Response Grid (GRG) to simplify decisions and insure consistency
- Overall numbers progressing through the Juvenile Court system have been reduced at a number of levels.
- Objective tools continue to be used at many stages of the system (DAT, YASI, GSG). The DAT has been validated and plans to validate the GSG are underway
- Greater staff engagement

#### Challenges:

- Continued follow up on the number of activities begun after Dr. Leiber's technical assistance visit.
- Although some internal reviews of programs have begun, these reviews fall short of the impact or outcome evaluations necessary to know the true effect of these programs and whether they are making a difference. It would be advisable to pursue the use of graduate students to do some of this work absent the availability of funding for more robust evaluations.
- RRI data still show significant problems and, given that assessment of this data is done on an annual basis the next assessment is not likely to show much difference since many changes were just beginning to be put into place in the last quarter of 2016.

#### Protection from Harm: Detention Facility

On July 1, 2015 the Juvenile Detention Center was transferred to and placed under the control of the Shelby County Sheriff. This has been a substantial change and adjustments continue to be made. There have been significant improvements in the past year and especially in the past six months.

In the past 6 months the data has shown the following has been accomplished:

- Declines in the both the number and rates of Use of Force
- A dramatic increase in the use of non-physical alternatives to Use of Force
- Decline in Rates of Assault on youth
- Decline in Physical and Mechanical Restraints
- Dramatic decline in Use of Room Confinement
- Decline in the Average Duration of Room Confinement

Great improvement occurred following a technical assistance visit by Dr. David Roush in June 2016. A more juvenile focused, interactive training program was implemented, continued development of the Positive Behavior Management System and regular meetings convened by CAO Steve Leech with Chief Fields and his Detention Management Team focusing on these changes have had a positive impact.

Efforts are also underway to implement the Major Incident Reporting System which will automate data collection and allow data validation. All indicators are showing positive improvements and validation of that data will go far in assuring confidence in these important indicators.

The improvements in performance is even more impressive given the increasing population. The Average Daily population in February has risen from:

<u>Year</u>	Average Daily Population		
2014	36		
2015	66		
2016	86		
2017	99		

The population of Detention has more than doubled in the past 3 years and has increased 15% in the past 12 months. This increase puts a strain on both youth and staff and on everything else including medical/mental health services and programming. An expeditor has been hired by the Court and regular meetings aimed at reviewing youth for possible release are occurring but more is needed. A broader population management plan must be developed. This work has been done in the adult system, it needs to be done in the juvenile system. The Sheriff's Office has a Population Management Analyst position which they are seeking to fill. That position could be a vital piece in helping deal with the population explosion. The primary cause for the population increase appears to be increases in length of stay so it will be important to work collaboratively with the Court to manage the population. The good relationship between the Court and Detention should help in this regard.

Administration of Detention has greatly improved in the past year.

#### Good:

- Uses of Force have declined
- Non-physical alternatives have increased significantly
- Assaults and injuries to youth have declined
- Training is more interactive and youth focused
- The Positive Behavior Management System continues to develop
- Room confinement has been reduced dramatically
- Contract medical services continues to do a good job and communication between medical staff and security staff is good
- Hope Academy has expanded and is a great asset
- The Major Incident Reporting System has just been implemented

#### Challenges:

- Data validation efforts should continue so that confidence in data can be assured
- The Population increase poses the biggest threat to the Protection from Harm portion of the MoA
- Filling the Population Management Analyst position and using that position to help develop a population management plan for Juvenile Detention
- Audit PREA policies
- Incorporate health data into the Detention Report Card

## Community Outreach Program (COP)

The Court continues to be involved in a number of community activities. The challenge has been to plan and structure these activities into a cohesive effort to improve Court/Community relations. There have been some encouraging developments in this area.

The Court has hired Leon Gray to coordinate community outreach efforts. A calendar of quarterly public meetings has been created and have reached areas including Hickory Hill, Whitehaven and Frayser. They are working collaboratively with JDAI efforts which has been beneficial.

The CJJC has continued to be active and has added some new members to augment the core of volunteers who have been the heart of the CJJC. The Court adopted the CJJC recommendation to create a brochure for parents of Court involved youth and to develop a parent orientation for youth in Detention. This type of valuable feedback and response from the Court is what was envisioned in the creation of the CJJC.

It is expected that preliminary results of the long awaited Community Survey will be available in April 2017.

## Good

- Public Meetings are planned Quarterly
- A Community Outreach Coordinator is on board
- Court Services Director Gary Cummings is attending CJJC meetings to foster communication between the CJJC and the Court
- Preliminary results from the Community Survey are expected to be available in April 2017
- The CJJC remains active and has provided helpful input to the Court resulting in the development of an informational brochure and an orientation for parents

# **Challenges**

- Follow up on the Quarterly public meetings
- Continue to utilize feedback from the CJJC
- Complete the Community Survey and review the results

# Conclusion

It has over 4 years since the MoA was signed. The past 6 months have been particularly productive. An Executive Order has been prepared to provide assurances for Public Defender independence, an aggressive approach of structured work is taking place targeting disparities at different points of the system, Detention is a safer place for youth and the Court is more involved in community outreach.

There has been a lot of hard work invested over the past four years and in that time and a lot of progress has been made. Better policies are in place, extensive training has been undertaken, numerous objective decision tools are in place and a great deal of data has been collected.

The biggest challenges looming for each area of the MoA are:

- 1. Due Process
  - ➤ Get the Executive Order signed providing assurances of Public Defender independence
  - > Implement recommendations for local action in the "Blueprint to Achieve Compliance in Juvenile Defender Services"

# 2. Equal Protection

- ➤ Continue to use the Strategic Planning Committee to focus efforts on DMC reduction
- > Articulate and implement specific changes in policies/practices aimed at directly reducing disparities in each decision point
- > Develop more thorough analyses of various Court programs so that a determination can be made as to what is working to reduce DMC

#### 3. Protection from Harm

- > Develop a population plan to address the rising population in Detention
- > Fully implement the Major Incident Reporting System and validate the Report Card
- > Improve documentation in all areas so that there is confidence in data gathered and reported

# 4. Community Outreach

- > Build on ways to utilize feedback gained from outreach efforts
- > Complete the Community Survey and analysis of the findings from that work

There have been a number of significant advances in the past 6 months. There is reason for great optimism that, with continued attention to the challenges outlined in this report substantial compliance will soon be within reach.

# **Due Process**

Lingering Due Process issues center around the need for assurances of independence of the Public Defender and sufficient capacity for the Juvenile Defender Unit to provide representation for all non-conflict cases. In addition, the Due Process Monitor reports have cited "obstacles to defense practice" which needed to be addressed. Significant progress has been made in these areas and is covered in some detail in the sections below.

# 1. Policies and Procedures

# (a) Probable Cause Determinations

- (i) Within 90 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices to require that prior to detaining any Child, Juvenile Court Magistrates make a determination that there is probable cause that: (1) a delinquent act was committed, (2) the named Child committed the delinquent act alleged, and (3) the alleged delinquent act is one for which Tennessee statutes and JCMSC policy permit the use of detention. (MoA p. 9)
- (ii) Within 90 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices to provide Children arrested without a warrant a Probable Cause Determination to detain within 48 hours of the warrantless arrest. (MoA p. 9)
- (iii) Within 90 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices to ensure that no Child is detained for more than 48 hours prior to the Detention Hearing if the Court has not made a Probable Cause Determination. (MoA p. 9)
- (iv) Within 90 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall ensure that every Child has a meaningful opportunity to test the existence of probable cause during his or her Probable Cause Determination by revising its policies practices and procedures to: (MoA p. 9)
  - a. Appoint a defense attorney to represent any indigent Child or Child whose indigence cannot be readily determined in advance of the Probable Cause Determination. Children must be presumed indigent unless information to the contrary is provided to JCMSC;
  - b. Require the government to prove the existence of probable cause with reliable evidence such as a live witness or an Affidavit of Complaint completed and sworn to by a law enforcement officer with firsthand knowledge of the incident leading to the arrest of the Child or by an officer who communicates with a reliable source who has firsthand knowledge of the incident leading to the child's arrest;
  - c. Allow defense attorneys an opportunity to challenge the government's evidence of probable cause, by cross-examining witnesses, presenting alternative testimony, or by any other appropriate means; and

d. Require that a record be maintained, reflecting when defense counsel was appointed, the forms of evidence used, and whether the defense attorney challenged such evidence or presented alternative evidence. Such record should be accessible from the information and recording system.

# Status

These policies were submitted in the 1st Compliance Report dated April 2, 2013.

# **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (a) Probable Cause Determinations
  - (v) Each month, the Judge, or his or her designee, shall review a sampling of Case Files to determine whether the procedures for Probable Cause Determinations are being followed as required by this Agreement. The review shall include periodic observations of Probable Cause Determinations to ensure that Juvenile Court Magistrates and other staff follow policies, procedures, and practices required by this Agreement. If the review reveals that the procedures regarding Probable Cause Determinations have not been properly followed, the Judge shall take immediate corrective action, including a discussion with the responsible staff, to bring about compliance with the terms and requirements of this Agreement. (MoA p. 10)

## Status

Forms were created to assist in the review of individual case files, including Probable Cause determinations. Completion of these forms would provide data that would be put in a spreadsheet and that would be used for the reviewer to assess performance and compliance with Due Process requirements. Spreadsheets would be completed on samples gathered by Judicial for cases that appeared in Court and by Probation for cases handled non-judicially. It was determined early on that a narrative analysis would accompany the spreadsheet. The purpose of the analysis would be to document the reviewer's assessment of the data and provide direction for any necessary changes or improvements.

These forms have been used in reviewing samplings of cases as required. Comments below will discuss reviews by Judicial staff and then reviews by Probation staff.

## Comments

#### Judicial Staff reviews:

Spreadsheets have been developed to aggregate information from a sample of case file reviews in order to track performance trends. The intent has been to use spreadsheets to report data and attach a narrative to discuss and demonstrate an analysis of that data. To the extent the sample drawn is representative of the total cases, these spreadsheets offer the opportunity to form conclusions about the work being done and to use data to inform improvements in Court operations.

**Appendix 1** includes the spreadsheet covering aggregated data from July 2015 through July 2016. It should be noted that Judicial does not provide narratives accompanying the spreadsheets to the

Settlement Agreement Coordinator. Narratives are provided to the Due Process Monitor Sandra Simkins.

The spreadsheet reveals much about the work being done to protect Due Process. Some interesting excerpts include:

#### At Detention Probable Cause

- Attorneys were present at Detention Hearings 100% of the time in cases sampled from this period (January through December 2016).
- Affidavits of Complaint were available 100% of the time in this period.
- Affidavits were contested by defense attorneys in 63% of the cases compared to 65% reported in Compliance Report #8 completed in September 2016.
- There was a statement of Attorney affirming Notice & advisement of rights had been given 99% of the time in this period.
- Rights form by Magistrate was completed 100% of the time in this reporting period.

# Adjudicatory Hearing

- An Attorney has been present in 100% of the Adjudicatory Hearings.
- Amended petitions were filed in 2% of the cases, the same rate as in Compliance Report #8.
- 5% of cases resulted in a trial which is the same rate reported in Compliance Reports #7 and #8. This percentage is a decline from 19% in 2013
- 85% of the cases resulted in a Waiver and Admission in this report compared to 84% reported in Compliance Report #8.
- Plea and rights forms were completed 100% of the time in this period as has been done consistently.

# Transfer Hearing

- The average of 12 "Notices of Transfer filed" per month has remained steady
- Written rationale for the transfer was completed 100% of the time in this period.
- Transfer was granted in 55% of the Transfer Hearings and 45% were waived in 2016
- Written findings providing the rationale for the transfer were included in 10% of the cases
- Defense presented evidence opposing Probable Cause in Transfer Hearings 47% of the time and In Support of Continued Juvenile Jurisdiction 31% of the time.

This data continues to support impressive performance relative to the protection of due process. With the exception of transfer cases, the data is based on a sample of cases reviewed. The reviews document that protections of due process are consistently present and those protections have improved considerably in the past 4 years. **Appendix 2** is a memo from Dr. Tucker Johnson, Director of the Office of Clinical Services at Juvenile Court. This memo gives an update on Staffing in the Department of Clinical Services and comments on goals and completion times for psychological evaluations. There was a slight backlog in January and February of 2017 and, in cases of backlogs evaluations may be diverted to West Tennessee Forensic Services. The Due Process monitor should consider reviewing evaluations from West Tennessee Forensics to assess the quality of those evaluations compared to those coming from the Office of Clinical Services.

Dr. Johnson and her staff continue to do an excellent job on these important reports. Her work continues to be a strong point for the Court. Dr. Johnson noted in the memo in **Appendix 2** that her office is not staffed to handle the volume of reports required thus far in 2017. If this volume continues, the Court should review that staffing level.

# Probation reviews

Probation staff reviews a sampling of 40 cases per month. They complete a spreadsheet detailing the results of those reviews and complete a narrative which explains the data and provides an analysis. They began completing this spreadsheet in March 2014. The spreadsheet for January through December 2017 is included in **Appendix 3**.

The spreadsheets provide a great deal of useful information for Probation. They are able to document a variety of information including the frequency of an attorney being present, relationship of the adult accompanying the child at the probation conference, and demographic information of the children attending probation conferences. The spreadsheet also provides information about the level of the charges and the type disposition as well as which services are recommended.

Some samples of the information contained in the data from the sample of Probation conferences for calendar year 2016 show:

- 99 % of the youth are not represented by an attorney
- 73% of the time the child's Mother attends the conference
- The youth at Probation conferences were a bit younger than reported in 2015. In 2016 43% of the youth were aged 13-15 years old while 45% were aged 16-17 years old. In 2015, 48% of the youth at Probation conferences were 16-17 years old while 39% of the youth are between the age of 13-15
- 32% of the youth at Probation conferences were female compared to 45% female in 2015
- An increasing percentage of youth in Probation Conferences are classified as Special Education, 8% in 2016 compared to 6% in 2015. (During the first 2 months of 2017 that percentage has increased to 12.5% classified as Special Education.
- 100% of the youth have their rights explained to them in the conference
- 85% of the youth were African American compared to 93% in 2015
- An increasingly high percentage of the youth (38%) did not admit to the charge compared to 25% last year
- The most common disposition at Probation conferences in 2016 was Advise/counsel (45%)
- The most common service recommended at the Probation conference was Anger Management with 13% of the youth recommended for that service

The availability of this wealth of data is a great improvement over what was being done before the MoA was signed. The large number of cases handled via Probation conferences rather than being petitioned to Court is a strong point of the Juvenile Court system in Shelby County. In addition to the large number of cases diverted by this process, the conferences themselves are, by all accounts, handled well by the Probation staff.

As mentioned in previous reports, if there is a concern with this process it remains that a high percentage of the youth do not admit to the charges (38% in 2016, up from 25% in 2015) yet end up

with some type record in Juvenile Court even though 99% of them do not have an attorney. Probation counselors have been trained and are insuring youth know about their rights to counsel but those rights are not being exercised. This presents a difficult dilemma for the juvenile justice system. That dilemma becomes more difficult with an increasing percentage of the Youth in Probation conferences being classified as "Special Education".

# **Due Process**

# 1. Policies and Procedures

# (b) Notice of Charges

- (i) Within 90 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices in accordance with Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-10-101 to ensure that Children and defense counsel receive copies of the Affidavit of Complaint as soon as it is available, but at a minimum before the Detention Hearing. JCMSC shall also ensure that Juvenile Court Magistrates formally arraign Children at all Detention Hearings. (MoA p. 10)
- (ii) When changes are made to a Child's charges as set forth in a filed Petition prior to the Adjudicatory Hearing that could increase the penalty, JCMSC shall provide notice of the final charges by providing copies of the amended or new Petition upon the filing of same Petition at least 14 calendar days in advance of the hearing so that the Child and defense counsel have sufficient time to prepare for the hearing, unless the Child and defense counsel waive the advance notice. If defense counsel establishes that he or she has not had sufficient time to prepare for the hearing because of changes to the Child's charges and requests a continuance, JCMSC shall move the date of the Adjudicatory Hearing to provide counsel with a reasonable opportunity to prepare. (MoA p. 10)
- (iii) When changes are made to a Child's charges as set forth in a filed Petition prior to the Adjudicatory Hearing that reduce the penalty or drop the charges, JCMSC shall provide notice of the final charges by providing copies of the amended or new Petition to the Child and defense counsel upon the filing of same Petition within 24 hours of the change in charges. (MoA p. 11)

#### Status

These policies were submitted in the 1st Compliance Report dated April 2, 2013.

# **Due Process**

# 1. Policies and Procedures

# (b) Notice of Charges

(iv) Each month, the Judge, or his or her designee, shall review a sampling of Case Files to determine whether the requirements regarding notice of charges are being followed as required by this Agreement. The review shall include periodic observations of

Detention and Adjudicatory Hearings to ensure that Juvenile Court Magistrates and other staff follow policies, procedures, and practices regarding notice of charges required by this Agreement. If the review reveals that the procedures have not been properly followed, the Judge shall take immediate corrective action, including a discussion with the responsible staff, to bring about compliance with the terms and requirements of this Agreement. (MoA p. 11)

# Status

Forms were created to assist in the review of case files, including Notice of Charge determinations. A sampling of cases has been reviewed as required.

# **Comments**

See the spreadsheet and comments in **Appendix 1** and comments in the section Due Process 1. (a) (v) above regarding the spreadsheet and aggregated information on reviews.

# **Due Process**

## 1. Policies and Procedures

- (c) Transfer Hearings
  - (i) Within 90 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices in accordance with Tenn. Code Ann. § 37-1-134 and the Tennessee Rules of Juvenile Procedure, R. 24(b) to require Transfer Hearings that comport with due process requirements prior to waiving jurisdiction and ordering transfer of a Child's case to adult court. Specifically, JCMSC shall ensure that all Transfer Hearings include the following: (MoA p. 11)
    - a. The Assistant District Attorney presents evidence in support of the petition for transfer;
    - b. Children have a right to an attorney whose role is to represent their stated interest;
    - c. Children, through their attorneys, are provided the opportunity to introduce evidence on their own behalf;
    - d. Children, through their attorneys, are provided the opportunity to meaningfully confront evidence presented against them, including cross-examining adverse witnesses;
    - e. Children are protected from self-incrimination;
    - f. The Judge or Juvenile Court Magistrate presiding as Special Judge makes written findings on whether there are reasonable grounds to believe that: (1) the Child committed the delinquent act as alleged; (2) the Child is not committable to an institution for persons with a developmental disability or mental illness;

- and (3) the interests of the community require that the Child be put under legal restraint or discipline; and
- g. The Judge or Juvenile Court Magistrate presiding as Special Judge considers and documents his or her consideration of factors relevant to his or her findings, including, but not limited to: (1) the extent and nature of the Child's prior delinquency; (2) the nature of past treatment efforts and the nature of the Child's response thereto; (3) the Child's suitability for additional treatment; (4) the nature of the delinquent act alleged; (5) the Child's social factors; (6) the alternatives within the juvenile justice system which were considered and the rationale for rejecting those alternatives; and (7) whether the juvenile court and juvenile justice system can provide rehabilitation of the juvenile.

These policies were submitted in the 1st Compliance Report dated April 2, 2013.

# **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
  - (ii) Each month, the Judge, or a designee, shall review all files related to Transfer Hearings to determine if Transfer Hearings properly follow the requirements of this Agreement. The review shall include periodic observations of Transfer Hearings to ensure that Juvenile Court Magistrates and other staff follow policies, procedures and practices required by this Agreement. If the review reveals that the Transfer Hearing procedures have not been properly followed, the Judge shall take immediate corrective action, including a discussion with the responsible staff, to bring about compliance with the terms and requirements of this Agreement. (MoA p. 12)

#### Status

Forms were created to assist in the review of case files, including Transfer Hearings. A sampling of cases has been reviewed as required.

#### Comments

See the spreadsheet and comments in **Appendix 1** and comments in the section Due Process 1. (a) (v) above regarding the spreadsheet and aggregated information on reviews.

# **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (d) Protections Against Self-Incrimination
  - (i) Within 90 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices to prevent probation officers or any other staff from eliciting information about Children's involvement in the alleged delinquent act or acts in question outside the presence of the Child's defense attorney. (MoA p. 12)

- (ii) Within 90 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices to notify a Child's defense attorney in writing of any probation conference or interview. The probation conference or interview shall be open to the Child's defense attorney. (MoA p. 13)
- (iii) Within 90 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices to ensure that probation officers appropriately advise Children of their <a href="Miranda">Miranda</a> rights. The probation officer's advisement of rights shall include: (MoA p. 13)
  - a. A description of the role of a defense lawyer;
  - b. A statement that the Child is entitled to the appointment of a defense attorney and that a defense attorney may be provided at no cost if the Child is eligible;
  - c. A statement that the Child's statements regarding the alleged offense can be included in the probation report; and
  - d. A statement that the Child's statement could be used against him or her by the prosecutor, probation officer, or the Magistrate Judge in further proceedings, including disposition.

These policies were submitted in the 1st Compliance Report dated April 2, 2013.

#### Comments

All reports are that the Probation staff continues to do a good job in this area.

## **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (d) Protections Against Self-Incrimination
  - (iv) JCMSC shall require probation officers to have Children document in writing their receipt and understanding of their rights against self-incrimination. JCMSC shall consider the Child's ability to understand his or her rights and ensure that the rights are explained in age-appropriate language. Children must receive the advice of counsel about their rights against self-incrimination and the meaning of any waiver before signing a waiver. Children must acknowledge their waiver in writing in order for the probation conference to proceed. (MoA p. 13)

#### Status

These policies were submitted in the 1st Compliance Report dated April 2, 2013.

# **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (d) Protections Against Self-Incrimination
  - (v) JCMSC shall consider developing a partnership with a non-profit or academic organization to provide advice and support to Children during the probation intake process. Participants in this program shall be trained on the appropriate role of probation officers, the Child's right against self-incrimination, and the policies, procedures, and practices regarding protections against self-incrimination developed as part of this Agreement. (MoA p. 13)

#### Status

The 5<sup>th</sup> Compliance Report detailed the work done by Public Defender Stephen Bush in creating a partnership with the University of Memphis Law School to implement a specialized juvenile delinquency clinic. Mr. Bush worked for over 2 years in planning, seeking technical assistance and acquiring funding for The Children's Defense Clinic. This Clinic, led by Professor Lisa Geis, began operations in August 2016 and is dedicated to training law students who will provide specialized defense for children involved in delinquency matters in Shelby County.

#### Comments

Creating the Children's Defense Clinic has been a tremendous accomplishment. The Clinic provides support through direct representation of youth and provides a training ground for future attorneys to become involved the practice of juvenile defense. This program holds the promise of yielding tremendous benefits for both the juvenile justice system and our community.

# **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (d) Protections Against Self-Incrimination
  - (vi) Within 30 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices in accordance with Tenn. Code Ann. § 37-1-127(b) and(e) to prohibit the adverse use of information obtained from a Child during his or her probation conference. (MoA p. 14)

#### **Status**

These policies were submitted in the 1st Compliance Report dated April 2, 2013.

# **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (d) Protections Against Self-Incrimination

- (vii) Within 30 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices in accordance with Tenn. Code Ann. § 37-1-127(b) to ensure that Juvenile Court Magistrates do not permit the government to call Children as witnesses in the Child's own Adjudicatory or Transfer Hearing. (MoA p. 14)
- (viii) Within 30 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices in accordance with Tenn. Code Ann. § 37-1-127(b) to require Juvenile Court Magistrates to give an oral advisement of rights against self-incrimination to any Child who wishes to testify at his or her own Adjudicatory or Transfer Hearings. (MoA p. 14)

These policies were submitted in the 1<sup>st</sup> Compliance Report dated April 2, 2013. Due Process Monitor Sandra Simkins expressed concerns about protections against self-incrimination at the Probation Conference stage. In response to those concerns, internal training was developed and conducted for Probation staff. A video of that training was made to use for routine training of new staff in Probation.

# **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (d) Protections Against Self-Incrimination
  - (ix) Each month, the Judge, or his or her designee, shall review a sampling of Case Files to determine whether the requirements of this Agreement regarding protections against self-incrimination of Children are being properly followed. The review shall include periodic observations of probation conferences by appropriate supervisory staff of the Court's Probation Department as well as periodic observations of Adjudicatory and Transfer Hearings by the Judge or his or her designee. If the reviews reveal that the procedures regarding protection against self-incrimination have not been properly followed, the Judge shall take immediate corrective action, including a discussion with the responsible staff, to bring about compliance with the terms and requirements of this Agreement. (MoA p. 14)

#### Status

Forms were created to assist in the review of case files, including protections against self-incrimination. A sampling of cases has been reviewed as required.

# **Comments**

See the spreadsheet and comments in **Appendix 1** and comments in the section Due Process 1. (a) (v) above regarding the spreadsheet and aggregated information on reviews.

# **Due Process**

1. Policies and Procedures

- (d) Protections Against Self-Incrimination
  - (x) JCMSC shall immediately cease the practice of providing Visit and Contact forms to Juvenile Court Magistrates prior to Adjudicatory Hearings. (MoA p. 14)

This was documented in the 1st Compliance Report dated April 2, 2013.

# **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (e) Juvenile Defenders
  - (i) Within one year of the Effective Date, SCG shall take action to ensure independent, ethical, and zealous advocacy by the juvenile defenders representing Children in delinquency hearings. This action shall include: (MoA p. 14)
    - a. Creating a responsibility for the supervision and oversight of juvenile delinquency representation to the Shelby County Public Defender's Office ("SCPD") and supporting the establishment of a specialized unit for juvenile defense;
    - b. Supporting SCPD training for juvenile defenders, including training on trial/advocacy skills and knowledge of adolescent development;
    - c. Ensuring that juvenile defenders have appropriate administrative support, reasonable workloads, and sufficient resources to provide independent, ethical, and zealous representation to Children in delinquency matters. Representation of Children shall cover all stages of the juvenile delinquency case, including preadjudicatory investigation, litigation, dispositional advocacy, and post-dispositional advocacy for as long as JCMSC has jurisdiction over a Child; and
    - d. Implementing attorney practice standards for juvenile defenders; supporting the training of attorneys within the SCPD specialized unit and the independent panel system on the practice standards; and supporting supervision and evaluation of said attorneys against such practice standards.

#### **Status**

There has been a great deal of progress in this area since the submission of Compliance Report #8 in September 2016. The MoA requires the County to create a responsibility for the supervision and oversight of juvenile delinquency representation to the Public Defender's Office and to support the establishment of a specialized unit for juvenile defense. **Appendix 4** includes a report that shows a breakdown of representation by the Juvenile Defender Panel and the Public Defender for 2016. The complaints handled by the Public Defender have steadily increased as shown below:

Year	% complaints represented by Public Defender
2014	19%
2015	29.8%
2016	53%
2017	57% (January & February)

#### Comments

The focus of the past six months has been developing an adequate set of assurances to insure the independence of the Public Defender to provide defense in a zealous and ethical manner. To that end, much work has been done to craft an Executive Order by the Mayor addressing independence and providing appropriate assurances. That Executive Order has been prepared and is awaiting Mayor Luttrell's signature. A draft copy of this Executive Order is included in **Appendix 5**.

Following issuance of that Executive Order it is incumbent on the Public Defender to move forward in implementing the operational items proposed in the "Blueprint to Achieve Compliance in Juvenile Defender Services" which was submitted on August 15, 2016. Recommendations in the Blueprint include:

- 1. Establish Adequate Assurances, including a term of service, to protect the Chief Public Defender from political influence
- 2. Establish operational independence by:
  - Allowing the PD to advocate for funding and participate fully in state/local budget proceedings
  - Reorganize the PD Division for service delivery consistent with the ABA Ten Principles
  - Establish business rules that govern administration of public defense revenue sources
  - Insure the PD can manage all operations and functions in a manner that meets ethical obligations
- 3. Increase Public Defender capacity to provide direct representation

This Executive Order and the Blueprint present a path for the County Administration to meet the spirit of the MoA by exercising local control without having to count on long term changes within the State government system which may or may not come about. All those responsible for taking these bold steps to comply with the MoA should be commended for their work.

Another point of progress has centered around concerns expressed by Due Process Monitor Sandra Simkins in her 8<sup>th</sup> Compliance Report dated December 22, 2016. In that report Ms. Simkins cited concerns involving "Obstacles to Defense Practice: Motions, Orders, Docket Numbers". Ms. Simkins asked that I provide assistance in addressing these concerns. These issues have been addressed through excellent cooperation provided by Pam Skelton and her staff at Juvenile Court, Juvenile Court Clerk Joy Touliatos and her staff and Stephen Bush and Public Defender staff. **Appendix 6** includes a memo outlining the review and resolution of the concerns identified by Ms. Simkins. The proposed resolutions have been tested by defense attorneys and the issues appear to have been properly addressed.

# **Due Process**

# 1. Policies and Procedures

# (e) Juvenile Defenders

- (ii) Within one year of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall take action to ensure independent, ethical, and zealous advocacy by the juvenile defenders representing Children in delinquency hearings. This action shall include: (MoA p. 15)
  - Appointing juvenile defenders to represent children at Detention Hearings and Probable Cause Determinations as early as possible, including immediately after intake staff completes required paperwork where possible;
  - b. Establishing a juvenile defender panel system, overseen by an independent body, to handle any delinquency cases that either pose a conflict for the specialized unit for juvenile defense or would cause the juvenile unit to breech workload restrictions required by this Agreement;
  - c. Supporting the promulgation and adoption of attorney practice standards for juvenile defenders; supporting the training of attorneys within the SCPD specialized unit and the independent panel system on the practice standards; and supporting supervision and evaluation of said attorneys against such practice standards; and
  - d. Ensuring that juvenile defenders have a confidential meeting space to confer with their clients within the Facility.

#### Status

See the comments in the section above.

# Comments

By all accounts, the Public Defender's Juvenile Unit continues to do a good job in providing representation for the youth. Expanding the capacity of the Public Defender's Juvenile Unit to cover all non-conflict cases seems to be the best opportunity to address DoJ concerns about Due Process protections. The Public Defender has a Transfer Unit to handle those critical cases. The volume handled by that Unit has been considerable and additional staffing may become necessary.

#### **Due Process**

## 1. Policies and Procedures

# (f) Plea Colloquies

(i) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices to establish a procedure for conducting plea colloquies that is age-appropriate and clear to the Child. (MoA p. 16)

- (ii) JCMSC shall also ensure that Juvenile Court Magistrates conduct an interactive oral colloquy with the Child that includes: (MoA p. 16)
  - a. The nature of the delinquent act charged;
  - b. The Child's right to an attorney;
  - c. The Child's right to plead not guilty and to have an Adjudicatory Hearing instead where he or she would have the right to cross-examine adverse witnesses and the right to remain silent;
  - d. The Child's waiver of a right to trial on the merits and an appeal by entering a guilty plea;
- (iii) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices to ensure that Children have a right to counsel whenever entering a plea of guilty. (MoA p. 16)

This policy was completed in June 2013 and was submitted to DoJ at that time. The policy was included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013.

# **Due Process**

#### 1. Policies and Procedures

# (g) Restitution Guidelines

- (i) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices to establish guidelines for assigning restitution to any Child adjudicated delinquent that provides the Child a meaningful opportunity to challenge the evidence of restitution. (MoA p. 16)
- (ii) At minimum, the restitution guidelines shall: (MoA p. 16)
  - a. Require documentation to support the restitution request;
  - b. Allow Children adequate time to review the restitution request and the opportunity to introduce evidence opposing the restitution amount; and
  - c. Allow Children an opportunity to request an adjustment to the restitution amount by introducing evidence of any family income and/or obligations that would prevent the ability to pay the restitution amount or render the restitution amount an undue hardship.

#### Status

This policy was completed in June 2013 and was submitted to DoJ at that time. The policy was included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013.

#### Comments

The policies are in place. Future monitoring efforts should verify the proper implementation of the policies.

#### **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (h) Bond-Setting Guidelines
  - (i) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices to establish guidelines for the setting of bonds for Children charged with delinquent acts. (MoA p. 17)
  - (ii) At minimum, the guidelines regarding bonds shall: (MoA p. 17)
    - a. Prevent excessive bonds for Children:
    - b. Reasonably assure the Child's appearance for court;
    - c. Take into account the presumptive indigence of Children and recognize that parental income may not be available to the Child; and
    - d. Allow parents to file statements of indigence where appropriate.

#### Status

This policy was completed in June 2013 and was submitted to DoJ at that time. The policy was included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013.

# Comments

The policies are in place. Future monitoring efforts should verify the proper implementation of the policies.

## **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (i) Confidentiality of Juvenile Delinquency Proceedings
  - (i) Within 30 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices to protect the Children's confidentiality in delinquency proceedings. (MoA p. 17)
  - (ii) The Court shall ensure, in accordance with Tenn. R. Juv. P. 27 and Tenn. Supreme Court Rule 30. C. (5) that only persons who are properly concerned in a Child's case or in the Court's discretion, only persons with a direct interest in the case, are admitted into any delinquency proceeding. (MoA p. 17)

These policies were submitted in the 1st Compliance Report dated April 2, 2013.

# **Due Process**

# 1. Policies and Procedures

- (j) Language Access Plan
  - (i) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall develop a language access plan that complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, (42 U.S.C. § 2000d et seq.) to ensure that persons with limited English proficiency have a meaningful access to Court proceedings. JCMSC shall assess the language needs of the Shelby County population and make summonses and other crucial court documents available in appropriate languages. (MoA p. 17)
  - (ii) JCMSC shall implement the language access plan within one year of the Effective Date. (MoA p. 17)

# Status

This plan is outlined in a memo from Dini Malone on April 15, 2013. Policies involving language access are included in a Detention Policy and a Volunteer Services Bureau policy.

# Comments

The above documents were included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013.

## **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (k) Treatment of Witnesses
  - (i) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, practices, and procedures on treatment of witnesses to ensure that the integrity of witness testimony is preserved. The policy shall, at minimum, require that prior to testifying at any delinquency proceeding: (MoA p. 17)
    - a. All witnesses are placed under oath; and
    - b. All witnesses are appropriately sequestered.

#### Status

This policy was completed in June 2013 and was submitted to DoJ at that time. The policy was included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013.

# **Due Process**

# 1. Policies and Procedures

# (1) Judicial Bench Cards

- (i) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall develop bench cards containing specific guidelines to inform Juvenile Court Magistrates about the substantive issues they need to cover during hearings in order to comply with due process requirements of the United States Constitution. (MoA p. 18)
- (ii) The bench cards shall be readily accessible documents that contain due process requirements, relevant case law and statutory references, and written findings Juvenile Court Magistrates shall make at the culmination of each hearing. The bench card should be made available to counsel upon request. (MoA p. 18)
- (iii) JCMSC shall produce bench cards for the following type of hearings and proceedings: (MoA p. 18)
  - a. Detention Hearing, Probable Cause Determinations, and bond-settings;
  - b. Adjudicatory Hearings;
  - c. Plea Colloquies;
  - d. Transfer Hearings;
  - e. Disposition Hearings, including procedures for setting restitution; and
  - f. Post-dispositional Hearings;

#### Status

A policy regarding bench cards was created 6-17-13. Submissions for bench cards in accordance with this item were included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013.

#### Comments

The possibility of having the Bench Book available on line had been previously discussed. Ultimately, the Court determined they would put the policies on their website with links to applicable cases. Hard copies of the Bench Book are available at the Court.

# **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (m) Written Findings

- (i) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, and practices to require Juvenile Court Magistrates to produce court orders containing written findings of fact for each judicial decision made. (MoA p. 18)
- (ii) The written findings of fact shall include the relevant statutory requirements, the legal reasoning that formed the basis for the court's decision, and a narrative of the facts that the Juvenile Court Magistrate considered in reaching its conclusion. (MoA p. 18)

This policy was completed in June 2013 and was submitted to DoJ at that time. The policy was included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013.

#### **Due Process**

- 1. Policies and Procedures
- (n) Recordings of Juvenile Delinquency Hearings
  - (i) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall have all delinquency hearings, including initial Detention Hearings, Adjudicatory Hearings, Transfer Hearings, and probation revocation hearings recorded by electronic means. Privately engaged court reporters may provide written transcripts. (MoA p. 19)
  - (ii) JCMSC shall ensure that the recordings are complete and of sufficient quality to ensure a meaningful review. (MoA p. 19)
  - (iii) JCMSC shall make recordings accessible at no cost to defense counsel representing indigent Children. (MoA p. 19)
  - (iv) JCMSC shall make arrangements to store each recording for two years. (MoA p. 19)

#### Status

The Juvenile Court Clerk has the responsibility of recording hearings.

#### Comments

A memo from Juvenile Court Clerk Joy Touliatos describes the recording, the storage of those recordings and the quality checks of those recordings. That memo was included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013. Recordings are available and are of good quality.

# **Due Process**

- 2. Training
- (a) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall develop a training plan for all employees involved with its delinquency docket and submit the training plan to the Monitor and the United States for review and approval. (MoA p. 19)

- (b) JCMSC's training plan shall ensure that appropriate staff are trained on topics relevant to their role and responsibilities in juvenile delinquency proceedings including: (MoA p. 19)
  - (i) Trial advocacy;
  - (ii) Constitutional due process requirements;
  - (iii) Adolescent development;
  - (iv) Disposition planning;
  - (v) Best practices in social service and therapeutic options for Children and families, including evidence-based practices;
  - (vi) The functional and practical purposes of the juvenile court, including the Court's ability to handle cases involving Children charged with serious or violent delinquent acts; and
  - (vii) The appropriate professional role of different players within juvenile proceedings.
- (c) JCMSC shall implement its first training plan within 12 months of the Effective Date and shall create subsequent training plans on an annual basis thereafter. (MoA p. 19)

An updated training schedule is maintained by Ms. Brenda Johnson, HR Manager. That schedule covers Juvenile Court training from 2012-2016 and is included in **Appendix 7**.

#### Comments

Juvenile Court has continued to be extremely supportive of staff training. The attached training schedule illustrates the variety of training in which the Court is engaged and the great number of staff receiving the training. The Court works well with the JDAI efforts to the benefit of all involved.

#### **DMC** and Equal Protection

In July 2016 Equal Protection Monitor, Dr. Michael Leiber conducted a technical assistance visit to work with staff to develop a focused approach to addressing DMC issues. Following that visit Juvenile Court CAO Pam Skelton leadership in planning and developing approaches to address DMC and Equal Protection issues. Ms. Skelton has convened regular meetings of a strategic planning committee to follow up on Dr. Leiber's technical assistance visit. Notes are compiled documenting the discussions of this committee and are distributed to committee members and to Dr. Leiber. These meetings have been valuable in maintaining focus on the tasks and insuring accountability for moving various items forward. Although it is difficult to determine progress on DMC reduction until the annual assessment study is done, it is clear that the Court's efforts, led by Ms. Skelton and her team have brought a renewed vigor in approaching the DMC issues. Appendix 8 contains a memo from Ms. Skelton to Dr. Leiber providing follow up on actions discussed during the technical assistance visit. Similar memos have followed each of the committee meetings which are generally held twice a month.

# 1. DMC Assessment

(a) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall identify all data collection needs to engage in a thorough evaluation of DMC at each major Decision Point along of the stages of juvenile justice. (MoA p. 21)

#### **Status**

Data is available at each decision point.

# Comments

Basic data has been collected. It has been suggested that as the Points of Contact work on DMC issues they should be involved in identifying other data collection needs that may be helpful in informing their work.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

## 1. DMC Assessment

- (b) Within nine months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall augment the appropriate data collection method to assist in its evaluation of its DMC levels, causes, and reduction. The method shall include an assessment of the following areas within JCMSC and Shelby County related to comparisons of white and African-American children, as well as any additional population groups which constitute five percent or more of the juveniles referred to JCMSC in the preceding year: (MoA p. 21)
  - (i) Relative rate index for each Decision Point, including, but not limited to, preadjudication detention, diversion, and transfers;
  - (ii) A comparison of JCMSC, the County's, and the State's RRI with the national RRI data;
  - (iii) Referring agencies, types of offenses referred by each particular agency; offense severity referred by the agency; and resources offered to Children within the referring agency's jurisdiction;
  - (iv) Number of Children in detention over a set period of time, their risk assessment scores, the component parts of their risk assessment scores, the recommended actions from their risk assessment scores, their social factors, whether they were placed in alternative programs, and the outcomes of those alternative programs;
  - (v) Available diversion options for Children appearing before JCMSC. This shall account for the options available in different geographic regions of the County; and
  - (vi) Number of youth formally considered for transfer to adult court and the number actually certified for transfer.

The 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013 included memos and charts that covered sections (v) and (vi) of this section.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

# 1. DMC Assessment

(c) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall identify staffing needs to collect, evaluate, and report DMC data as required by this Agreement. JCMSC shall assign additional staff required within nine months. (MoA p. 22)

#### Status

A memo describing the staffing for data collection and reporting for Corrective Services was included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013.

## Comments

Aimee Burgdorf has been assigned as the Data Analyst with responsibilities in this area. Ms. Burgdorf continues to be take the lead and be active and enthusiastic in approaching this work. She has coordinate a great deal of improvement in items including the Court's data dashboard.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

#### 1. DMC Assessment

(d) Within six months of the Effective Date, the Shelby County Mayor shall appoint a coordinator responsible for oversight of the progress on reducing DMC on the part of JCMSC and other departments and agencies of Shelby County Government that he may select in the exercise of his sound discretion. (MoA p. 22)

#### Status

This item is complete. Lisa Hill was appointed by Mayor Luttrell effective February 18, 2013 as was reported in the first Compliance report. In addition, the Court hired Bridgette Bowman to serve as the DMC Coordinator for the Court. Ms. Bowman focuses on the examination of internal Court operations in an effort to reduce DMC. Although they have different focal points, efforts to better coordinate the work of Ms. Hill and Ms. Bowman could be beneficial to DMC reduction efforts.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

#### 1. DMC Assessment

Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall also identify and designate a point of contact ("POC") within each department responsible for delinquency matters before the court – including, but not limited to, probation, detention, and the Juvenile Court Magistrates – to report on and evaluate the department's DMC reduction efforts. (MoA p. 22)

The Point of Contact (POC) process was tried and for a number of reasons never really worked. However, the purpose of designating individual Points of Contact was to focus on the various decision points where DMC could occur. Although the POC process was unsuccessful the strategic planning committee convened by Pam Skelton serves a similar purpose and has been more successful in targeting DMC at different points. The decision to discontinue the POC process and reports was made in consultation with Equal Protection Monitor Dr. Mike Leiber. The memo in **Appendix 8** documents much of these efforts.

# **Comments**

Equal Protection Monitor Mike Leiber has submitted Assessment Reports with his bi-annual monitoring reports. Those Assessment Reports provide RRI data and a multivariate logistic regression analysis. Below are comparisons of the RRI from 2009, 2013, 2014 and 2015 for the 8 Decision Points. This information is from Dr. Michael Leiber's Assessment Study dated June 17, 2016. The numbers highlighted in **bold** show DMC continues to exist. An updated Assessment covering calendar year 2015 study is expected in May 2016.

Decision Point Referral to Juv Ct Cases Diverted Cases – Secure Detention Petitioned Delinquent Findings Probation Placement Secure Placement	2009 3.4 0.9 2.1 1.2 1.3 1.0	2013 5.06 0.88 1.64 1.46 1.16 1.04	2014 4.38 0.92 2.02 1.69 1.18 1.05	2015 4.26 0.91 2.31 1.79 1.70 1.29 1.65
Transfer	2.3	* (insufficient #s)	* (insufficient #s)	* (insufficient #s)

The data in Dr. Leiber's Assessment report show disparities exist at every Decision point and have grown, as measured by RRI in 6 of the 8 Decision Points in each of the past 2 years. The data show that in 2015 minorities are:

- More likely to be referred by law enforcement to Juvenile Court
- Less likely to be diverted
- More likely to Detained
- More likely to be found Delinquent
- More likely to be Petitioned to Court.
- More likely to receive a Secure Placement

It should be kept in mind that the RRI does not show reasons for the disparities, simply that a disparity exists. Monitor Dr. Mike Leiber is conducting more in-depth statistical analyses of all of these areas which should help inform future reduction efforts. These statistics show the largest disparity remains with law enforcement but it also shows that disparities in other areas have grown slightly in the past year. It is clear that greater action must be taken in response to this data.

A key point here is that the Assessment studies are done annually and are based on data from the previous calendar year. The next Assessment report will come out around May 2017 and will cover RRI data for calendar year 2016. The Court became more active and focused on DMC reduction following Dr. Leiber's technical assistance visit in July 2016 but those efforts did not take shape until the final Quarter of 2016. In short, the Assessment study of 2016 may not reflect much change yet.

(f) JCMSC shall collect data and information required by this Agreement to determine where DMC occurs. This collection effort shall begin within nine months of the Effective Date. In particular, JCMSC shall determine the specific Decision Points where DMC occurs. This shall include geographic regions, referring agency (including individual schools) and the Decision Points noted in the DOJ Report of Findings, namely, detention, alternatives to detention, and transfer recommendations. An analysis of this data shall be conducted on an annual basis. (MoA p. 22)

#### Status

Several reports were included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013. Those reports were in draft form. This portion of the MoA requires the Court to determine the specific Decision Points where DMC occurs. Information from Juvenile Court and from Dr. Leiber's Assessment Reports provide ample information about where DMC occurs.

# Comments

Data collection has not been an issue.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

## 1. DMC Assessment

Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall assess the impact of its current policies, procedures, and programs on DMC levels at each Decision Point. JCMSC shall conduct an inventory of the available services and diversion options by race, ethnicity, and geographic region. The inventory shall measure, at minimum, the availability of family therapy, parent training, cognitive-behavioral treatment, mentoring, academic skills enhancement, afterschool recreation, vocational/job training, and wraparound services. This assessment shall include an analysis of JCMSC's current agreements with law enforcement, schools, social services agencies, and the cities and towns within Shelby County. (MoA p. 22)

#### Status

This section requires an assessment and an inventory. A host of documents were submitted and included in the 2nd Compliance Report dated September 23, 2013 which addressed the inventory. An assessment of policies is currently underway.

# **DMC and Equal Protection**

# 1. DMC Assessment

(h) Within nine months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall complete and implement its strategic plan to reduce DMC. A committee shall be formed to oversee the execution of the strategic plan. The committee shall consider further development of diversion programs including community service, informal hearings, family group conferences, victim impact panels, victim-offender mediation, mentoring, teen courts, restitution, and other restorative justice strategies.

The committee shall recommend changes to the plan based on experience of success or failures in implementation. (MoA p. 23)

#### Status

Lisa Hill, DMC Coordinator submitted a Strategic Plan to Reduce DMC on December 16, 2013.

#### Comments

An update on the Strategic Plan was provided by Lisa Hill and is included in **Appendix 9**.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

# 2. Policies and Procedures

- (a) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall revise its policies, procedures, practices, and existing agreements to reduce DMC at each Decision Point along the stages of juvenile justice and to encourage objective decision-making in all departments relating to its delinquency docket. (MoA p. 23)
- (b) JCMSC's revision of its policies, procedures, practices, and existing agreements shall include the following: (MoA p. 23)
  - (i) Collection of data sufficient to evaluate whether the relevant policy, procedure, practice, or agreement results in DMC reduction;
  - (ii) A provision requiring the least restrictive options and alternatives to a detention setting to ensure DMC reductions;
  - (iii) Guidelines expressly identifying a list of infractions and reasons for which a Child may not be detained. This list shall prohibit detention for punishment, treatment, to meet the demands of the community, the police, a victim, or school administrators, to provide convenient access to the Child, to arrange for services, to satisfy the demands of the Child's parent(s) or guardian(s), or to facilitate the interrogation of the Child or investigation of the offense;
  - (iv) Guidelines expressly identifying the reasons for which a Child may be detained. This list shall include the requirement that the Juvenile Court Magistrates make a determination that there is probable cause to believe that the Child has committed a delinquent offense for which he or she may be detained;
  - (v) Training and guidance on the use of existing and new objective decision-making tools; and
  - (vi) A requirement that a supervisory authority review all overrides within each department on, at minimum, a monthly basis.

There has been much progress in the utilization of objective decision making tools. The Detention Assessment Tool (DAT) has long been in use by Detention to insure objectivity in the detention decision. The DAT validation report completed by the University of Memphis showed the DAT was effective in identifying which youth could be safely released into the community.

The Graduated Response Grid (GRG) used by Probation has done much to provide consistency in determining appropriate non judicial dispositions.

# Comments

In Compliance Report #8, submitted in September 2016, there was a discussion of a Preventative Contact Approach – Summons Alternative Strategy – Graduated Response Grid. Work has continued to refine the Graduated Response Grid and to refine the summons process through a Summons Review Team. These approaches have a great deal of potential to reduce DMC. Plans are also underway to have a validation of the Graduated Response Grid. Requests for proposals should be issued soon.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

#### 2. Policies and Procedures

(c) JCMSC shall reassess the effectiveness of its policies, procedures, practices, and existing agreements annually. JCMSC shall make necessary revisions to increase the effectiveness of JCMSC's DMC reduction efforts within the County. (MoA p. 24)

#### Status

This commitment is for an annual assessment that should have begun in 2014. The initial review is underway as described earlier. It will be important to periodically assess the impact of policies and practices moving forward.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

# 3. DMC Reduction: Evaluation and Tools

(a) Within nine months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall commence use of objective decision-making tools to assess necessary court services for Children, including, but not limited to, alternatives to detention, referrals for social services, and prevention and early intervention services. This requirement may not replace the necessary steps to ensure compliance with due process described in the above Section. (MoA p. 24)

#### Status

The DAT, YASI and Graduated Response Grid are among tools used by the Court.

The DAT has been validated by the University of Memphis and various other programs have had cursory assessments done. An impact assessment designed to fully evaluate the programs and their possible impact on DMC would be helpful but is expensive. The Court is in the process of issuing an RFP for validation of the Graduated Response Grid.

Given the number of programs used by the Court, it would be useful to work with local universities to use graduate students to undertake some type of elementary impact assessment of the various tools and programs if funding for evaluations remain unobtainable.

# **DMC and Equal Protection**

#### 3. DMC Reduction: Evaluation and Tools

(b) Within nine months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall refine its objective decision-making tools for determining whether pre-adjudication detention is necessary for a particular Child. In addition to due process considerations outlined above, JCMSC shall expressly identify a list of reasons for which a Child may not be detained. This list shall include, but is not limited to: punishment; treatment; meeting the demands of the community, the police, a victim, or school administrators; providing convenient access to the Child; arranging services for the Child; satisfying the demands of the Child's parent(s) or guardian(s); or facilitating the interrogation of the Child or investigation of the offense. (MoA p. 24)

#### **Status**

See comments in the section above.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

## 3. DMC Reduction: Evaluation and Tools

(c) Within nine months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall implement a pilot program allowing law enforcement to phone in information about a recently arrested youth, which could lead to more youth being released with a summons and fewer transports by law enforcement to JCMSC. (MoA p. 24)

#### Status

This program known as LEAP (Law Enforcement Assessment Program) began in December 2014. A document describing the pilot program and a copy of the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) between Juvenile Court and the Sheriff's Department was included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013.

#### Comments

Compliance Report # 8, submitted in September 2016, contained an analysis of the LEAP program. Although this analysis contained a lot of useful data, the connection of this program to DMC is tenuous at best. It could be that more in depth analysis would provide some connection or it could be the program needs to be revised with specific targets for LEAP based on DMC information. This is not to say LEAP is not useful or that it does not help reduce referrals. It is simply to say that this level of assessment does not provide enough data to claim it is likely to have an impact on DMC. It is possible that, with some tweaks, this could be a program that not only reduces referrals but also does that in a way that reduces disparities.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

## 3. DMC Reduction: Evaluation and Tools

Within nine months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall develop objective tools for providing pre-and post-adjudication alternatives to secure detention, probation recommendations (including initial placement, technical violations, and the level of supervision), and transfer recommendations. To assist with the expansion of services, JCMSC shall partner with other County departments and agencies as necessary to increase access to direct services within the community (including the implementation of a pilot diversion program). JCMSC shall use the inventory of the available services and diversion options by race, ethnicity, and geographic region to inform its decision to provide or expand the required services. In particular, JCMSC shall assess the availability of house arrest, day/evening treatment centers, intensive probation, shelter care, specialized foster care, and attendant or holdover care. (MoA p. 24)

## **Status**

The 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Report submitted September 23, 2013 included a memo that discussed assessment tools, upcoming technical assistance and some existing and planned community partnerships. This appendix also included copies of some current assessment tools used by the Court.

#### Comments

The Court has been involved in the development of a number of programs including the Juvenile Court Precinct Liaison Initiative (JCPL) and the School Based Probation Liaison (SBPL). As with other initiatives, care must be taken to evaluate the effects of these programs to determine their effectiveness. The Court continues to work closely with JDAI to seek alternatives and resources.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

#### 3. DMC Reduction: Evaluation and Tools

(e) Within nine months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall develop and implement a process to statistically review all transfer recommendations. The objective measure and the Transfer Hearing bench card (referenced in the due process section above) shall be evaluated to determine if there are any patterns contributing to DMC in transfer recommendations, identify the departments and particular decision-makers contributing to DMC in transfer recommendations, and develop an action plan for eliminating the pattern and reducing the factors contributing to DMC in transfer recommendations. (MoA p. 25)

#### Status

The 3<sup>rd</sup> Compliance Report, dated March 21, 2014 included an email sent from Herb Lane, Chief Legal Officer of the Court which outlined the procedure for conducting a statistical review of transfers as required in the MoA p. 25 B. 3 (e).

Tom Coupe submitted a memo regarding "Transfers of Juveniles with Burglary Charges" in December 2014. That memo was attached in the 5<sup>th</sup> Compliance Report submitted in March 2015.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

# 3. DMC Reduction: Evaluation and Tools

(f) Within nine months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall begin implementing the revised data collection mechanism to assist in its continued evaluation of DMC levels, causes, and reduction. (MoA p. 25)

## Status

An example of data collected is included in **Appendix 10**.

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

# 3. DMC Reduction: Evaluation and Tools

- (g) Within nine months of the Effective Date, each designated DMC point of contact shall begin to use the department's data to evaluate the following on a monthly basis: (MoA p. 25)
  - (i) The relative rate index relating to the department's area of review;
  - (ii) A review of overrides using the objective factors developed for the department, including whether permissible overrides should be revised;
  - (iii) A review of the number of Children detained, in part, due to the department's actions;
  - (iv) A review of any explanations of such detention actions;
  - (v) A review of the number of Children offered non-judicial options by the department; and
  - (vi) A review of the effectiveness of the decision-making tools developed to ensure that decisions are not based on a Child's race or proxies for a Child's race or ethnicity.

Each month, the designated DMC point of contact shall provide a management report to the department head and to the Judge identifying conduct or decision-making that increases DMC or frustrates efforts to reduce DMC. The DMC point of contact, department head, and Judge shall address these concerns. The DMC point of contact shall ensure that suggestions for addressing inconsistencies and overrides are communicated to the responsible JCMSC employee. (MoA p. 25)

#### Status

The POC reports were discussed in the above section DMC and Equal Protection 1. (e)

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

# 3. DMC Reduction: Evaluation and Tools

(h) On an annual basis, JCMSC shall evaluate and revise all objective decision-making tools listed above to minimize the extent, if any, to which the tool uses racial or ethnic differences (or proxies for racial and ethnic differences) as a basis for decision-making. (MoA p. 26)

#### **Status**

The number of objective tools being used has increased. The DAT has been validated. The YASI and Graduated Response Grid (GRG) have not been locally validated although an RFP is currently being prepared to validate the GRG.

## **Comments**

This was discussed in 3. DMC Reduction: Evaluation and Tools (a)

# **DMC** and Equal Protection

# 4. Training

- (a) Within one year of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall provide all staff involved in any fashion in its delinquency docket with a minimum of sixteen hours of training on DMC in the juvenile justice system. The training shall emphasize the role of the Court, Juvenile Court Magistrates, probation, detention, and other Court personnel in reducing DMC in the juvenile justice process. The training shall include an interactive component with sample cases, responses, feedback, and testing to ensure retention. Training for all new staff shall be provided biannually. The training shall also address: (MoA p. 26)
  - (i) Understanding the potential causes of DMC, including, but not limited to, institutional resources, individual decision-making, differential handling of Children based on race or ethnicity, programming options, availability of prevention and treatment options, and eligibility criteria for court services;
  - (ii) Using data collection methods to inform DMC reduction progress;
  - (iii) Understanding how bias implicit or explicit may impact the decision-making process;
  - (iv) Evaluating the availability of programs and services that take into account community resources;
  - (v) Using decision-making tools in a fair manner and evaluating any decision to override objective outcomes;
  - (vi) Understanding the importance of community engagement and awareness of racial or ethnic disparities in the treatment of Children appearing before the Court; and

- (vii) Understanding the Court's oversight role on community issues impacting juvenile justice.
- (b) JCMSC shall ensure that all staff involved in any fashion in the delinquency docket shall complete a minimum of four hours of refresher training on an annual basis. This refresher training shall include updates related to JCMSC's challenges and progress in reducing DMC over the prior year. (MoA p. 27)

Training efforts have been extensive. An updated training schedule is maintained by Ms. Brenda Johnson, HR Manager. That schedule is included in **Appendix 7.** 

### **Protection from Harm: Detention Facility**

On July 1, 2015 the Juvenile Detention Center was transferred to and placed under the control of the Shelby County Sheriff's Office. There has been a great deal of improvement in Detention over the past year. There has been a decline in uses of force, more utilization of non-physical alternatives to force in difficult situations, less use of physical and mechanical restraints and a dramatic decline in confining youth to their rooms. Improved training and use of the Positive Behavior Management System have resulted in a safer environment for youth and staff. To be sure, challenges remain. The average daily population has risen and has been in the 90s for some time whereas two years ago the population was in the 40s. An increased length of stay is the cause for this increase and efforts to manage and decrease this population must be priorities for both the Sheriff and the Court. Nonetheless, there is much to be proud of in Detention for the progress made in the past year and specifically for progress made in the past six months.

### 1. Use of Force

(a) No later than the Effective Date, the Facility shall continue to prohibit all use of a restraint chair and pressure point control tactics. (MoA p. 28)

#### Status

The restraint chairs were removed from the Detention Center on April 26, 2012. Documentation was submitted in the 1<sup>st</sup> Compliance Report dated April 2, 2013.

# **Protection from Harm: Detention Facility**

#### 1. Use of Force

- (b) Within six months of the Effective Date, the Facility shall analyze the methods that staff uses to control Children who pose a danger to themselves or others. The Facility shall ensure that all methods used in these situations comply with the use of force and mental health provisions in this Agreement. (MoA p. 28)
- (c) Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall ensure that the Facility's use of force policies, procedures, and practices: (MoA p. 28)
  - (i) Ensure that staff use the least amount of force appropriate to the harm posed by the Child to stabilize the situation and protect the safety of the involved Child or others;
  - (ii) Prohibit the use of unapproved forms of physical restraint and seclusion;
  - (iii) Require that restraint and seclusion only be used in those circumstances where the Child poses an immediate danger to self or others and when less restrictive means have been properly, but unsuccessfully, attempted;
  - (iv) Require the prompt and thorough documentation and reporting of all incidents, including allegations of abuse, uses of force, staff misconduct, sexual misconduct

- between children, child on child violence, and other incidents at the discretion of the Administrator, or his/her designee;
- (v) Limit force to situations where the Facility has attempted, and exhausted, a hierarchy of pro-active non-physical alternatives;
- (vi) Require that any attempt at non-physical alternatives be documented in a Child's file;
- (vii) Ensure that staff are held accountable for excessive and unpermitted force;
- (viii) Within nine months of the Effective Date ensure that Children who have been subjected to force or restraint are evaluated by medical staff immediately following the incident regardless of whether there is a visible injury or the Child denies any injury;
- (ix) Require mandatory reporting of all child abuse in accordance with Tenn. Code. Ann. § 37-1-403; and
- (x) Require formal review of all uses of force and allegations of abuse, to determine whether staff acted appropriately.

The Use of Force policy was revised on November 5, 2013 to incorporate feedback received from Facilities Consultant David Roush. That policy was included in the 3rd Compliance Report dated March 21, 2014.

#### Comments

The PREA policy was included in Compliance Report #7 submitted in March 2016. In his 8<sup>th</sup> Compliance Report submitted December 8, 2016 Facilities Consultant David Roush noted the PREA policies and practices had not yet been audited. They still need to be audited.

### Protection from Harm: Detention Facility

#### 1. Use of Force

(d) Each month, the Administrator, or his or her designee, shall review all incidents involving force to ensure that all uses of force and reports on uses of force were done in accordance with this Agreement. The Administrator shall also ensure that appropriate disciplinary action is initiated against any staff member who fails to comply with the use of force policy. The Administrator or designee shall identify any training needs and debrief staff on how to avoid similar incidents through de-escalation. The Administrator shall also discuss the wrongful conduct with the staff and the appropriate response that was required in the circumstance. To satisfy the terms of this provision, the Administrator, or his or her designee, shall be fully trained in use of force. (MoA p. 29)

#### Status

**Appendix 11** includes the Detention Report Card for the period January through December 2016 which provides a great deal of management information.

The Sheriff assumed responsibility for Detention in July 2015. Below is a comparison of monthly averages for key indicators for the three 6 month periods in which Detention has been operated by the Sheriff:

	July-Dec 2015	Jan-June 2016	July-Dec 2016
Total # Youth Admitted to Detention	492	456	446
<u>USE OF FORCE</u> Number of Bed Days(average per month)	1943	2028	1889
Total # of Use of Force (per month)	33.5	33	22.5
Use of Force Rate per 100 youth	1.666	1.5	1.3
% of Times Hierarchy of Non-physical alternatives used	17.7%	15.5%	43.2%
SAFETY AND ORDER Injuries to youth per 100 days of confinement (non-assaultive)	.192	.048	.148
Injuries to youth by other youth per 100 days	.197	.064	.042
Assaults on youth per 100 days	.563	.808	.755
Physical restraint use per 100 days Mechanical restraint use per 100 days	1.697 .182	1.514 .129	1.300 .058
Use of room confinement per 100 days	1.402	1.048	.556
Average duration of room confinement in hours	24.54	18.3	19.76

#### **Comments**

Overall, the improvements reflected above are quite impressive. A comparison of the data from January/June 2016 to July/December 2016 show:

Declines in both the number and rates of Use of Force

A dramatic increase in the use of non-physical alternatives to Use of Force

An increase in injuries to youth per 100 days of confinement

Decline in Injuries to youth committed by other youth

Decline in rates of assaults on youth by other youth

Decline in Physical and Mechanical Restraints

A dramatic decline in the Use of Room Confinement

Slight increase in the Average Duration of Room Confinement

The data shows some significant progress over the past 6 months. More juvenile focused, interactive training, ongoing progress with the Positive Behavior Management System and regular meetings convened by Sheriff's CAO Steve Leech with the Detention Management team focusing on improvements are having a positive effect. The work being done on data validation is continuing and remains a critical need. Automation of data collection will streamline operations and validation of the data will provide the necessary documentation and confidence that improvements reflected in the Detention Report Card are real. Captain Weichel continues to work closely with Shannon Caraway of the Court to insure the automation and validation efforts are implemented. This should be done soon.

Hope Academy continues to be a huge asset and the expanded capacity allows more youth to participate in the educational program. That being said, even the expanded capacity falls short of the current population and, if the population continues at current levels more capacity will be a necessity.

A chart on the "Average Daily Population in Detention for 2014-2015-2016" which illustrates population trends for the past four years is included in **Appendix 12**. This chart allows management to view and react to population trends which can greatly affect activities in Detention. This chart illustrates the growing population in Detention and that population growth poses the greatest concern in the area of Protection from Harm. An increasing population places stress on both youth and staff and puts pressure on all functions of Detention from medical/mental health services to education and other programmatic services.

The Court created an Expeditor position to work with Detention in attempts to expedite the release of detained youth. This is a helpful position to identify individual cases that could be released or moved more expeditiously. It is suggested that a broader, systemic approach will be needed to effectively manage the Detention population. The Sheriff's Office has a Population Management Analyst position that gathers and analyzes data for the adult jail. That position should be filled and enlisted to develop a population management plan for Juvenile Detention. Given the breadth of the problems and challenges posed by the current population, the development of a Population Management Plan should be a high priority.

# **Protection from Harm: Detention Facility**

### 2. Suicide Prevention

- (a) Within 60 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall develop and implement comprehensive policies and procedures regarding suicide prevention and the appropriate management of suicidal Children. The policies and procedures shall incorporate the input from the Division of Clinical Services. The policies and procedures shall address, at minimum: (MoA p. 29)
  - (i) Intake screening for suicide risk and other mental health concerns in a confidential environment by a qualified individual for the following: past or current suicidal ideation and/or attempts; prior mental health treatment; recent significant loss, such as the death of a family member or a close friend; history of mental health diagnosis or suicidal behavior by family members and/or close friends; and suicidal issues or mental health diagnosis during any prior confinement.
  - (ii) Procedures for initiating and terminating precautions;
  - (iii) Communication between direct care and mental health staff regarding Children on precautions, including a requirement that direct care staff notify mental health staff of any incident involving self-harm;
  - (iv) Suicide risk assessment by the QMHP;
  - Housing and supervision requirements, including minimal intervals of supervision and documentation;

- (vi) Interdisciplinary reviews of all serious suicide attempts or completed suicides:
- (vii) Multiple levels of precautions, each with increasing levels of protection;
- (viii) Requirements for all annual in-service training, including annual mock drills for suicide attempts and competency-based instruction in the use of emergency equipment;
- (ix) Requirements for mortality and morbidity review; and
- (x) Requirements for regular assessment of the physical plant to determine and address any potential suicide risks.

Suicide policies were revised and those policies were attached in the 2nd Compliance Report which was submitted September 23, 2013. There are actually two policies: the first is the Suicide Prevention policy, the second is a policy addressing Suicide Crisis which describes what should be done in the event of an actual or attempted suicide.

On August 1, 2013 Correct Care Solutions (CCS) was retained as the contract medical provider. CCS provides mental health staff that plays a critical role in suicide prevention. The second Compliance Report also included a copy of the suicide prevention policy for CCS as well as a copy of the medical assessment and receiving screening instruments used by CCS.

CCS has been a great addition to the Court since the MoA was signed and has added much to the protection of youth in Detention.

# **Protection from Harm: Detention Facility**

### 2. Suicide Prevention

(b) Within 60 days of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall ensure security staff posts are equipped with readily available, safely secured, suicide cut-down tools. (MoA p. 30)

#### Status

These policies were submitted in the 1st Compliance Report dated April 2, 2013.

# Protection from Harm: Detention Facility

#### 2. Suicide Prevention

(c) After intake and admission, JCMSC shall ensure that, within 24 hours, any Child expressing suicidal intent or otherwise showing symptoms of suicide is assessed by a QMHP using an appropriate, formalized suicide risk assessment instrument. (MoA p. 30)

- (d) JCMSC shall require direct care staff to immediately notify a QMHP any time a Child is placed on suicide precautions. Direct care staff shall provide the mental health professional with all relevant information related to the Child's placement on suicide precautions. (MoA p. 30)
- (e) JCMSC shall prohibit the routine use of isolation for Children on suicide precautions. Children on suicide precautions shall not be isolated unless specifically authorized by a QMHP. Any such isolation and its justification shall be thoroughly documented in the accompanying incident report, a copy of which shall be maintained in the Child's file. (MoA p. 30)
- (f) Within nine months of the Effective Date, the following measures shall be taken when placing a Child on suicide precautions: (MoA p. 30)
  - (i) Any Child placed on suicide precautions shall be evaluated by a QMHP within two hours after being placed on suicide precautions. In the interim period, the Child shall remain on constant observation until the QMHP has assessed the Child.
  - (ii) In this evaluation, the QMHP shall determine the extent of the risk of suicide, write any appropriate orders, and ensure that the Child is regularly monitored.
  - (iii) A QMHP shall regularly, but no less than daily, reassess Children on suicide precautions to determine whether the level of precaution or supervision shall be raised or lowered, and shall record these reassessments in the Child's medical chart.
  - (iv) Only a QMHP may raise, lower, or terminate a Child's suicide precaution level or status.
  - (v) Following each daily assessment, a QMHP shall provide direct care staff with relevant information regarding a Child on suicide precautions that affects the direct care staff's duties and responsibilities for supervising Children, including at least: known sources of stress for the potentially suicidal Children; the specific risks posed; and coping mechanisms or activities that may mitigate the risk of harm.
- (g) JCMSC shall ensure that Children who are removed from suicide precautions receive a follow up assessment by a QMHP while housed in the Facility. (MoA p. 31)
- (h) All staff, including administrative, medical, and direct care staff or contractors, shall report all incidents of self-harm to the Administrator, or his or her designee, immediately upon discovery. (MoA p. 31)
- (i) All suicide attempts shall be recorded in the classification system to ensure that intake staff is aware of past suicide attempts if a Child with a history of suicidal ideations or attempts is readmitted to the Facility.

The suicide policies address these areas.

## Comments

A contract monitor has been hired to oversee performance by CCS. The audits are discussed at monthly meetings that include CCS, Detention, Court Administration and Health Department staff. This is a good process and aids in communication between health and security staff. Communication between security staff and medical staff seems to be good.

Once again, the Facility Consultant's last Compliance Report recommended items from the Health Care Audits be incorporated into the Detention Report Card. That recommendation is made again here. This is aggregate data and there is no discernible reason it cannot be included in the primary management document for Detention management.

# **Protection from Harm: Detention Facility**

### 2. Suicide Prevention

(j) Each month, the Administrator, or his or her designee, shall aggregate and analyze the data regarding self-harm, suicide attempts, and successful suicides. Monthly statistics shall be assembled to allow assessment of changes over time. The Administrator, or his or her designee, shall review all data regarding self-harm within 24 hours after it is reported and shall ensure that the provisions of this Agreement, and policies and procedures, are followed during every incident. (MoA p. 31)

#### Status

Suicide Prevention data included in the Report Card in **Appendix 11** compares performance in certain areas for the past three six month periods.

SUICIDE PREVENTION Total # Youth Admitted to Detention	<u>July - Dec 2015</u> 492	<u>January – June 2016</u> 456	<u>July-Dec 2017</u> 446
Rate of QMHP calls per 100 youth	.506	.271	2.47
Rate of Youth on Suicide Precautions per 100 youth	.497	.261	2.78
Average Time on Suicide Precaution (hours)	65.19	97.65	62.61

#### Comments

The data above shows fewer calls to the "Qualified Mental Health Professional" (QMHP). The QMHP is employed by the contract medical provider, Correct Care Solutions. The data also shows a slight increase in the youth placed on suicide precautions. The Average Time on Suicide Precautions is lower than the prior two six month periods.

# Protection from Harm: Detention Facility

# 3. Training

(a) Within one year of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall ensure that all members of detention staff receive a minimum of eight hours of competency-based training in each of the categories listed below, and two hours of annual refresher training on that same content. The training shall

include an interactive component with sample cases, responses, feedback, and testing to ensure retention. Training for all new detention staff shall be provided bi-annually. (MoA p. 31)

- (i) Use of force: Approved use of force curriculum, including the use of verbal deescalation and prohibition on use of the restraint chair and pressure point control tactics.
- (ii) Suicide prevention: The training on suicide prevention shall include the following:
  - a. A description of the environmental risk factors for suicide, individually predisposing factors, high risk periods for incarcerated Children, warning signs and symptoms, known sources of stress to potentially suicidal Children, the specific risks posed, and coping mechanisms or activities that may help to mitigate the risk of harm.
  - b. A discussion of the Facility's suicide prevention procedures, liability issues, recent suicide attempts at the Facility, searches of Children who are placed on suicide precautions, the proper evaluation of intake screening forms for signs of suicidal ideation, and any institutional barrier that might render suicide prevention ineffective.
  - c. Mock demonstrations regarding the proper response to a suicide attempt and the use of suicide rescue tools.
  - d. All detention staff shall be certified in CPR and first aid.

The Administrator shall review and, if necessary, revise the suicide prevention training curriculum to incorporate the requirements of this paragraph.

#### Status

Staff has been trained. Documentation was provided in the 5<sup>th</sup> Compliance Report submitted in March 2015.

#### Comments

There was a renewed focus on training following Dr. Roush's technical assistance visit in June 2016. Training methodologies have become more interactive and more sharply focused on the difference between juveniles and adults. The Sheriff's Office has been very responsive to training suggestions from the Facility Consultant and the improved performance is reflective of those improved training efforts.

This focus on training methodology and content in conjunction with the PBMS program and a better understanding of juvenile issues is a great improvement for the Detention operations.

# **Community Outreach**

A. Within six months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall develop and implement a community outreach program to keep the community informed about the progress of its reforms. The community outreach program shall include a process for updating and receiving input from a countywide juvenile justice consortium comprised of the Memphis/Shelby Juvenile Justice Board and other key stakeholders, including, but not

limited to, six to nine citizens selected by the Mayor and approved by the County Commission who are reflective of the cultural and ethnic diversity of the County to include no less than two parents of children who have had delinquency matters before JCMSC, a person under the age of twenty-one (21) who has had direct contact with the juvenile justice system, and community advocates. (MoA p. 33)

- B. The community outreach program shall require at least one open meeting every six months for the first three (3) years of this Agreement and at least one time annually thereafter. The open meetings shall inform the public about the requirements of this Agreement, discuss JCMSC's progress in each substantive area of the Agreement, and address community concerns related to the fair administration of juvenile justice. The meetings shall be held in a location with easy access to public transportation. At least one week before the open meetings, JCMSC shall widely publicize the meetings using print media, radio, and the internet. (MoA p. 33)
- C. The community outreach meetings shall include summaries of reports completed pursuant to this Agreement during the period immediately prior to the meeting and inform the public of any policy changes or other significant actions taken as a result of this Agreement. (MoA p. 33)
- D. JCMSC shall publish on its website annual reports outlining its reform efforts in accordance with this Agreement. The annual report shall include a description of the measures taken to address the due process and detention reforms and to reduce the level of DMC at different Decision Points. (MoA p. 33)
- E. The community outreach program shall include a data dashboard that directly communicates JCMSC's compliance with the provisions of this Agreement. The data dashboard shall present a snapshot of JCMSC's progress toward complying with the due process, equal protection, and protection from harm goals identified in the Agreement. JCMSC shall ensure that the data dashboard is available on a publicly accessible website that is updated on a monthly basis at minimum. (MoA p. 34)

#### Status

Progress with the Countywide Juvenile Justice Consortium (CJJC) continues to be made under the leadership of the Chairman Bishop Mays. The CJJC recently recruited some new members and they remain committed to working to improve the juvenile justice system.

Juvenile Court hired Leon Gray as their Community Outreach Coordinator. Mr. Gray has worked to help organize public meetings and is approaching his duties with enthusiasm. The Court has stepped up efforts at community outreach through hosting a variety of meetings discussing issues from DMC to parent empowerment. They continue to partner with JDAI efforts led by Kimbrell Owens.

Aimee Burgdorff has made great strides with the webpage and dashboard.

#### Comments

As is apparent above, good progress is being made in the area of Community Outreach.

# **Community Outreach**

- F. Within one year of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall conduct, or retain an individual or entity approved by the DOJ with expertise in social science research and statistics to conduct, a representative survey of members of the Shelby County community regarding their experiences with and perceptions of JCMSC. The community survey shall be conducted annually until the termination of this Agreement. The individual or entity conducting the annual community survey shall: (MoA p. 34)
  - 1. Develop a baseline of measures on public satisfaction with JCMSC, attitudes among court personnel, and the quality of encounters with the court by Children and their families;
  - 2. Conduct baseline surveys of County residents, JCMSC personnel, and Children appearing before JCMSC on delinquency matters, and follow-up surveys on at least an annual basis; and
  - 3. Ensure that the community surveys are designed to capture the opinions of community members in each demographic group and geographic region of Shelby County.

#### Status

Appendix 13 includes an email from Dr. Laura Harris that provides an update of the survey project.

### Comments

This survey has taken forever but Dr. Harris expressed hope they will be able to present preliminary findings in early April 2016.

# **Implementation and Monitoring**

- E. Settlement Agreement Coordinator. JCMSC or the County shall appoint an official or employee to serve as the Settlement Agreement Coordinator, whose duties shall include: (MoA p. 37)
  - 1. Developing reports regarding compliance with this Agreement and providing such reports to the United States, the Monitors, and the Facility Consultant every six months until this Agreement is terminated. The first report shall be provided four months after the Effective Date.
  - 2. Providing to the United States, the Monitors, and the Facility Consultant the raw data upon which each compliance report is based upon request and any reports prepared by JCMSC's technical consultants regarding compliance with this Agreement, and any other reports routinely submitted to the Settlement Agreement Coordinator regarding compliance with this Agreement.

This item is complete. Bill Powell was appointed as Settlement Agreement Coordinator by Judge Person and Mayor Luttrell.

#### Comments

Documentation of this appointment was included in the Compliance Report dated April 2, 2013.

## **General Provisions**

### A. Policies and Procedures

- 1. Policy and Procedure Review. All existing Policies and Procedures shall be reviewed and/or revised to ensure compliance with the substantive terms of this Agreement. Where JCMSC does not have a policy in place to comply with a substantive term, JCMSC shall generate such policy. The initial policy and procedures review shall be initiated by JCMSC officials and shall be subject to review by the United States and the Monitor. (MoA p. 39)
- 2. Schedule for Policy and Procedure Review. Unless otherwise stated in Section III of this Agreement, JCMSC shall complete its policy review and revision within six months of the Effective Date. To accomplish this goal, JCMSC shall adhere to the Agreement regarding each substantive provision. After JCMSC completes its initial revision, JCMSC shall immediately submit the revised policies to the Monitor for review and approval. The Monitor shall, as soon as practicable but in no event more than sixty (60) days submit to JCMSC any suggested revisions to the proposed policies. Within thirty (30) days after receiving the Monitor's revisions, JCMSC shall revise the policies to incorporate the Monitor's revisions and shall resubmit the procedures to the Monitor for review and approval. The Monitor, or Facility Consultant, shall submit to JCMSC any suggested revisions to the proposed policies and procedures within thirty (30) days. This review process shall continue until the Monitor, or Facility Consultant, has approved of all policies and procedures.

JCMSC shall provide all such documents to the United States for its review within thirty (30) days of the review and approval by the Monitors. Within forty-five (45) days of its receipt of the policies, procedures, and other written documents, the United States shall provide either written approval of each document, or written concerns or objections it has to the documents that include proposed revisions. Such approval shall not be unreasonably withheld. In the event that the United States asserts that policies, procedures, or other written documents are not in compliance with the terms of this Agreement, the Parties will confer on the matter for up to thirty (30) days. (MoA p. 39)

3. The final policies and procedures shall be subject to further revision if, after review of the Internal Oversight documents, the Monitors or Facility Consultant, the United States, or JCMSC determines that the policies or procedures are not successfully solving the deficiency identified in the Report of Findings. Suggested changes made by JCMSC shall be reviewed and approved by the Monitors or Facility Consultant and the United States. (MoA p.40)

4. Policy Implementation. No later than three months after the Monitor's final approval of each policy or procedure, JCMSC shall formally adopt and begin implementing the policies and modify all orders, job descriptions, training materials, and performance evaluation instruments in a manner consistent with the revised policies and procedures. Following adoption and implementation, JCMSC shall annually review each policy and procedure and revise as necessary. Any revisions to the policies and procedures shall be submitted to the Monitor for review and approval. Unless otherwise stated, all policies and procedures shall be implemented within one year of the Effective Date. (MoA p.40)

### Status

This was done and policies submitted to DoJ previously.

### **General Provisions**

### B. Reporting Requirements

1. Comprehensive Action Plan. Within four months of the Effective Date, JCMSC shall submit to the United States a comprehensive action plan specifying the measures it intends to take in order to bring JCMSC into compliance with the substantive requirements of the Agreement, including anticipated timeframes for completion of each measure. (MoA p. 40)

#### Status

This plan was submitted April 12, 2013 and was included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Compliance Plan submitted September 23, 2013.

### **General Provisions**

#### B. Reporting Requirements

2. Compliance Report. JCMSC shall submit a bi-annual compliance report to the United States, the first of which shall be filed within six months of the Effective Date. Thereafter, the bi-annual reports shall be filed 30 days prior to the Monitors' and Facility Consultant's bi-annual compliance tour until the Agreement is terminated. Each bi-annual compliance report submitted by JCMSC shall describe the actions JCMSC has taken during the reporting period to implement this Agreement and shall make specific reference to the Agreement provisions being implemented. To the extent any provision of this Agreement is not being implemented, the compliance report shall also describe what actions, including any additional revisions to policies, procedures and practices, JCMSC will take to ensure implementation, and the date(s) by which those actions will be taken. (MoA p. 41)

#### Status

This report constitutes the 9th of the semi-annual Compliance Reports.

# **General Provisions**

### B. Reporting Requirements

3. Records. JCMSC shall maintain sufficient records to document that the requirements of this Agreement are being properly implemented and shall make such records available to the United States at all reasonable times for inspection and copying. These records shall be maintained for three years. In addition, JCMSC shall maintain and submit upon request records or other documents to verify that it has taken such actions as described in the compliance reports (e.g., census summaries, policies, procedures, protocols, training materials and incident reports) and shall also provide all additional documents reasonably requested. (MoA p. 41)

### **Status**

Records are being maintained.