

Annual Report

JUVENILE COURT OF MEMPHIS AND SHELBY COUNTY

616 Adams Avenue



Memphis, Tennessee 38105

2012

Curtis S. Person, *Judge*



Herbert J. Lane, *Chief Legal Officer*

Dan H. Michael, *Chief Magistrate*

Felicia M. Hogan, *Juvenile Magistrate*

Sheldon Y. McCall, *Juvenile Magistrate*

Harold W. Horne, *Juvenile Magistrate*

David S. Walker, *Juvenile Magistrate*

David Ferguson, *Juvenile Magistrate*

Lyttonya Cunningham, *Child Support Magistrate*

Joseph G. Little, *Child Support Magistrate*

Nancy Kessler, *Child Support Magistrate*

Debra Sanders, *Child Support Magistrate*

Larry K. Scroggs, *Chief Administrative Officer & Chief Counsel*

Jerry W. Maness, *Director*
Court Services

Dini Malone, *Director*
Administrative Services


A Message From The Judge

It is a privilege to serve as the elected judge of a Juvenile Court known throughout the nation for its innovative efforts, and it is especially rewarding to work each day with individuals fully committed to the best interests of the children and families they serve. This annual report of the activities of the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County for calendar year 2012 is my **seventh** as presiding judge. It provides a brief overview of the tireless work of hundreds of dedicated employees on behalf of children and families in Shelby County.

For more than a century, Juvenile Court has engaged in a vigorous quest for excellence by constantly searching for ways to improve services while building upon research and evidence-based practices. Many juvenile justice initiatives undertaken at this Court have been adopted as models for other programs in Tennessee and across the nation. The process of developing new initiatives continues. Several significant events occurred in 2012.

In January the Annie E. Casey Foundation officially designated Memphis and Shelby County a Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Site. The site designation resulted from more than three years of effort by the Court to reduce the number of juveniles detained by pursuing JDAI principles. JDAI is now in more than 200 counties across 39 states and the District of Columbia. The Court's partnership with the Memphis City Schools in the Schoolhouse Adjustment Program Enterprise (SHAPE) and the Juvenile Summons Project begun with local law enforcement in 2010 were essential to the reduction efforts.

On January 23, 2012, the Court was rewarded by the American Correctional Association (ACA) for its three year pursuit of accreditation for the pre-adjudicatory detention center. The accomplishments included 100 percent compliance with mandatory standards and a 95.6 percent compliance with non-mandatory standards.



The Honorable Curtis S. Person
Judge

Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County

The Court was recognized by *The Commercial Appeal* on January 30, 2012 for garnering a rare “triple crown” of distinctive achievements for its successful initiatives with the ACA, JDAI and the National Council of Juvenile and Family Judges (NCJFCJ). The Court was selected by NCJFCJ in July, 2011 as one of only 12 juvenile courts in the nation to participate in the **Juvenile Justice Model Court Program**. The program provides technical assistance and emphasizes best practices to develop and improve policies and procedures for handling juvenile delinquency cases.

Paradoxically, on April 26, 2012 the U. S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division (DOJ) released a Report of Findings based on a two and one half year investigation of the Court that began August 11, 2009. The report focused on due process, equal protection and facility safety concerns relative to delinquency matters. At a press conference on April 26, 2012 DOJ announced it was the first time it had undertaken a comprehensive investigation of a juvenile court. DOJ also stated its goal was to make the Court the *model* for juvenile justice in the United States. The very next day, April 27, 2012, Court staff began addressing recommended remedial measures and continued to work diligently throughout 2012 to plan and develop solutions to address each of the three focus areas.

From the beginning of the DOJ investigation the Court cooperated fully. Staff provided more than 4,000 pages of documents, made available the Court's entire case management data system, and worked

closely with the DOJ team and its consultants on three separate site visits to manage logistics and arrange for interviews with Court personnel as well as observations of delinquency hearings.

In acknowledgement of the Court's extensive cooperation and willingness to pursue reform recommendations, DOJ negotiated a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the Court and Shelby County Government. According to DOJ it was the first time such an agreement had been negotiated without litigation ever having been filed. The MOA was executed on December 17, 2012. The MOA will serve to guide the Court toward full compliance with the reform measures agreed upon by the parties, subject to available funding from Shelby County Government.

The statutorily-based **Youth Court** program launched in February, 2011 with student teams from four Memphis City Schools, expanded to nine high schools for the school year beginning in August 2012. The program now has four City Schools, four County Schools, and one Charter School. Youth court is a juvenile delinquency diversion and peer-justice program dedicated to rehabilitation of first-time nonviolent offenders. The program holds the youthful offenders accountable for their behaviors and educates them about citizenship. Local attorneys and law students from the University of Memphis guide the student team members in their roles as prosecutors, defense counsel, jurors and court officers. Seventy three hearings were conducted in 2012, involving 124 students and 47 volunteer attorneys.

Hope Academy began its first full academic year in August 2010. In February 2010, the Court partnered with Memphis City Schools to establish a structured education program for detained youth, known as "Hope Academy". The program provides six hours of classroom instruction in English, math, science, social studies and guidance/life skills. It includes a computer lab and the necessary software to connect detained youth to their regular classroom curriculum. A recreational

director plans and implements a program to provide an hour of physical education and training each day. This program has proven to be of great benefit to the youth it serves. It has significantly reduced recidivism among those who have attended Hope Academy. An education mentor project, labeled Hope Academy Mentor Program (HAMP) was begun in 2012, with the objective of following up on a youth's academic progress once he or she returns to a regular school environment.

The Court maintained two special grant agreements with the Tennessee Department of Human Services that provide funding for four child support magistrates, six principal court clerks and two management/supervisory personnel. The grants also support the Court's Office of Advocate for Noncustodial Parents and provide for design and development of new computer software programs. The technological assistance reinforced the Court's initiative to provide for electronic filing of orders and petitions on child support cases. E-filing of IV-D child support petitions became operational October 5, 2011.

The **Office of Advocate for Noncustodial Parents**, established in 2006, continued to function effectively in 2012. Its two attorney staff daily assists pro se or self-represented litigants by explaining Court procedures and by providing them with general guidance through the different offices involved with their cases. The Office of Advocate has served more than 20,000 people and has been studied as a model for establishing such programs in other Tennessee counties.

On September 28, the Court's **102nd** anniversary celebration featured an all day Continuing Legal Education program conducted by the Tennessee Administrative Office of the Courts and facilitated in partnership with Victorian Village Inc.


Since the children and families who come to Juvenile Court are either troubled or in trouble, the Court must always be open and accessible. Everyone who seeks help will be treated fairly and with dignity and respect.

This report is available in electronic format, accessible via the Court's website:

www.juvenilecourt.shelbycountyttn.gov

Judge Curtis S. Person

JUVENILE COURT OF MEMPHIS AND SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



May 3, 2013

Table of Contents

A Message From The Judge.....	2
Organizational Chart.....	4
Statistical Summary	6
Court Clerk.....	7
Legal Department.....	9
Court Services Division	11
Children's Bureau	
Youth Services Bureau	
Detention Services Bureau	
General Social Data	
Dispositional Data	
Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement	
Office of Clinical Services.....	10
Administrative Services Division	49
Fiscal and Support Services Bureau	
Finance and Purchasing Services Bureau	
Personnel Services Bureau	
Volunteer Services Bureau	
Interagency Services	53
Index.....	55

STATISTICAL SUMMARY 2012

The following table is a brief statistical summary that reveals to some extent the Court's progress and the effectiveness and efficiency of its services during the past five years.

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Staff					
Budget - Fiscal Year 2011-2012	509 \$29,311,765	251 \$17,356,330	256 \$17,612,084	254 \$17,408,524	254 \$17,838,008
Children's Cases					
Other - Custody, Etc.	656	573	673	1,079	1,210
Dependent and Neglected	3,733	3,903	3,672	3,383	3,470
Unruly and Runaway	1,486	1,788	2,005	1,906	1,564
Delinquent	12,244	11,519	11,641	10,733	8,995
Traffic (Summoned/Taken into custody)	76	91	59	91	81
Total Children's Cases	18,195	17,874	18,050	17,192	15,320
Traffic Citations	4,498	4,404	3,838	3,114	3,285
Children Admitted to Detention Center					
Dependent and Neglected	0	2	1	3	0
Unruly and Runaway	197	203	57	12	10
Delinquent	7,899	7,116	6,075	5,132	3,844
Traffic	0	9	1	0	1
Transient (Previously included in Unruly.)	14	21	14	18	16
Special (Previously included in Delinquent.)	238	181	90	84	78
Federal (Previously included in Delinquent.)	0	0	0	0	0
Total Children Admitted	8,348	7,532	6,238	5,249	3,949
Children Committed to DCS Corrective	259	367	283	271	295
Children Committed to DCS Protective	703	913	859	897	816
Children Committed to YSB	791	669	579	576	624
Children Transferred as Adults	225	194	151	121	99
Support Petitions/Complaints Filed**	7,726	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Paternity Petitions Filed**	2,461	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Modifications**	1,468	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Interstate Support -UIFSA - Initiating - New Petitions**					
Active Cases**	678	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Interstate Support - UIFSA - Responding - New Petitions**					
Active Cases**	4,624	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	372	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6,342	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Contempt of Court Citations**	6,728	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Children Legitimated*	4,723	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Support Collections*	\$118,557,490.90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Child Support cases heard	NA	19,384	23,958	33,175	31,774
All others (Childrens cases heard)	NA	14,051	14,700	15,189	15,604

* This figure was provided by Tennessee Child Support Enforcement System (TCSES).

** Our Title IV-D child support contract ended on June 30, 2009

Court Clerk's Office

Joy Touliatos

Juvenile Court Clerk

Harvey Henderson, *Chief Administrative Officer*

Teresa Garvins, *Administrator*

Bill Pope, *Accounting*

Gail Poindexter, *Administrative Assistant*

Pat Sanford, *Central Records Department*

Kathy Adams, *Collections Department*

Karen Brooks, *Minute Department*

Steve Anderson, *Chief Bailiff*

Adreine Williams, *Court Costs*

Calvin Walk, *Process/ Parent Location*

Faye Pritchard, *Special Projects Coordinator*



Joy Touliatos

Clerk of Court

The goal of the Clerk's Office in 2012 was to continue to function efficiently and effectively, to respond to the needs of the families of our community, and to generate revenue through collection of court ordered fines and fees, grant contracts and state reimbursement to offset the cost of court operation.

In 2009, the Child Support Services Division at Juvenile Court was not awarded the state contract for the first time since 1976. The state contract for child support services for Shelby County went to Maximus, a private agency, beginning in July 2009. Unlike in previous years, the IV-D Child Support Agency is no longer located in the Juvenile Court building but at an off-site location. This transition in child support services has impacted the Clerk's Office operation in all areas from processing petitions filed for establishment, enforcement, genetic testing and all other related child support issues as well as "Clerk Services" reimbursement from the State. The Clerk's Office staff continues to work closely with Maximus to help meet and process the workload efficiently through this transition period.

In 2012, the Clerk's Office secured a grant contract with the State of Tennessee for a seventh consecutive year in the amount of \$959,939.40 for "service of process" in all Title IV-D child support cases. This grant shifts 66% of the total cost of funding fifteen full time positions to the State leaving the Clerk's Office budget responsible for the remaining 34%.

The Juvenile Court Clerk's Office and staff will continue to serve the public to the best of our ability with proven effective leadership, accountability and integrity.

Collections Department

Kathy Adams, Manager

The Collections Department within the Court Clerk's Office is responsible for the collection and processing of all monies assessed and paid through the Juvenile Court system. This includes child support payments by mail or in person, employer payrolls, all cash window activity generated from courtroom dockets, walk-ins, as well as fees and fines assessed non-judicially such as conferences, court costs, and traffic ticket violations. This area of the Clerk's Office also handles the writing of all appearance bonds, juvenile or adult, cash or surety, and collection and disbursement of court-ordered restitution payments.

Beginning in October 1999, most child support collections were re-directed to the "centralized collections" process through the State of Tennessee in Nashville. However, the Court Clerk's Office still processed \$4,446,221.14 in child support transactions in 2012. The Clerk's Office also received in 2012 a total of \$783,652.11 in state reimbursements for "Clerk Services" associated with these collections/transactions and filings under the IV-D Child Support Program.

Accounting Department

Bill Pope, *Manager*
Bernard Harty, *Accountant*

This department is responsible for accounting and internally auditing the bookkeeping procedures of the Collections Department on a daily and monthly basis. At the end of each month, collected revenue that has been deposited daily through the Shelby County Trustee's Office is remitted to the County Department of Finance to be deposited into the County general fund, and all account balances are reconciled to their respective bank statements. At the end of each fiscal year, reports are prepared for the state-mandated external audit by an independent accounting/auditing firm. In addition to these routine banking responsibilities, the Accounting Department along with the Clerk has the fiduciary responsibility of managing approximately 789 victims' compensation accounts. These funds, issued by the state Treasury Department under the Criminal Injuries Compensation Program, are put into interest-bearing trust accounts for minors, and currently total almost \$4,666,274.83 million.

Minute Department

Karen Brooks, *Manager*
LaDonna Graham, *Supervisor*

The minutes of the Juvenile Court constitute the official record of the Court. There are twelve Minute Clerks, who are responsible for recording all the Court's proceedings and decisions and for preparing Court orders and entering those orders in the minutes.

Minute Clerks attend sixty-five scheduled dockets weekly, in addition to special dockets, special hearings, and Foster Care Review Board. In 2012, there were approximately 92,583 pages of minutes written representing over 48,817 individual Juvenile Court orders.

Cost Clerk's Department

Adreine Williams, *Supervisor*

As provided by law, court costs are assessed in all cases before the Court, and the Cost Clerk's Department is responsible for maintenance and collection of these fees. In 2012, collections totaled \$474,882.75.

Central Records Department

Pat Sanford, *Deputy Administrator*
Julia Wiseman, *Supervisor*

Central Records Department personnel are responsible for building, housing and securely maintaining all Juvenile Court records. Currently there are over 1,330,533 records housed in the Clerk's Office. In 2012, there were 9,340 legal records created and 2,550 social records created. This department also serves to provide assistance and information to the general public, court personnel, social service agencies, attorneys and law enforcement agencies by providing accurate and appropriate information required in the processing and handling of cases through the Juvenile Court.

Bailiff's Department

Steven Anderson, *Chief Bailiff*
William Kendrick, *Supervisor*

Juvenile Court Bailiffs are responsible for security within the sight and sound of the Court while maintaining proper courtroom decorum. They are also responsible for the safe and secure transport of juveniles and adults to various correctional and detention facilities. Bailiffs work with the certification of Special Deputy status issued by the Sheriff of Shelby County and respond to all emergencies that arise in the vicinity of Juvenile Court.

Process/Parent Location

Calvin Walk, *Manager*
Lori Reece, *Supervisor Parent Location*

The process Department is responsible for the service of all legal documents that require appearance in court. In 2012, 14,391 summons, subpoenas, and scire facias were successfully served. Except in rare and extreme cases, all documents are received ready for service by the Process Department within a minimum of five days prior to a scheduled court date.

The Parent Location Department is responsible for preparing jail arrest papers for the court dockets. In addition, direct access to the Sheriff's Department computer system known as the Jail Management Systems (JMS) allows staff to enter and update current status of all juvenile and adult warrants in a timely manner.

Staff in Parent Location funded under the IV-D State Contract is responsible for all tasks specific to the grant. First and foremost, this staff must enter time-sensitive data daily into the Tennessee Child Support Enforcement System relative to the status of service on all IV-D Child Support Cases.

Juvenile Court Legal Department

Larry Scroggs, *Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Counsel*

The Office of the Chief Counsel provides legal counsel to Court staff in pursuit of their administrative responsibilities. In addition, the Chief Counsel serves as legal liaison between Juvenile Court and the Office of the Shelby County Attorney. The Chief Counsel also serves as legal liaison between Juvenile Court and the state and federal government and legislative liaison between the Court and the General Assembly of the State of Tennessee. His office ensures compliance with and implementation of extensive federal and state regulations and legislation relating to the operation of Juvenile Court.

Advocate for Noncustodial Parents

Thomas W. Coupe', *Supervising Attorney*

Marilyn Jones, *Attorney*

Laurie Neale, *Attorney*

Catherine Lewis, *Administrative Technician*

People representing themselves in court often need help. Judge Curtis S. Person recognized this need, and in 2006, the Office of the Advocate for Noncustodial Parents was established. Attorneys provide assistance to pro se litigants in understanding their rights and responsibilities under the law, and guidance in the use of the proper legal forms. Help is available with issues such as child support, paternity, custody, and visitation. This innovative office reflects Judge Person's commitment that Juvenile Court be open and accessible to the large number of persons who are self-represented. With 3,680 contacts in 2012, this office provided services to numerous parents and family members.

Judge's Action Center

Thomas W. Coupé, *Supervising Attorney*

Yolanda Joshua, *Administrative Technician*

Judge Curtis S. Person created the Judge's Action Center in 2008 to serve as a liaison between Juvenile Court and the public to help answer questions or address concerns for people who have issues involving Juvenile Court or child welfare matters.

The Action Center provides helpful and timely answers for those who have questions concerning Juvenile Court, assists people with problems that have previously gone unresolved and receives suggestions on how to improve court operations.

Office of the Guardian ad litem

Belynda Dwyer, *Attorney Coordinator*

Sarah Kyle Gilmer, *Coordinator of Attorney Services*

The Office of the Guardian ad litem is charged with assuring competent legal representation for children and parents in require Juvenile Court cases in compliance with Federal and State laws and Juvenile Court policy and procedure. The Office is also charged with serving as a liaison between Guardians ad litem, appointed counsel, private attorneys, the Tennessee Department of Children's Services, departments within Juvenile Court and other stake holders to promote the best practices and policies in children's cases.

The Attorney Coordinator facilitates the appointment of a Guardian ad litem, an appropriately trained and licensed lawyer, to advocate for the best interests of a child in any proceeding in which:

- the child has no parent, guardian or custodian appearing on the child's behalf
- the child's interest may conflict with those of parent, guardian. or custodian
- the child is alleged to be abused
- the allegation of harm falls within the mandatory child abuse reporting laws; or
- the proceeding is a contested termination of parental rights case

The Attorney Coordinator also facilitates the appointment of counsel for qualified indigent parents in dependency and neglect and termination of parental rights cases. In 2012, approximately 1,652 Guardians ad litem and 1,283 parents' counsel were appointed in children's cases.

Juvenile Defender's Office
Marilyn Sellers-Hobbs, *Chief Juvenile*
Defender

The Juvenile Defender's Office is managed by a Coordinator who supervises a staff of fifty-two private attorneys known as the Juvenile Defender Panel and one investigator. All attorneys are licensed and practice in the State of Tennessee with juvenile and criminal defense experience ranging from eleven to forty-five years. The Defense Panel defends juveniles charged with offenses ranging from truancy to capital murder.

The Defender's Office is appointed to provide legal defense to all indigent juveniles within twenty-four hours of their detention in delinquency matters, (offenses classified as felony and misdemeanor, unruly, paternity and truancy). In felony classified cases, (aggravated robbery, robbery, aggravated kidnapping, kidnapping, aggravated assault, rape and Murder I and II) if and when thirteen to eighteen year olds are transferred to the adult Criminal Justice Complex, the Defender's Office works directly with the Shelby County Public Defender's Office and the Post Conviction Office to guard the legal rights of the juvenile(s). All cases classified as misdemeanor, unruly, paternity and truancy are heard in Juvenile Court and rehabilitative services are provided within the juvenile system.

The Defender's Office also works with the families, clergy, support organizations, schools and mental health facilities to protect the juvenile's interest and litigate for placement in the appropriate program for rehabilitation.

Defenders are also appointed to represent indigent adults, (both incarcerated and walk-ins), charged with contempt of court for either failure to pay child support or failure to comply with Parental Visitation Orders.

From January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, the Court rendered dispositions on 2,290 delinquency cases. The Juvenile Defender's Panel represented 2,115 or 92.36% of the cases assigned on the delinquency docket. Private attorneys represented 175 or 7.64% of the cases. 1,488 of assigned cases would be considered felonies and 802 assigned cases would be considered misdemeanors if classified as adult offenses. Approximately 16,000 adult defendants cited for failure to comply with orders of child support docketed in contempt proceedings also utilized services of the Defender's Panel to represent their interest.

Office of Clinical Services
Sidney Ornduff, *Ph. D.*

The Office of Clinical Services is responsible for conducting mental health and cognitive screenings, comprehensive psychological and specialized evaluations, and risk/needs assessments of Court-involved youth; preparing memoranda and psychological reports to assist with post-adjudicatory disposition of Court-involved youth; initiating referrals to crisis intervention teams for evaluation of detainees who may be at risk of injury to self or others; providing clinical follow-up of detained youth who have been evaluated by the contract crisis assessment team; providing mental health evaluation and follow-up of distressed youth referred by Central Detention Area staff; initiating referrals to the Tennessee Department of Children's Services (DCS) for alleged child maltreatment; preparation of transfer packets for youth waived to the criminal justice system; training of Court staff; and providing other services as requested by the Court.

The Office of Clinical Services maintains a formal partnership with the University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC) through the University of Tennessee Professional Psychology Internship Consortium (UTPPIC). The training opportunities provided to future psychologists are consistently rated among the best of the clinical rotations available to pre-doctoral interns.

The primary objective of the Office of Clinical Services is to assist the Court with post-adjudicatory disposition based on identified mental health needs, consistent with the philosophy of the juvenile justice system.

Service Level Measurements	FY12 Actual
Contracted Psychological Screenings	312
OCS mental health screenings	555
OCS psychological and specialized evaluations	399
Detention Center/Crisis Center referrals	17
Central Detention Area referrals	45
Total Referrals to Office of Clinical Services	1,328

Court Services Division

Jerry W. Maness, *Director*

The Court Services Division includes the Children's Bureau, the Youth Services Bureau, the Detention Services Bureau and the Evaluation and Referral Bureau. It provides pre-adjudicatory and post-adjudicatory services to children brought to the attention of Juvenile Court. The Court Services Division is mandated by Tennessee Code Annotated, Title 37 to meet the following statutory requirements:

- provide for the care, protection, and wholesome development of children coming within its provisions
- remove from children committing delinquent acts the taint of criminality and the consequences of criminal behavior and substitute a program of treatment, training and rehabilitation
- avoid whenever possible separating children from their parents only when necessary to protect the child's welfare or in the interest of public safety
- assure that all parties are assured a fair hearing and their constitutional and other legal rights are recognized and enforced;
- deinstitutionalize children who have not been found to be delinquent

In 2012, 15,320 children were brought to the attention of Juvenile Court on matters relating to delinquency, unruly behavior, and dependency and neglect. This is a decrease of 10.89% from 2011. An additional 3,285 children were issued traffic citations representing a 5.9% decrease over the previous year. While the number of referrals has dropped significantly over the past six years, the Court continues to see more children diagnosed with serious mental health and physical issues that contribute to the difficulty of finding appropriate treatment and placement resources. The Clinical Services Bureau provides valuable insight into the needs of children with mental health problems. The Evaluation and Referral Bureau is responsible for finding the appropriate mental health services ordered by the Court. They are also responsible for monitoring the progress of the treatment to ensure compliance of court orders.

The Detention Services Bureau admitted 3,949 children to the Detention Center in 2012. This represents a 24.77 % decrease from the previous year and is the seventh year to show a decrease in the number of admissions to the Detention Center. Implementation of the Detention Assessment Tool (DAT) has assisted the Court in detaining only those children who may be

in danger of harming themselves or a danger to the community. Over the past three years the Court has worked with the Memphis City Schools in the School House Adjustment Program Enterprise (SHAPE) to help reduce the number of children taken into custody from 21 targeted city schools. Data indicates that the SHAPE Program has helped reduce the number of children arrested at the targeted schools by more than 52% over the last four school years. The Juvenile Court has partnered with the Memphis Police Department and the Shelby County Sheriff's Department to implement the Juvenile Summons Program. This program requests that law enforcement officers issue juvenile summonses in lieu of physical arrest on seven designated offences. Since the implementation of the Juvenile Summons Program, summons represent 73% of children referred to Juvenile Court while physical arrests have dropped to 27%

These efforts to reduce the number of physical arrests have resulted in the Juvenile Court being designated by the Annie E. Casey Foundation as a Juvenile Detention alternatives (JDAI) site. While the Detention Services Bureau works to reduce the number of children detained, public safety and the safety of the children are always paramount. Every effort is made to place children in a safe and secure environment pending the outcome of their case.

The Children's Bureau was responsible for resolving 18,605 children's cases presented to the Court in 2012. That represents a 9.0% decrease from the previous year's 20,306 children's cases. The Children's Bureau consists of the Corrective Services Department which handles cases of children charged with delinquent and traffic charges. The Protective Services Department handles children who are alleged to be dependent and neglected as well as custody and visitation matters. The probation counselors in the Children's Bureau work closely with the Tennessee Department of Children's Services, the Tennessee Department of Human Services and many local private agencies to ensure that the safest and least restrictive placements are made for all children. Counselors assigned to the Non-Custodial Diversion Section work with unruly children who have not yet been officially referred to the Court. Referrals to local child-serving agencies assist parents with counseling and behavior management programs.

The Youth Services Bureau (YSB) provides a post-adjudicatory continuum of graduated sanctions for children and allows them to remain in their homes under

close supervision. During 2012, the Youth Services Bureau received 624 referrals, placing children under supervision in several different programs: Case Management, Intensive Case Management, Drug Offenders Control System (DOCS), and electronic monitoring to include voice recognition, radio frequency and Global Positioning Satellites (GPS). In 2011, the Youth Services Bureau partnered with the Memphis Police Department who provided the Court with 200 GPS monitoring units that are used to precisely track the movements of children under YSB supervision. Case Management and Intensive Case management are used for children needing a higher level of supervision. Case managers visit the children in their homes and schools to ensure that they are in compliance with the orders of the Court. The DOCS Program is designed to monitor children who have committed drug offenses or who are in need of drug education. Violators of any of these monitoring strategies are brought to the attention of the Juvenile Court Magistrates and may be subject to further sanctions for violations of the conditions of placement.

The Evaluation and Referral Bureau (E&R) works in close collaboration with other bureaus at Juvenile Court to meet the ever-growing mental health needs of children who come to the attention of the Juvenile Court. In 2012, E&R served approximately 750 families and made over 1,000 referrals to community service providers. Once the needs are identified, E&R moves quickly to find resources appropriate for the child and family. Many local child serving agencies provide an array of treatment services from inpatient care to outpatient group and individual therapeutic counseling. Compliance and progress are monitored by the probation counselors assigned to E&R. Non-compliance with court ordered treatment may result in children and families being brought back to court.

The Evaluation and Referral Bureau

Davis Schuler, *Administrator*

Nancy Roll, *Manager*

“The overlap between the juvenile justice and mental health systems raises difficult questions surrounding service delivery to the children and youth straddling the two systems. One strategy for addressing these issues involves integration of and coordination between the mental health and juvenile justice systems.” (Foster, Qaseem, & Connor, 2004)”

Established in 1989, the Evaluation and Referral Section (E&R) was elevated to a Bureau in 2011. Working in close collaboration with other Bureaus of the Court, the E&R Probation Counselors share their broad knowledge of the range of services and specialized programs available in the community and throughout the region. Although a small Bureau, E&R is mandated with the daunting task of navigating through rough waters to seek treatment and rehabilitation of court involved youth. E&R recognizes that many children who present to the Court do so for a number of reasons, including having unidentified and/or untreated mental health, substance abuse or other special needs. These unmet needs gravely affect decision making and behavior.

The E&R Bureau works closely with other areas of the Court to identify the needs specific to each family. Once these needs are identified, referrals are made to community service providers and compliance and progress in treatment are closely monitored. This year, E&R served approximately 750 families and made over 1,000 referrals to community service providers,

Ultimately, the goal of the Evaluation and Referral Bureau is to promote healthy development and resilience, reduce recidivism and assist youth in developing into productive members of society. Because each child is unique, and because multiple individuals, life circumstances and experiences affect development, the Evaluation and Referral Bureau takes a holistic, strengths-based approach when working with Court-involved youth and families.

Children's Bureau

Barry L. Mitchell, *Chief Probation Officer*

Mamie Jones, *Deputy Chief Probation Officer*

The Children's Bureau is responsible for handling a diverse caseload. The Corrective Services Department handles all delinquent matters while the Protective Services Department handles cases alleging dependency and neglect as well as visitation and custody issues. In 2012, the Children's Bureau handled 15,320 cases.

To handle such a diverse caseload, the Children's Bureau maintains a close working relationship with other departments of the Court. Additionally, the Children's Bureau works with law enforcement and child placement agencies outside the Court.

The Community Service Coordinator is responsible for developing resources for juveniles to perform volunteer work as ordered by the Court. This service allows juveniles to take responsibility for their actions and make restitution for their delinquent acts.

The Non-Custodial Diversion Section handles traffic, truancy, and unruly cases. Staff handles walk-in clients that are experiencing difficulty with the behavior of unruly children. Appropriate cases are referred to local agencies for counseling. During 2012, this section handled 523 unruly cases.

Corrective Services Department

Steven Allen, *Manager*

Donna Gray, *Supervisor*

Jacqueline Parson, *Supervisor*

Martha Rogers, *Supervisor*

Ruby Jones, *Supervisor*

The Corrective Services Department, consisting of the Probation Section and the Non-Custodial Diversion Section, handles a wide range of offenses committed by juveniles. Dispositions in these cases vary from forfeitures and community service to probation, placement in the Youth Services Bureau, commitment to the Tennessee Department of Children's Services or transfer to Criminal Court.

The Probation Section is responsible for the majority of pre-hearing investigations and casework in delinquency cases including juvenile summonses issued by law enforcement agencies throughout Shelby County. The Non-Custodial Diversion Section provides assistance with complaints of unruly children, processes truancy complaints, handles thousands of traffic violators each year, and coordinates

the Community Services Program Mediation and Restitution/Reconciliation Services (MARRS).

The Community Service Program is a symbolic restitution program for children who have committed various types of offenses. Delinquent youths are placed with public and private non-profit agencies that provide work assignments and supervision. There were 435 referrals to the program with 7,392 hours assigned in 2012.

Protective Services Department

Faye M. Howard, *Manager*

Robert Blancett, *Supervisor*

Erica Glynn, *Interim Supervisor*

The Protective Services Department consists of probation counselors that are responsible for handling all matters that involve child abuse, neglect, custody and visitation. Protective Services counselors provide assistance to individuals, and agencies, such as, the Tennessee Department of Children's Services and other licensed child placing agencies. This department also provides assistance to private attorneys, court appointed attorneys, law enforcement and school officials to bring about a favorable resolution for the children we serve.

In 2012, Protective Services provided assistance to 8,642 children and 5,983 clients. The services provided included making referrals to the Tennessee Department of Children's Services, filing various petitions, which included, petitions alleging dependency and neglect, custody, guardianship of the person, visitation petitions and marriage waivers.

The probation counselors ensure all cases are set on the docket in a timely manner to be heard by a Magistrate or Judge. The probation counselors are responsible for making referrals to the Office of Guardian ad litem.

The staff works closely with organizations such as the Child Protection Investigation Team (CPIT) housed at the Child Advocacy Center. This team is a coordinated interagency multidisciplinary response to prevent, detect, investigate, treat and prosecute child sexual abuse. Protective Services plays an important role in the Department of Justice's Defending Childhood Initiative, known locally as NOVA (Network Overcoming Violence and Abuse). This initiative addresses children's exposure to violence.

In the event that our department is unable to provide services to our clients, they are referred to an appropriate agency.

Youth Services Bureau

C. Wain Rubenstein, *Administrator*

Frances Gonzales, *Deputy Administrator*

When a youth is taken into custody for a delinquent offense in Shelby County they must answer to those charges in the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County. There are a variety of ways these cases are handled including having a court hearing. Prior to going to court the Youth Services Bureau reviews the case to see if it is appropriate for YSB, or should something else be recommended. A number of factors are taken into consideration when approving a case for YSB placement, one of which, does the youth have a home to reside in? All children placed in YSB reside in the home of their parent or guardian, and are monitored by YSB case managers.

The mission of the Youth Services Bureau is to develop, manage and supervise community-based programs for youth in Shelby County who require supervision by the Court. Using a sensible, not sanctimonious approach, the goals of the Youth Services Bureau are to correct, re-educate, redirect and habilitate unruly and delinquent youth who come before the Court.

YSB uses strength based individual placement plan and assessment chart, and Community Risk Assessment. This is developed through an interview with the parent/guardian and child, and a review of the youth's Juvenile Court file. After this information is assembled a "staffing" is held involving the bureau administrators, supervisor, the Placement Resource Officer, and case managers. A consensus is reached as to which program will best benefit the youth and family and the youth is placed on Case Management, Drug Offenders Control System (DOCS), or Intensive Case Management (ICM). Those that require it are monitored by electronic means such as voice verification, ankle bracelets with Radio Frequency (RF), or GPS tracking.

Youth who do not require an intense level of supervision are placed on Case Management. These youth are monitored using state of the art electronic technology such as those mentioned above. The various levels of monitoring are used as graduated sanctions for those youth in need of closer supervision. Additionally, these youth are required to be at home, except when they go to school, attend church, have a doctor's appointment, or other special occasions approved by their case manager.

Drug Offenders Control System (DOCS) is designed to monitor youth that have committed drug offenses or are in need of drug education treatment. Youth follow the same rules as Case Management and additionally must attend a community-based alcohol and drug program along with their parents. All children are randomly tested for drugs by urinalysis. After the youth have successfully completed their home confinement, drug education class and have clean drug screens they are discharged from the program.

Youth who require closer supervision are assigned to Intensive Case Management. A specific case manager, who makes home visits, school visits, and helps secure community resources that may assist the youth from having further contact with the Court, supervises these cases. The case manager may also use some form of electronic monitoring if they feel it is called for. Each case manager supervises a caseload of approximately 15 to 20 cases, which affords them the ability to interact with each client on a much close basis.

As previously mentioned the various forms of electronic monitoring are used as a graduated sanction. A youth may start out on voice verification, but later be moved to a higher level of electronic monitoring, such as RF or GPS, if this level of supervision proves to be ineffective.

Children consigned to the YSB in 2012 compared to the previous nine years:

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Total	910	961	970	931	985	791	669	579	576	624

Youth placed on voice verification are required to have a template of their voice made by reciting various words and phrases to the monitoring station. Once the youth returns home they will be called on a random bases several time per day, and must repeat various words and phrases as prompted by the monitoring station. If there is no answer, or the voice does not match the template, the monitoring station will report the violation to the case manager, who will take the appropriate action in dealing with the violation. When a youth is placed on RF they cannot leave their home without a signal being sent to the monitoring station, and likewise a signal is also sent upon their return. If the absence is unauthorized, once again the monitoring station will notify the case manager via the Internet. GPS monitoring is the highest level of electronic monitoring. These youth may be traced using the Internet, which will show their movement on a map, and each location the youth visits. By using GPS both inclusion zones may be established. This allows the case manager to determine if a youth has left a location they are required to be at, such as school, or they are in a location that is off limits to them.

The Youth Services Bureau continues it's collaborative efforts with community-based initiatives such as Juvenile Intervention and Faith Based Follow-up (JIFF) program, which provides counseling and mentoring services to youth in selected zip codes. Youth assigned to YSB requiring mental health and substance abuse services are referred to the Court's Evaluation and Referral Bureau, who will make a referral to a community-based treatment resource.

Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement

Debra Monroe-Lax, *Research Specialist, MPA*

In 2005, the Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement (OEPI) was established to augment the existing statistical data collection efforts of Juvenile Court. This office will gather and examine data relevant to the many duties and activities of the Court Services Division in order to assist in the continuous examination of systemic performance and to measure the effectiveness of:

- intervention initiatives of delinquent youth whose Court case proceedings result in adjudication or are handled non-judicially
- the level of monitoring and supervision according to dispositions and referral sources
- the impact of interventions based on youth development, accountability, and public safety

Ongoing trend analysis will assist the Court in planning for the timely and appropriate allocation of resources to manage the changing needs of an unpredictable caseload. Informative internal evaluations serve to provide objective and quantifiable data to promote, support, and guide development and sustainability of initiatives offered by the Detention Services Bureau, Children's Bureau, Youth Services Bureau, and the Evaluation and Referral Bureau, and Volunteer Services Bureau.



Detention Services Bureau

Rick Powell, *Administrator*

Gary Cummings, *Deputy Administrator*

Robert Stanley, *Manager*

Deborah Bennett, *Contracts and Compliance Manager*

Detention Services Bureau (DSB) is designed for those juveniles who are a threat to themselves, public safety, or who are a flight risk. DSB is a 135 bed single occupancy secure Detention Center. Children are usually held for a short period of time awaiting court hearings or further placement decisions. While housed at the Detention Center, supervised juveniles are provided education, recreation, health assessments, counseling, and other intervention services. The Juvenile Court, in collaboration with Memphis City Schools, provides an education program for all detained juveniles in the Detention Center. Highly trained staff provide for the care, safety, welfare, and security of every child in the custody of the Court. It is the expectation that DSB ensures that every child is protected from harm and self incrimination by ensuring Due Process.

The Detention Center is comprised of three units: Boys' Detention, Girls' Detention, and Central Detention Control. DSB is a twenty-four hour, seven day a week, 365 days a year operation. DSB necessitates a staffing requirement ratio of 1 to 8 during awaking hours, and 1 to 12 during sleeping hours.

The Detention Center has partnered with the Annie E. Casey foundation to work towards developing alternatives to detention, this reducing the number of children from being introduced to the Juvenile Justice System. All children admitted to the Detention Center are informed of their rights and their charge(s). Children who are admitted to the Detention Center are appointed counsel by request.

In 2012, the rate of Detention has fallen to its lowest national level in 35 years, with Tennessee leading the nation. In the past ten years, Juvenile Court has shown a reduction in the number of children admitted to the Detention Center from 13,284 in 2004 to 3,949 in 2012.

The Detention Services Bureau will continue to strive to work towards improving its efforts in the reduction of children being introduced into the Juvenile Justice System, by adhering to national and federal best practices used throughout the United States.

Hope Academy

Michael Smith, *Program Manager*


Hope Academy is a partnership with Shelby County Juvenile Court, and Memphis City Schools that provides a quality education to address the academic and social needs of students detained at Juvenile Court. All educational programs are staffed by certificated Memphis City School staff in the following subjects: Science, Social Studies, Math, and English. The outcome of HOPE Academy will focus on academic success; drop out prevention, recovery of out-of-school youth, increased graduation rates, educational, emotional and counseling services and diversion from criminal justice system. HOPE Academy provides instruction five days a week 12 months a year.

Detention Center

Juveniles Detained By Type

TEN-YEAR COMPARISON

Juveniles Detained by Type 2012



Average Number of Days in Detention Center


Delinquent	4.37
Special	3.16
Transient	2.25
Unruly	0.67

Total Average Days for All Categories 4.33

Institutional and Agency Placements


Delinquency Placements

TEN-YEAR COMPARISON




Dependency and Neglect Placements

TEN-YEAR COMPARISON




Traffic Violators 2012


Traffic Violators by Age 2012



Traffic Violators by Race 2012



Traffic Violators by Sex 2012



Traffic Citations

	Citations Received	Amount of Forfeitures	Citations Closed	Citations Open	Total Required Conferences	Conferences Held	Handled Non- Judicially	Handled Judicially
Totals	3,285	\$122,217.50	3,144	141	1,068	1,050	3,285	0

The Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County has waived jurisdiction in all cases in which a child sixteen (16) years of age or over is charged by officers of the Shelby County municipalities of , Collierville, Germantown, and Millington with violation of a state traffic law or a municipal traffic ordinance, except when a child is taken into custody in connection with the offense, in which case the child must be brought to the Juvenile Court Detention Center. Juvenile Court expressly retains jurisdiction over all juveniles charged with traffic law violations by other law enforcement agencies within Shelby County.

Children's Bureau

Juvenile Complaints By Month


Complaint Level

	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		
Month	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
January	607	241	85	41	142	151	57	54	1,378
February	578	263	99	53	148	132	61	49	1,383
March	629	278	110	34	125	140	65	50	1,431
April	576	272	95	38	127	123	37	48	1,316
May	563	273	155	67	150	173	61	76	1,518
June	492	212	100	60	139	181	51	40	1,275
July	386	178	70	39	150	173	46	47	1,089
August	452	188	66	41	203	183	53	50	1,236
September	483	216	83	27	127	148	49	21	1,154
October	550	259	76	36	164	143	66	56	1,350
November	460	203	84	24	114	123	69	65	1,142
December	444	192	62	35	103	108	61	43	1,048
Total	6,220	2,775	1,085	495	1,692	1,778	676	599	15,320


	White		Black		Other		
Month	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
January	122	60	756	418	13	9	1,378
February	124	72	749	413	13	12	1,383
March	119	72	797	419	13	11	1,431
April	112	62	709	405	14	14	1,316
May	137	86	776	486	16	17	1,518
June	115	73	660	410	7	10	1,275
July	85	81	555	349	12	7	1,089
August	119	81	638	369	17	12	1,236
September	101	61	628	345	13	6	1,154
October	123	82	709	394	24	18	1,350
November	126	53	588	353	13	9	1,142
December	80	49	581	317	9	12	1,048
Total	1,363	832	8,146	4,678	164	137	15,320

Juvenile Complaints By Type

TEN-YEAR COMPARISON



Juvenile Complaints 2012



Other categories include Custody, School Admissions, Visitation and Traffic Charges that are not considered delinquent.

Children's Bureau

Juvenile Complaints By Age

Complaint Level

	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
00	0	0	0	0	152	147	47	40	386
01	0	0	0	0	99	107	47	39	292
02	0	0	0	0	114	108	50	48	320
03	0	0	0	0	100	113	36	47	296
04	0	0	0	0	120	96	40	35	291
05	2	0	2	0	93	99	54	32	282
06	13	5	6	7	110	101	43	43	328
07	21	2	7	2	76	81	31	44	264
08	24	7	3	4	68	104	36	29	275
09	44	6	4	7	71	83	20	22	257
10	85	13	4	6	85	76	28	21	318
11	126	52	13	7	74	91	24	29	416
12	252	146	26	16	85	93	37	27	682
13	424	223	64	45	73	87	28	33	977
14	788	430	108	61	89	89	30	31	1,626
15	1,182	639	221	94	97	125	36	32	2,426
16	1,519	616	310	142	108	99	48	28	2,870
17	1,733	634	313	102	78	79	40	19	2,998
18	7	2	4	2	0	0	1	0	16
Total	6,220	2,775	1,085	495	1,692	1,778	676	599	15,320

	White		Black		Other		
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
00	27	38	162	137	10	12	386
01	22	22	115	121	9	3	292
02	26	27	129	119	9	10	320
03	25	39	105	111	6	10	296
04	25	26	127	100	8	5	291
05	27	22	112	104	12	5	282
06	29	22	131	128	12	6	328
07	21	11	107	112	7	6	264
08	11	20	113	117	7	7	275
09	21	19	116	92	2	7	257
10	21	14	174	98	7	4	318
11	16	28	216	144	5	7	416
12	48	24	349	253	3	5	682
13	57	35	523	348	9	5	977
14	119	61	881	547	15	3	1,626
15	213	114	1,312	763	11	13	2,426
16	295	142	1,674	725	16	18	2,870
17	355	166	1,793	657	16	11	2,998
18	5	2	7	2	0	0	16
Total	1,363	832	8,146	4,678	164	137	15,320

Other categories include Custody, School Admissions, Visitation and Traffic Charges that are not considered delinquent.

Children's Bureau

Referral Reasons

Incident Level

	White		Black		Other		
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
CS/CUST./VISIT Allegations							
CUSTODY	49	37	247	185	12	12	542
VISITATION	33	39	156	179	9	10	426
Sub-Total	82	76	403	364	21	22	968
DELINQUENT Allegations							
911 CALLS IN NON-EMERGENCY SITUATIONS	0	0	3	5	0	0	8
ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT-FELONY	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT-MISDEMEANOR	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ACCIDENT - DEATH OR PERSONAL INJURY	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
AGGRAVATED ANIMAL CRUELTY	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
AGGRAVATED ARSON	0	1	8	1	0	0	10
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	32	0	160	42	0	2	236
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - MISD A	0	0	2	3	0	0	5
AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	18	3	322	4	7	0	354
AGGRAVATED CHILD ABUSE	0	0	1	1	0	1	3
AGG CHILD ABUSE / NEG. (CHILD AGE 8 OR LESS)	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
AGGRAVATED CRIMINAL TRESPASS	1	2	28	3	0	0	34
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
AGGRAVATED RAPE	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
AGGRAVATED RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	4	2	85	1	0	0	92
AGGRAVATED SEXUAL BATTERY	9	0	27	1	2	0	39
AGGRAVATED SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A MINOR	0	0	1	3	0	0	4
AIR GUN DISCHARGE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ARSON	2	0	12	0	0	0	14
ASSAULT	67	29	1,059	813	8	3	1,979
ASSAULT ON A POLICE OFFICER	1	0	6	3	0	0	10
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	3	0	29	0	0	0	32
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED RAPE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED RAPE OF A CHILD	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	1	0	30	1	0	0	32
ATTEMPT - BURGLARY OF A BUILDING	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ATTEMPT - BURGLARY OF A VEHICLE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ATTEMPT - ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
ATTEMPT - FELONY	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - MURDER 1ST DEGREE	1	0	19	0	0	0	20
ATTEMPT - MURDER 2ND DEGREE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - ROBBERY	0	0	34	0	0	0	34
ATTEMPT - SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-MARJ	0	1	1	0	0	0	2

It should be noted that all offenses filed at Juvenile Court (excluding traffic citations) are reflected in this section. A child may be charged with multiple offenses on any given complaint.

Children's Bureau

Referral Reasons

Incident Level

Delinquent Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
ATTEMPT - THEFT OF PROPERTY \$500 OR LESS	1	0	8	1	0	0	10
BURGLARY OF A BUILDING	22	3	65	0	1	0	91
BURGLARY OF A VEHICLE	107	0	64	3	0	0	174
CARJACKING	0	0	7	2	0	0	9
CARRY WEAPON IN PARK/REC AREA	1	0	10	1	0	0	12
CARRY WEAPON ON SCHOOL CAMPUS	18	2	91	28	0	0	139
CIVIL RIGHTS INTIMIDATION	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
COERCION OF A WITNESS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
COMM. A THREAT CONCERNING A SCHOOL EMPLOYEE	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
CONSUMING ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES ON CAMPUS	0	0	1	8	0	0	9
CONTEMPT OF COURT - DEL	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
CONTRABAND INTO PENAL INST	0	1	5	0	0	1	7
COUNTERFEITING CURRENCY	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT A FELONY	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
CRIMINAL IMPERSONATION	2	1	18	12	0	0	33
CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY - CONDUCT OF ANOTHER	0	0	4	1	0	0	5
CRIMINAL SIMULATION (UP TO \$1,000)	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
CRIMINAL TRESPASSING	56	9	617	133	2	1	818
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
CRUELTY TO ANIMALS	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
DANCE HALL PERMIT REQUIRED	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
DISCHARGING FIREARMS	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
DISORDERLY CONDUCT	34	14	734	615	0	4	1,401
DOG RUNNING AT LARGE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
DOMESTIC ASSAULT	57	29	430	315	8	5	844
DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE	7	2	0	1	0	0	10
DRIVING W/IMPAIRED .02 BAC	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
DRIVING WHILE LICENSE REVK., SUS. OR CANCELLED	7	1	45	4	0	0	57
DRIVING WITHOUT A LICENSE	1	1	1	1	0	0	4
DRUG FREE SCHOOL ZONE	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
DUTY UPON STRIKING FIXTURES UPON A HIGHWAY	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
ESCAPE	3	0	18	4	0	0	25
ESP. AGGR. SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A MINOR	0	0	1	3	0	0	4
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED BURGLRY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
EVADING ARREST - FELONY	0	0	6	1	0	0	7
EVADING ARREST - MISDEMEANOR	22	2	186	15	0	1	226
EXHIBIT FALSE IDENTIFICATION	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
EXTORTION	5	0	0	0	0	0	5

Children's Bureau

Referral Reasons

Incident Level

Delinquent Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
FACILITATION OF A FELONY	1	0	12	0	0	0	13
FAIL TO COMPLY W/COMM SERVICE	0	0	3	3	0	0	6
FAILURE TO OBTAIN DOG LICENSE	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
FAILURE TO REPORT AN ACCIDENT	2	0	3	1	0	0	6
FAILURE TO VACCINATE ANIMAL	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
FALSE IMPRISONMENT	0	0	3	1	0	0	4
FALSE REPORTING BOMB OR FIRE	2	0	5	1	0	0	8
FALSE REPORTING TO AUTHORITY	3	2	5	8	0	0	18
FIRST DEG MURDER IN PERPETRATION OF A FELONY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
FORGERY	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
FORGERY (UP TO 1,000)	0	0	12	0	0	0	12
FRAUDULENT USE / ALTERED DRIVER'S LICENSE	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
FUGITIVE FROM JUSTICE	0	1	1	0	1	0	3
GAMBLING	0	0	59	0	0	0	59
GIVING FALSE INFO TO POLICE	3	1	2	2	0	0	8
HARASSMENT	4	4	25	5	1	0	39
HELMET ORDINANCE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
HOLD FOR MATERIAL WITNESS IN A FELONY	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
HUNTING IN CLOSED SEASON	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
HUNTING WITHOUT PERMISSION	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
IDENTITY THEFT	0	1	1	2	0	0	4
ILL POSS OR FRAUD USE - CRED/DEB < \$500	0	0	7	4	0	0	11
ILL POSS/FRAUD USE OF CREDIT/DEBIT \$500-\$999	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ILL POSS/FRAUD USE OF CRED/DEB \$1,000-\$9,999	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
IMPROPER STATE REGISTRATION	12	1	12	4	1	0	30
INCITING TO RIOT	1	0	57	39	0	0	97
INDECENT EXPOSURE	3	2	45	6	0	0	56
INDECENT EXPOSURE VICTIM UNDER 13	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
INVOLUNTARY COMMITMENT	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
JAYWALKING	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
JOYRIDING	0	0	2	8	0	0	10
JUVENILE IN POSSESSION OF HANDGUN	4	0	31	0	0	1	36
LEAVING THE SCENE OF AN ACCIDENT	7	2	6	4	2	0	21
LITTERING	3	0	9	1	0	0	13
LOUD MUSIC	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
MALICIOUS MISCHIEF	2	3	1	0	0	0	6
MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-COC	0	0	3	1	0	0	4
MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-LSD	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-MARJ	14	1	51	7	0	2	75

Children's Bureau

Referral Reasons

Incident Level

Delinquent Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
MINIMUM AGE FOR PROCEDURE	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
MINOR IN POSSESSION OF ALCOHOL	95	70	12	12	1	0	190
MINOR POSSESSION OF INTOXICATING LIQUOR	7	1	2	3	0	0	13
MISUSE OF EVIDENCE OF REGISTRATION	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
MURDER - 1ST DEGREE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
NO HUNTING LICENSE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
NO STATE DRIVER LICENSE	31	5	85	33	1	0	155
OBSTRUCTING HWY/PASSAGEWAY	1	1	154	53	0	0	209
OBSTRUCTION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
OFFENSE OF HARBORING/HIDING A RUNAWAY CHILD	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
PANHANDLING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
PARTY TO AN OFFENSE - MISD.	1	0	0	3	0	0	4
PEDESTRIANS ON ROADWAYS	1	1	5	4	0	0	11
PERM. OWNER OF LAND TO TAKE WILDLIFE/BIG GAME	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
POSS PROHIBITED WEAPON - FELONY	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
POSS PROHIBITED WEAPON - MISDEMEANOR	1	0	20	3	0	0	24
POSS/EMP FIREARM DURING COM/ATT DANGR FELONY	0	0	16	0	0	0	16
POSS OF EXPLOSIVE COMPONENTS	4	0	0	0	1	0	5
POSS OF LEGEND DRUG WITHOUT PRESCRIPTION	5	3	20	1	0	0	29
POSS/RESTRICT USE OF FIREWORKS	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
POSS/SELL ITEM W/ALT SER NUM	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
POSSESSION OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
POSSESSION OF BURGLARY TOOLS	2	0	8	0	0	0	10
POSSESSION OF FIREARM / HUNTING BIG GAME	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
POSSESSION OF GAMBLING DEVICE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
POSSESSION OF IMITATION FIREARM	1	0	18	1	0	0	20
PROSTITUTION	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
PUBLIC INTOXICATION - ALCOHOL	21	9	2	1	0	0	33
PUBLIC INTOXICATION - OTHER	9	9	52	6	2	0	78
PUBLIC SOLICITATION - GOODS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
RAPE	0	0	8	0	1	0	9
RAPE OF A CHILD	10	0	29	1	0	0	40
RECKLESS BURNING	2	0	4	2	0	0	8
RECKLESS DRIVING	12	3	33	3	1	0	52
RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT	4	0	3	7	0	0	14
RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT W/WEAPON	2	0	12	2	0	0	16
RECKLESS HOMICIDE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
REFUSAL TO SUBMIT TO BAC TEST	2	1	0	1	0	0	4
REG DRUG/GANG - LING/LOITERING	0	0	8	5	0	0	13
RESISTING (ARREST,STOP,FRISK)	12	2	97	35	0	0	146
RESTRICTION ON FIREARM AMMUNITION	0	0	1	0	0	0	1

Children's Bureau

Referral Reasons Incident Level

Delinquent Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
ROBBERY	1	1	142	3	0	0	147
SALE OF TOBACCO TO A MINOR	0	0	3	1	0	0	4
SALE TO MINORS PROHIBITED	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
SET FIRE-PERSONAL PROPERTY/LND	1	0	7	0	0	0	8
SEXUAL BATTERY	3	1	20	1	0	0	25
SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A MINOR	5	0	0	4	0	0	9
SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-COCAINE	1	0	1	0	0	1	3
SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-MARJ	128	33	382	33	3	1	580
SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-OTHER	10	6	12	1	0	0	29
SOLICITATION	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SOLICITATION TO COMMIT FELONY	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
SOLICITING RIDES FROM ROADWAY	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
SPAY / NEUTER REQUIREMENT	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
STALKING	0	1	1	0	1	0	3
STATUTORY RAPE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
TAMPER / FABRICATE EVIDENCE	1	1	4	1	0	0	7
TERRORISM	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000-\$9,999	14	3	113	2	1	0	133
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000-\$9,999 - VEHICLE	3	0	14	6	0	0	23
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$10,000-\$59,999	4	0	20	0	0	0	24
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$10,000-\$59,999 - VEHICLE	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$500 OR LESS	150	78	688	434	3	5	1,358
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$500 OR LESS - VEHICLE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$501-\$999	14	5	104	10	2	0	135
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$501-\$999 - VEHICLE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
THEFT OF SERV- \$500 OR LESS	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
THROWING/CASTING MISSILE	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
UNAUTHORIZED REC OF THEATRICAL MOTION PICTURE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNAUTHORIZED USE - MOTOR VEH	1	3	12	2	1	0	19
UNDERAGE CONSUMPTION/POSSESSION OF ALCOHOL	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
UNDERAGE DRIVING WHILE IMPAIRED	5	3	1	0	0	0	9
UNLAWFUL PHOTOGRAPHING IN VIOLATION OF PRIVACY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION / SALE LEGEND DRUG	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	9	2	3	0	0	0	14
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION DRUG PARAPHERNALIA MISD	78	16	46	4	0	0	144
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF WEAPON MISDMN	12	1	100	6	1	0	120
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF WEAPON - FELONY	4	1	34	1	0	1	41
UNLAWFUL PUR/RECPT/POSS ALCOHOL	4	6	1	0	0	0	11
UNLAWFUL REMOVAL OF REGISTRATION/DECAL/PLATES	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
USE OF STOLEN LICENSE PLATES	0	0	1	1	0	0	2

Children's Bureau

Referral Reasons

Incident Level

	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
VIOLATION OF RESTRICTED DRIVERS LICENSE	5	0	1	2	0	0	8
VIOLATION OF STATE REGISTRATION LAW	0	0	9	1	0	0	10
WEARING DAYLIGHT FLUORESCENT ORANGE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sub-Total	1,343	411	7,406	2,919	56	30	12,165

DEP AND NEG Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
CONTEMPT OF COURT - DEP	11	10	43	70	0	4	138
DEPENDENT AND NEGLECTED	131	176	748	769	59	53	1,936
GUARDIANSHIP	1	1	1	1	1	0	5
PET. FOR RESTRAINING ORDER	7	5	9	27	2	2	52
PETITION FOR APC	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
PETITION TO INVESTIGATE	1	0	2	6	0	0	9
PETITION TO MODIFY	87	93	557	543	20	20	1,320
TERMINATION - PARENTAL RIGHTS	2	3	2	0	0	0	7
TRANSFER FROM OTHER COURT	1	1	3	3	0	0	8
VOLUNTARY FOSTERCARE PLMT	1	0	11	12	0	0	24
Sub-Total	242	289	1,376	1,432	82	79	3,500

OTHER Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
CUSTODIAL INTERFERENCE	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
PARENTAL EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT	21	23	110	99	1	1	255
Sub-Total	21	23	110	100	1	1	256

RUNAWAY Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
RUNAWAY - IN STATE	5	1	11	4	1	0	22
Sub-Total	5	1	11	4	1	0	22

SCHOOL EXEMPTION Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
SCHOOL EXEMPTION	1	1	4	3	1	0	10
Sub-Total	1	1	4	3	1	0	10

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

Children's Bureau

Referral Reasons

Incident Level

SPECIAL Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
HOLD FOR OTHER AGENCY - DEL	37	7	31	2	1	4	82
HOLD FOR TN D C S - DEL	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Sub-Total	37	7	32	3	1	4	84

TRANSIENT Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
RUNAWAY - OUT OF STATE	4	9	2	4	0	0	19
Sub-Total	4	9	2	4	0	0	19

UNRULY Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
MINOR IN POSSESSION OF TOBACCO	32	14	78	8	3	0	135
TRUANCY	93	29	488	206	7	5	828
TRUANCY VIOLATOR	4	3	7	8	0	0	22
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION SMOKING	0	0	7	1	0	0	8
VIOLATION OF CURFEW	167	98	425	202	9	6	907
Sub-Total	296	144	1,005	425	19	11	1,900

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

Children's Bureau

Referral Reasons Incident Level

Traffic Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
ALLOWING UNLICENSED DRIVER TO DRIVE	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
BICYCLE VIOLATIONS - GENERAL	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
CROSSING AT OTHER THAN CROSSWALK	1	0	1	3	0	0	5
DEFECTIVE LIGHTS - GENERAL	0	3	7	2	0	0	12
DEFECTIVE WINDSHIELD/WINDOW	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
DISREGARD A STOP LIGHT	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
DISREGARD A STOP SIGN	3	2	7	1	0	0	13
DISREGARD AN OFFICER'S SIGNAL	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
DISREGARDING RED LIGHT	1	1	1	1	0	0	4
DRAG RACING	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
DRIVERS TO EXERCISE DUE CARE	8	2	4	1	1	1	17
DRIVING ON A SIDEWALK	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
DRIVING WITHOUT LIGHTS	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
FAIL TO YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
FAIL TO YIELD TO EMER VEHICLE	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
FAILURE TO MAINTAIN PROPER CONTROL	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
FAILURE TO PROVIDE EVIDENCE OF FINANCIAL RESP	21	3	48	13	1	0	86
FAILURE TO YIELD	0	0	1	1	0	1	3
FOLLOWING TOO CLOSELY	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
IMPROPER BACKING	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
IMPROPER DISPLAY OF REGISTRATION	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
IMPROPER DRIVING OF VEHICLE	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
IMPROPER LANE CHANGE	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
IMPROPER LIGHTS	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER PASSING	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER PASSING ON RIGHT SIDE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER TURNS	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
LIGHTS - GENERALLY	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
MAINTAIN A SAFE LOOKOUT	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
MAINTENANCE AND ADJUSTMENT OF BRAKES	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
NO HELMET, MOTORCYCLE	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
NOISY VEHICLES, GENERAL	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ONE HEADLIGHT OR BRIGHT LIGHTS	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
RIDING ON ROADWAY-- BICYCLE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
RIGHT-OF-WAY LEFT TURN	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
SAFETY BELT LAW	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
SPEED LIMIT -- SCHOOL ZONE --	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
SPEED LIMIT -- 1-5 OVER	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
SPEED LIMIT -- 11-15 OVER	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
SPEED LIMIT -- 16-25 OVER	0	1	4	0	0	0	5

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

Children's Bureau

Referral Reasons Incident Level

Traffic Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
SPEED LIMIT -- 26+ OVER (CONFERENCE)	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
SPEED LIMIT -- 6-10 OVER	0	1	1	1	0	0	3
SPEEDING	11	3	13	4	0	0	31
STRADDLING LANES	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
STRIKING A PARKED VEHICLE OR OBJECT	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
TAIL AND LICENSE LIGHTS	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
TINTED WINDOWS	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNAL - GENERAL	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
USE OF OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLES ON HIGHWAY	2	0	4	0	0	0	6
VEHICLE TURNING LEFT AT INTERSECTION	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
VIOL OF SEATBELT LAW	7	2	15	4	0	0	28
VIOLATING MINIMUM SPEED REGULATIONS	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
VIOLATION CHILD RESTRAINT LAW	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
VIOLATION OF CITY REGISTRATION LAW	2	0	4	1	0	0	7
WALKING ON ROADWAY	0	0	4	1	0	0	5
Sub-Total	71	26	150	42	2	3	294
Total	2,102	987	10,499	5,296	184	150	19,218

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

General Social Data

Referral Reasons

Incident Level

Selected Charges

Delinquent Allegations	White		Black		Other		Juveniles		Complaints		Incidents	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	2012 / 2011		2012 / 2011		2012 / 2011	
AGGRAVATED ARSON	0	1	8	1	0	0	10	2	10	2	10	2
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	30	0	160	42	0	2	156	129	161	131	234	170
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - MISD A	0	0	2	3	0	0	4	21	4	21	5	21
AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	18	3	323	4	7	0	277	371	303	406	355	468
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2
AGGRAVATED RAPE	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	4	6	4	6	6
AGGRAVATED RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	4	2	85	1	0	0	67	106	71	109	92	159
AGGRAVATED SEXUAL BATTERY	10	0	25	1	2	0	34	37	34	37	38	40
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	0	0	29	0	0	0	28	27	28	27	29	27
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED RAPE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED RAPE OF A CHILD	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	1	0	30	1	0	0	18	25	18	25	32	36
ATTEMPT - BURGLARY OF A BUILDING	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	5	2	5	2	5
ATTEMPT - ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	2	3	2	3	2
ATTEMPT - MURDER 1ST DEGREE	1	0	19	0	0	0	8	6	8	6	20	6
ATTEMPT - MURDER 2ND DEGREE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	10	1	10	1	17
ATTEMPT - RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
CARJACKING	0	0	7	2	0	0	8	7	8	7	9	7
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED BURGLRY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	1	5	1	5
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	8	4	8	4	8
FIRST DEGREE MURDER IN PERPETR OF A FELONY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	3	1	3
MURDER - 1ST DEGREE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	3	2	3	2	3
MURDER - 2ND DEGREE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
RAPE	0	0	8	0	1	0	9	3	9	3	9	3
RAPE OF A CHILD	11	0	30	1	0	0	37	48	38	48	42	54
RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT W/WEAPON	2	0	12	2	0	0	12	18	12	18	16	23
RECKLESS HOMICIDE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	1	2
VEHICULAR HOMICIDE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
Sub-Total	80	9	762	58	10	2	697	845	733	885	921	1,071

Comparison of number of juveniles referred to Juvenile Court on selected charges.

General Social Data

Living Arrangement of Child

Complaint Level

Arrangements	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	2	0	0	0	6	6	0	2	16	0.10
FOSTER FAMILY HOME	92	83	4	5	115	101	1	4	405	2.64
GROUP HOME	39	10	5	0	3	5	3	0	65	0.42
HOME OF NON-RELATIVE	58	22	8	2	70	85	11	7	263	1.71
IN HOME OF RELATIVES	595	301	91	54	629	649	48	65	2,432	15.87
IN INSTITUTION	25	1	2	0	10	7	0	0	45	0.29
INDEPENDENT LIVING	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0.02
OWN HOME - BOTH PTS	627	202	117	25	42	39	48	22	1,122	7.32
OWN HOME - FATHER	430	143	84	40	159	178	105	71	1,210	7.89
OWN HOME - FTH/SMTH	55	15	11	5	21	8	8	6	129	0.84
OWN HOME - MOTHER	4,036	1,883	719	346	619	688	430	414	9,135	59.62
OWN HOME - MTH/SFTH	206	77	24	9	7	5	18	6	352	2.29
OWN HOME-STEPFATHER	3	4	2	0	2	1	0	1	13	0.08
RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT	10	1	2	1	5	0	0	0	19	0.12
UNKNOWN	42	30	16	8	4	5	4	1	110	0.71
Total	6,220	2,775	1,085	495	1,692	1,778	676	599	15,320	99.92

Arrangements	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	2	2	6	6	0	0	16	0.10
FOSTER FAMILY HOME	12	19	196	165	4	9	405	2.64
GROUP HOME	11	2	39	13	0	0	65	0.42
HOME OF NON-RELATIVE	16	15	126	98	5	3	263	1.71
IN HOME OF RELATIVES	145	142	1,192	898	26	29	2,432	15.87
IN INSTITUTION	2	1	35	7	0	0	45	0.29
INDEPENDENT LIVING	0	0	0	3	0	1	4	0.02
OWN HOME - BOTH PTS	299	90	522	185	13	13	1,122	7.32
OWN HOME - FATHER	202	107	551	310	25	15	1,210	7.89
OWN HOME - FTH/SMTH	17	10	78	24	0	0	129	0.84
OWN HOME - MOTHER	602	425	5,119	2,842	83	64	9,135	59.62
OWN HOME - MTH/SFTH	38	12	209	83	8	2	352	2.29
OWN HOME-STEPFATHER	2	0	5	6	0	0	13	0.08
RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT	2	1	15	1	0	0	19	0.12
UNKNOWN	13	6	53	37	0	1	110	0.71
Total	1,363	832	8,146	4,678	164	137	15,320	99.92

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

General Social Data

Prior Referrals/Recidivists Complaint Level

Prior Referral	Total	Percent	Prior Referral	Total	Percent
0	3,639	40.46	0	1,169	73.99
1	1,711	19.02	1	275	17.41
2	1,038	11.54	2	93	5.89
3	720	8.00	3	30	1.90
4	534	5.94	4	7	0.44
5	385	4.28	5	3	0.19
6	267	2.97	6	2	0.13
7	189	2.10	7	1	0.06
8	146	1.62	8	0	0.00
9+	366	4.07	9+	0	0.00
Total	8,995	100.00	Total	1,580	100.00

Location of Residence

	In County	Out of County In State	Out of State	Total
Rural	123	65	37	225
Suburban	1,499	47	49	1,595
Town	173	31	41	245
Urban - Residential	12,540	84	202	12,826
Urban - Business or Industrial	186	1	5	192
Unknown/Not Indicated	211	8	18	237
Total	14,732	236	352	15,320

Length of Residence

Time in County	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	2	0	0	0	12	9	1	1	25	0.16
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	5,363	2,385	915	395	846	871	407	365	11,547	75.37
NOT CURRENTLY RESIDENT	81	58	14	16	33	36	14	7	259	1.69
ONE BUT LESS THAN FIVE	311	124	43	13	521	530	169	157	1,868	12.19
UNDER ONE YEAR	237	72	33	8	267	307	75	61	1,060	6.91
UNKNOWN	226	136	80	63	13	25	10	8	561	3.66
Total	6,220	2,775	1,085	495	1,692	1,778	676	599	15,320	99.98

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

General Social Data

Family Income

Complaint Level

DELINQUENT	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	25	6	50	47	2	1	131	1.45
UNDER \$5,999	10	5	89	49	0	0	153	1.70
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	52	6	282	120	1	2	463	5.14
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	51	18	515	271	6	0	861	9.57
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	80	21	659	274	3	4	1,041	11.57
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	108	54	1,006	345	9	5	1,527	16.97
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	103	51	465	216	7	2	844	9.38
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	102	40	141	65	7	2	357	3.96
\$100,000 OR MORE	41	24	15	10	1	1	92	1.02
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	67	31	1,427	657	0	8	2,190	24.34
UNKNOWN	139	69	752	370	5	1	1,336	14.85
Total	778	325	5,401	2,424	41	26	8,995	99.95

UNRULY	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	2	1	4	1	0	0	8	0.50
UNDER \$5,999	0	0	14	5	0	0	19	1.20
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	9	7	39	21	0	0	76	4.81
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	23	7	77	28	3	0	138	8.73
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	23	10	108	25	3	1	170	10.75
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	32	13	154	51	4	2	256	16.20
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	21	15	68	23	3	0	130	8.22
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	13	5	9	4	2	0	33	2.08
\$100,000 OR MORE	7	0	3	0	0	0	10	0.63
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	22	9	199	99	2	0	331	20.94
UNKNOWN	72	49	168	113	1	6	409	25.88
Total	224	116	843	370	18	9	1,580	99.94

DEPENDENT	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	10	13	55	48	3	1	130	3.74
UNDER \$5,999	23	15	59	59	10	11	177	5.10
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	1	0	30	31	1	0	63	1.81
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	10	14	82	73	2	2	183	5.27
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	13	11	87	88	7	10	216	6.22
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	25	22	107	83	1	8	246	7.08
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	5	14	40	39	2	4	104	2.99
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	5	7	7	20	1	0	40	1.15
\$100,000 OR MORE	0	1	0	1	1	0	3	0.08
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	30	46	383	369	15	7	850	24.49
UNKNOWN	115	144	523	602	39	35	1,458	42.01
Total	237	287	1,373	1,413	82	78	3,470	99.94

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

General Social Data

Family Income

Complaint level

OTHER	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	5	6	10	9	0	1	31	2.43
UNDER \$5,999	7	5	26	15	2	5	60	4.70
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	1	3	12	15	0	0	31	2.43
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	9	7	32	26	4	0	78	6.11
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	8	9	27	20	2	0	66	5.17
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	19	6	52	33	0	2	112	8.78
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	7	12	29	25	1	1	75	5.88
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	4	3	8	13	0	1	29	2.27
\$100,000 OR MORE	2	1	0	1	0	0	4	0.31
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	8	7	92	82	1	1	191	14.98
UNKNOWN	54	45	241	232	13	13	598	46.90
Total	124	104	529	471	23	24	1,275	99.96
Grand Total	1,363	832	8,146	4,678	164	137	15,320	

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

General Social Data

Serious or Persistent School Behavior

Complaint level

Behavior	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	14	5	2	0	76	89	9	9	204	1.33
BEHAVIOR SATISFACTORY	3,492	1,760	569	308	837	974	346	302	8,588	56.05
BEHAVIOR UNSATISFACTORY	1,628	594	309	89	106	80	50	25	2,881	18.80
NOT IN SCHOOL	345	125	36	13	589	573	220	196	2,097	13.68
UNKNOWN	741	291	169	85	84	62	51	67	1,550	10.11
Total	6,220	2,775	1,085	495	1,692	1,778	676	599	15,320	99.97

Behavior	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	14	16	82	84	5	3	204	1.33
BEHAVIOR SATISFACTORY	812	500	4,342	2,765	90	79	8,588	56.05
BEHAVIOR UNSATISFACTORY	177	61	1,900	716	16	11	2,881	18.80
NOT IN SCHOOL	197	174	949	699	44	34	2,097	13.68
UNKNOWN	163	81	873	414	9	10	1,550	10.11
Total	1,363	832	8,146	4,678	164	137	15,320	99.97

Grade Placement in Relation to Age

Complaint Level

Grade Placement	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	6	0	0	0	75	89	9	8	187	1.22
ACCELERATED	13	1	1	1	4	8	3	1	32	0.20
AT EXPECTED LEVEL	3,857	2,063	665	376	801	917	337	307	9,323	60.85
BELOW EXPECTED LEVEL	2,007	571	371	100	153	112	71	52	3,437	22.43
NOT APPLICABLE (NOT IN SCH)	290	120	38	11	607	598	238	214	2,116	13.81
UNKNOWN	47	20	10	7	52	54	18	17	225	1.46
Total	6,220	2,775	1,085	495	1,692	1,778	676	599	15,320	99.97

Grade Placement	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	10	16	76	78	4	3	187	1.22
ACCELERATED	3	1	18	10	0	0	32	0.20
AT EXPECTED LEVEL	831	534	4,740	3,050	89	79	9,323	60.85
BELOW EXPECTED LEVEL	291	77	2,296	743	15	15	3,437	22.43
NOT APPLICABLE (NOT IN SCH)	198	183	922	722	53	38	2,116	13.81
UNKNOWN	30	21	94	75	3	2	225	1.46
Total	1,363	832	8,146	4,678	164	137	15,320	99.97

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

General Social Data

School Attainment By Sex and Race

Complaint Level

Delinquent

Last Grade Completed	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
*Not Indicated	1	0	4	1	0	0	6
1ST GRADE	2	0	24	3	0	0	29
2ND GRADE	2	1	26	5	0	0	34
3RD GRADE	2	2	59	8	0	0	71
4TH GRADE	5	0	82	23	1	0	111
5TH GRADE	21	7	160	73	2	0	263
6TH GRADE	31	7	349	178	1	3	569
7TH GRADE	64	20	501	264	7	2	858
8TH GRADE	125	51	1,124	539	10	3	1,852
9TH GRADE	185	62	1,327	533	5	5	2,117
10TH GRADE	195	77	1,053	458	9	8	1,800
11TH GRADE	115	82	530	259	4	4	994
12TH GRADE	15	7	101	45	2	1	171
KINDERGARTEN	1	0	16	6	0	0	23
NEVER IN SCHOOL	4	5	15	10	0	0	34
PRE-SCHOOL	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
UNKNOWN	10	4	28	18	0	0	60
Total	778	325	5,401	2,424	41	26	8,995

Unruly

Last Grade Completed	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
1ST GRADE	1	0	6	3	0	0	10
2ND GRADE	0	1	5	4	0	0	10
3RD GRADE	1	1	2	5	1	0	10
4TH GRADE	0	0	9	3	0	1	13
5TH GRADE	6	2	13	11	0	0	32
6TH GRADE	9	2	47	16	0	3	77
7TH GRADE	21	7	62	40	1	1	132
8TH GRADE	37	20	159	69	4	0	289
9TH GRADE	58	28	209	76	6	3	380
10TH GRADE	61	30	180	78	2	1	352
11TH GRADE	27	20	109	47	3	0	206
12TH GRADE	2	1	18	5	0	0	26
KINDERGARTEN	0	0	6	7	1	0	14
NEVER IN SCHOOL	0	1	5	1	0	0	7
PRE-SCHOOL	0	1	1	1	0	0	3
UNKNOWN	1	2	12	4	0	0	19
Total	224	116	843	370	18	9	1,580

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

General Social Data

School Attainment By Sex and Race

Complaint Level

Dependent Last Grade Completed	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
*Not Indicated	6	12	65	75	4	2	164
1ST GRADE	8	15	56	65	2	4	150
2ND GRADE	8	13	55	65	5	7	153
3RD GRADE	9	5	65	76	2	4	161
4TH GRADE	9	16	65	46	3	3	142
5TH GRADE	3	11	59	71	3	5	152
6TH GRADE	9	16	69	55	2	3	154
7TH GRADE	9	10	54	66	3	1	143
8TH GRADE	11	13	90	91	4	6	215
9TH GRADE	14	11	75	71	0	0	171
10TH GRADE	5	9	58	66	1	3	142
11TH GRADE	3	4	29	31	0	2	69
12TH GRADE	0	0	1	3	0	0	4
KINDERGARTEN	20	11	58	64	8	8	169
NEVER IN SCHOOL	25	35	103	115	13	7	298
NOW IN FIRST	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
PRE-SCHOOL	22	15	89	90	4	2	222
TOO YOUNG FOR	68	76	347	320	25	21	857
UNKNOWN	8	14	35	42	3	0	102
Total	237	287	1,373	1,413	82	78	3,470

Other Last Grade Completed	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
*Not Indicated	2	3	9	3	0	1	18
1ST GRADE	2	4	24	32	2	1	65
2ND GRADE	4	3	32	24	1	3	67
3RD GRADE	6	4	27	15	2	2	56
4TH GRADE	2	4	15	19	2	0	42
5TH GRADE	1	3	30	28	0	1	63
6TH GRADE	5	1	33	22	1	1	63
7TH GRADE	8	6	18	27	0	0	59
8TH GRADE	9	10	21	24	2	1	67
9TH GRADE	6	3	36	16	0	1	62
10TH GRADE	10	5	13	15	0	1	44
11TH GRADE	3	3	17	5	0	0	28
12TH GRADE	1	0	3	3	0	0	
KINDERGARTEN	8	5	30	35	4	1	83
NEVER IN SCHOOL	9	8	22	29	3	1	72
PRE-SCHOOL	10	6	42	46	1	2	107
TOO YOUNG FOR	30	31	148	120	5	8	342
UNKNOWN	8	5	9	8	0	0	30
Total	124	104	529	471	23	24	1,275
Grand Total	1,363	832	8,146	4,678	164	137	15,320

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

General Social Data

Employment and School Status

Complaint Level

	EMPLOYED - FULL TIME	EMPLOYED-PA RT TIME	NOT EMPLOYED	INAPPLICABLE PRE-SCHOOL	UNKNOWN	NOT INDICATED	Total
DELINQUENT							
IN SCHOOL--FULL TIME	7	133	7,710	72	229	147	8,298
IN SCHOOL--PART TIME	0	1	23	0	0	0	24
NOT IN SCHOOL	12	24	557	3	17	2	615
PRE-SCHOOL AGE	0	0	15	7	0	0	22
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	0	0	16	0	14	6	36
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	19	158	8,321	82	260	155	8,995
UNRULY							
IN SCHOOL--FULL TIME	1	12	1,314	10	155	8	1,500
IN SCHOOL--PART TIME	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
NOT IN SCHOOL	0	0	56	0	3	0	59
PRE-SCHOOL AGE	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	0	0	6	0	5	0	11
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	12	1,386	10	163	8	1,580
DEPENDENT							
IN SCHOOL--FULL TIME	2	1	1,653	136	93	10	1,895
IN SCHOOL--PART TIME	0	0	10	1	0	0	11
NOT IN SCHOOL	0	0	232	175	51	3	461
PRE-SCHOOL AGE	0	0	149	698	15	9	871
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	0	0	45	6	23	0	74
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	158	158
Total	2	1	2,089	1,016	182	180	3,470
OTHER							
IN SCHOOL--FULL TIME	0	6	687	34	31	3	761
IN SCHOOL--PART TIME	0	0	2	0	1	0	3
NOT IN SCHOOL	0	1	104	53	18	1	177
PRE-SCHOOL AGE	0	0	45	238	5	2	290
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	1	0	13	7	8	1	30
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	14	14
Total	1	7	851	332	63	21	1,275

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

General Social Data

Employment and School Status Complaint Level

Estimated Mental Capacity	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	14	5	2	0	75	89	9	9	203	1.32
ABOVE AVERAGE	48	29	6	0	17	26	10	2	138	0.90
AVERAGE	4,236	1,986	771	348	822	882	367	321	9,733	63.53
BELOW AVERAGE	336	99	56	14	52	45	18	15	635	4.14
NOT DETERMINED	1,586	656	250	133	726	736	272	252	4,611	30.09
Total	6,220	2,775	1,085	495	1,692	1,778	676	599	15,320	99.98

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

General Social Data

Referral Source Complaint Level

Referred By	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
AIRPORT POLICE	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
BARTLETT POLICE	40	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	0.31
COLLIERVILLE POLICE	29	14	0	1	0	0	0	0	44	0.28
DEPT. OF CHILDREN'S	9	2	0	0	448	439	0	0	898	5.86
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
GERMANTOWN POLICE	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.05
MEMPHIS POLICE	2,411	790	10	7	0	0	8	4	3,230	21.08
MILLINGTON POLICE	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.09
NEIGHBOR	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.00
NON-RELATIVE	0	0	0	0	18	24	1	1	44	0.28
OTHER COURT	68	10	0	0	2	3	0	1	84	0.54
OTHER SOURCE	14	2	0	0	26	33	11	11	97	0.63
PRNTS OR RELATIVES	1	1	0	0	1,184	1,266	498	454	3,404	22.21
PROBATION OFFICER	97	33	0	0	5	2	1	0	138	0.90
SCHOOL SYS - CITY	2	2	108	95	0	0	70	69	346	2.25
SCHOOL SYS - COUNTY	1	0	49	35	0	0	36	39	160	1.04
SCHOOL SYS - PRIVATE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.00
SHELBY COUNTY SHERIFF'S	183	56	3	3	0	0	1	2	248	1.61
SOCIAL AGENCY	0	0	0	0	8	10	1	0	19	0.12
TN HIGHWAY PATROL	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
Sub-Total	2,878	922	170	142	1,692	1,778	627	581	8,790	57.25

Juvenile Summons	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
BARTLETT PD - JS	95	56	13	11	0	0	4	0	179	1.16
COLLIERVILLE PD - JS	122	71	3	1	0	0	3	1	201	1.31
GERMANTOWN PD - JS	108	40	19	5	0	0	1	1	174	1.13
MEMPHIS PD - JS	2,604	1,445	670	235	0	0	29	8	4,991	32.57
MILLINGTON PD - JS	32	14	3	2	0	0	0	0	51	0.33
SHERIFF'S DEPT -JS	381	227	207	99	0	0	12	8	934	6.09
Sub-Total	3,342	1,853	915	353	0	0	49	18	6,530	42.59
Total	6,220	2,775	1,085	495	1,692	1,778	676	599	15,320	99.84

A juvenile summons may be used by law enforcement officers in cases involving juvenile offenders (except traffic violations in which case a traffic summons or ticket would be issued) if, in the discretion of the officer, the juvenile would not be a menace to society or would not himself be harmed if not taken into custody.

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

General Social Data

Care Following Referral

Complaint Level

Care After Referral	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
DETENTION CENTER	2,943	954	12	14	0	0	1	0	3,924	94.80
RELEASED ELECTRONIC	66	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	78	1.88
RELEASED ON APPROPRIATE	88	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	137	3.30
Total	3,097	1,015	12	14	0	0	1	0	4,139	99.98

Care Location	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
DETENTION ALTERNATIVE	136	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	190	4.59
DETENTION CENTER	2,961	961	12	14	0	0	1	0	3,949	95.40
Total	3,097	1,015	12	14	0	0	1	0	4,139	99.99

Care Reason	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
APC EXECUTED	191	72	4	2	0	0	0	0	269	6.49
APPROPRIATE BOND SET	1,428	617	6	6	0	0	1	0	2,058	49.72
AWAITING STATE PLACEMENT	182	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	210	5.07
CASE MANAGEMENT	19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0.50
DANGER TO COMMUNITY	488	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	532	12.85
FLIGHT RISK	34	13	2	6	0	0	0	0	55	1.32
HOLD OTHER AGENCY	67	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	78	1.88
INTENSIVE CASE MANAGEMENT	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.21
PARENT/GUARD. REFUSED	217	130	0	0	0	0	0	0	347	8.38
RELEASED ELECTRONIC	65	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	75	1.81
RESPITE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.02
SERIOUS ALLEGATION	297	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	332	8.02
UNABLE TO LOCATE PARENT /	101	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	152	3.67
Total	3,097	1,015	12	14	0	0	1	0	4,139	99.94

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

Dispositional Data

Dispositions By Hearing Type

Case Action Level

Hearing Types	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
AGREED ORDER	70	34	0	0	5	3	12	9	133	0.35
CASE BEFORE THE CRT	1,974	330	11	6	611	633	13	14	3,592	9.64
COURT HEARING	2,935	540	44	28	3,190	3,485	1,143	1,077	12,442	33.41
DETENTION HEARING	1,117	176	4	5	0	0	2	0	1,304	3.50
INITIAL FOSTER CARE REVIEW	198	34	0	0	413	378	2	0	1,025	2.75
INITIAL PROBABLE CAUSE	140	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	169	0.45
JUDICIAL REVIEW	3	1	0	0	14	13	0	0	31	0.08
MOTION	295	55	0	0	498	505	61	54	1,468	3.94
MOTION TO RECONSIDER	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.00
NON-JUDICIAL	4,527	2,554	1,072	476	16	19	102	32	8,798	23.62
PERIODIC FOSTER CARE	173	47	0	0	757	825	2	0	1,804	4.84
PERMANENCY HEARING	140	39	0	0	444	520	0	0	1,143	3.06
PLACEMENT HEARING	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
PRELIMINARY HEARING	1	1	0	0	553	594	0	1	1,150	3.08
RATIFICATION HEARING	216	33	0	0	445	412	2	0	1,108	2.97
REHEARING	86	10	0	0	128	170	32	35	461	1.23
REHEARING - DETENTION	99	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	107	0.28
RELEASE AUTHORIZED	1,623	730	9	9	0	0	4	3	2,378	6.38
TRANSFER HEARING	115	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	117	0.31
TRNS FROM OTHER CRT	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.00
Total	13,716	4,622	1,141	524	7,074	7,558	1,375	1,225	37,235	99.89

Adult Offenders

	CONTRIBUTING TO DELINQUENCY	CONTRIBUTING TO DEPENDENCY AND NEGLECT	VIOLATION OF SCHOOL LAW	CHILD ABUSE	CONTEMPT CHARGE	VIOLATION OF DUTY TO REPORT	TOTAL
MALE WHITE	0	0	17	0	0	0	17
MALE BLACK	0	0	73	1	0	3	77
MALE OTHER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
FEMALE WHITE	0	0	16	0	0	0	16
FEMALE BLACK	0	0	71	1	0	0	72
FEMALE OTHER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	0	0	179	2	0	3	184

Dispositional Data

Non-Final Dispositions

Formal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
A BOND AUTHORIZED	31	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	47	0.22
A P C ISSUED	293	103	5	4	5	11	2	0	423	1.98
ADULT - APC ISSUED	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4	0.01
ADULT - APC ON PARENT/GUARDIAN	1	0	0	0	0	2	22	12	37	0.17
ADULT - CASE STRUCK FROM DOCKET	0	0	0	0	1	4	29	29	63	0.29
ADULT - CONTINUED	2	1	0	0	0	0	95	74	172	0.80
ADULT - MOTION FILED	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0.01
ADULT - MOTION NOT GRANTED	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.00
ADVISEMENT	387	96	7	4	1	0	0	1	496	2.32
BOND REVOKED	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
C.A.S.A.	2	0	0	0	33	21	1	3	60	0.28
CASE HELD IN ABEYANCE	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0.00
CASE STRUCK FROM DOCKET	20	7	1	1	77	79	67	66	318	1.49
CHILD DETAINED	659	57	3	0	0	0	1	0	720	3.38
CONTINUE FOSTER CARE	129	32	0	0	576	567	2	0	1,306	6.13
CONTINUE JUVENILE JUSTICE	77	15	0	0	7	8	0	0	107	0.50
CONTINUED	569	93	15	6	1,628	1,799	269	280	4,659	21.90
CONTINUED - DEFENSE PC	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.05
CONTINUED - STATE PC	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.02
DCS - REF TO ABUSE / NEGLECT	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.02
DETENTION ALTERNATIVE	63	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	0.34
ELECTRONIC MONITORING - REMOVED	19	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0.11
ELECTRONIC MONITORING - REVOKED	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0.06
EXTRADITION WAIVED	3	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	9	0.04
F. C. HEARING / REVIEW CONTINUED	149	25	0	0	303	356	0	0	833	3.91
GRANTED	48	8	0	0	322	335	18	30	761	3.57
JCCO - WEST TENNESSEE FORENSICS	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
MOTION FILED	168	27	0	0	2	3	0	0	200	0.93
MOTION FOR TRANSFER DENIED	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0.06
NO CONTACT ORDER - DH	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0.11
NOT GRANTED	32	7	0	0	124	136	31	19	349	1.63
PERM PLAN - GOAL CHANGE	6	0	0	0	68	79	0	0	153	0.71
PERM PLAN RATIFIED	187	42	0	0	541	512	2	0	1,284	6.02
PROT CUST ORDER	0	0	0	0	467	492	1	0	960	4.50
PROT CUST ORDER-DENIED	0	0	0	0	63	53	0	0	116	0.54
PROT CUST ORDER-UPHELD	1	0	0	0	458	499	0	0	958	4.49
RECOMMENDATION TO FILE TPR	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0.00
REF TO CLINICAL SERVICES	257	52	1	0	0	0	0	0	310	1.45
REHEARING FILED	41	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	45	0.21
RELEASE APP BOND	299	90	1	5	0	0	1	0	396	1.85

Dispositional Data

Non-Final Dispositions

Formal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
RELEASE SUR BOND	267	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	300	1.40
RELEASE UNSECURED BOND	57	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	0.31
REMAIN - ELECTRONIC MONITORING	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.00
RESTRAINING ORDER ISSUED	0	0	0	0	18	41	1	0	60	0.28
TRANSITIONAL LIVING PLAN APPROVED	12	3	0	0	9	13	0	0	37	0.17
Sub-Total	3,851	748	36	21	4,704	5,014	545	515	15,434	72.27

Informal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
A BOND AUTHORIZED	1,623	730	9	9	0	0	4	3	2,378	11.20
A P C CANCELED	56	23	2	1	0	1	8	5	96	0.45
A P C EXECUTED	252	92	8	2	2	4	6	5	371	1.74
ADULT - APC CANCELLED	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0.02
ADULT - APC EXECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.00
C.A.S.A.	0	0	0	0	3	12	0	0	15	0.07
CASE HELD IN ABEYANCE	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
CONTINUE FOSTER CARE	93	30	0	0	970	999	4	0	2,096	9.84
CONTINUE JUVENILE JUSTICE	200	32	0	0	5	8	0	0	245	1.15
DCS - REF TO ABUSE / NEGLECT	12	2	1	0	7	10	0	0	32	0.15
DETENTION SCREENING - REF TO	10	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	20	0.09
DIRECT REFERRAL	6	1	0	0	8	9	0	0	24	0.11
F. C. HEARING / REVIEW CONTINUED	78	18	0	0	194	196	0	0	486	2.28
JUST CARE FAMILY NETWORK	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.05
RECOMMENDATION TO FILE TPR	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.00
YOUTH COURT	40	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	78	0.36
Sub-Total	2,381	976	21	13	1,189	1,240	28	13	5,861	27.51
Total	6,232	1,724	57	34	5,893	6,254	573	528	21,295	99.78

Dispositional Data

Final Dispositions

Formal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
ACCESS GRANTED TO CHILD	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	8	0.03
ACCESS GRANTED TO ENTER HOME	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	5	0.02
ADULT - DCS PREVENTION SERVICES	0	1	0	0	0	0	21	11	33	0.14
ADULT - DISMISSED	13	11	0	1	0	0	24	24	73	0.31
ADULT - FINE TO PARENT/GUARDIAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	5	0.02
ADULT - FINE TO PARENT/GUARDIAN -	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.00
ADULT - JAIL SENTENCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	9	16	0.06
ADULT - JAIL SUSPENDED SENTENCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	50	114	0.48
ADULT - UNSUPERVISED PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	51	119	0.50
ADULT - WAIVER TO GRAND JURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.00
AFFIRMED	24	4	0	0	58	72	14	17	189	0.80
COMMUNITY SERVICE	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0.09
COMMUNITY SERVICE - FIRE SETTER	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
DCS - CORRECTIVE	248	30	1	0	1	1	0	0	281	1.19
DCS - CORRECTIVE CONT HOME PLM	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	0.01
DCS - CORRECTIVE DETERMINATE	52	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	0.22
DCS - PREVENTION	98	35	8	4	4	8	0	3	160	0.67
DCS - PROTECTIVE	22	9	1	0	669	675	2	0	1,378	5.85
DCS COMMITMENT SUSPENDED	22	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0.11
DEFENSIVE DRIVING SCHOOL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
DENIAL OF DRIVING PRIV	224	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	244	1.03
DISCHARGE FROM TDCS	210	15	0	0	10	6	0	0	241	1.02
DISMISSED	1,021	234	24	17	724	793	216	184	3,213	13.70
DISRUPTION OF PLACEMENT	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.05
DRIVER'S LICENSE HELD - COURT	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.00
FINE	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0.01
HOME PLACEMENT	201	12	0	0	3	2	0	0	218	0.92
INS - DEPORT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION - WITHDRAWN	55	7	0	0	3	3	2	1	71	0.30
NO CONTACT ORDER - CH	298	37	0	0	12	16	0	0	363	1.54
OPERATION HOPE DIVERSION	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.00
ORDER FOR VISITATION	0	0	0	0	103	134	179	199	615	2.61
ORDER OF PROTECTION	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	7	0.02
ORDER OF WITHDRAWAL	185	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	217	0.92
PARENT / GUARDIAN	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	4	0.01
PETITION TO REHEAR DISMISSED	0	0	0	0	56	63	14	15	148	0.62
PLACEMENT APPROVED	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
PRIVATE CHILD CARE AGENCY	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	0.01
PROBATION APS - CONTINUED	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0.07
PROBATION APS - REACTIVATE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
PROBATION COURTESY SUPERVISION	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
PROBATION TO APS	287	67	0	0	0	0	1	0	355	1.50

Dispositional Data

Final Dispositions

Formal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
PROBATION TO PARENTS	69	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	87	0.36
REF TO EVAL & REFERRAL	373	118	0	0	1	0	0	0	492	2.09
REHEARING - WITHDRAWN	8	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	10	0.04
RELATIVE/INDIVIDUAL	4	0	0	1	1,274	1,361	239	217	3,096	13.20
RELEASE FROM TDCS	2	0	0	0	8	5	0	0	15	0.06
RELEASE FROM YSB	498	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	565	2.40
REMAIN YSB	69	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	74	0.31
REMAIN - DCS CORRECTIVE	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0.08
REMAIN - DCS CORRECTIVE	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
REMAIN - DCS PROTECTIVE	8	6	0	0	45	27	0	0	86	0.36
RESTITUTION - JUDICIAL	272	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	283	1.20
RESTITUTION RESERVED	26	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	0.14
RESTRAINING ORDER - DENIED	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	1	7	0.02
RESTRAINING ORDER - UPHELD	0	0	0	0	21	27	0	0	48	0.20
SCHOOL EXEMPTION	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	10	0.04
SEX OFFENDER REGISTRY	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.03
TERMINATION PAR RIGHTS	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.00
TRANS TO INTERSTA COMPACT	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
TRANS TO OTHER COURT/ADJ	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	5	0.02
TRANS TO OTHER COURT/DISP	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.02
TRIAL HOME PLACEMENT	210	12	0	0	12	7	0	0	241	1.02
WAIVED CRIMINAL COURT-MOTION	102	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	103	0.43
YOUTH SERVICE BUREAU	590	86	1	0	0	0	1	0	678	2.88
Sub-Total	5,290	863	36	23	3,026	3,220	863	791	14,112	59.77

Informal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
ADMIN. REVIEW - CASE MANAGEMENT	59	7	45	2	0	0	1	0	114	0.48
ADMIN. REVIEW - INTENSIVE CASE	28	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	36	0.15
BYPASS	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0.05
COMMUNITY SERVICE	260	141	7	2	0	0	0	2	412	1.75
COMMUNITY SERVICE - FIRE SETTER	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0.05
DCS - PREVENTION	43	14	3	4	0	0	0	0	64	0.27
DEFENSIVE DRIVING SCHOOL	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0.01
DRIVER'S LICENSE HELD - NJ	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.02
FORFEITURE	141	23	20	8	0	0	9	4	205	0.87
JIFF INTERVENTION	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	0.27
M.A.R.R.S	55	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	102	0.43
NO PETITION FILED	605	202	253	56	0	0	42	4	1,162	4.93
NO PETITION FILED - AGE OF MAJORITY	10	8	3	1	0	0	0	0	22	0.09
NO PETITION FILED - PREVIOUS	9	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	17	0.07
NO PETITION FILED - UNDER AGE OF	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.04
OPERATION HOPE DIVERSION	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
OPERATION HOPE INTERVENTION	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.02

Dispositional Data


Final Dispositions

Informal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
PROBATION APS - CONTINUED	19	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	28	0.11
PROBATION APS - REACTIVATE	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
REF TO EVAL & REFERRAL	212	122	5	2	0	0	1	0	342	1.45
REL - PROBATIONARY SUPERVISION	242	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	326	1.38
RELATIVE/INDIVIDUAL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
RELEASE NO CHARGE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
RESTITUTION -NJ	12	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	17	0.07
VOL FOSTERCARE PLACEMENT	0	0	0	0	12	12	0	0	24	0.10
WARN AND COUNSEL	2,642	1,701	213	92	2	2	28	13	4,693	19.90
WARNING LETTER	284	187	558	308	0	0	9	3	1,349	5.73
WARNING LETTER - OUT OF COUNTY	22	27	2	0	0	0	1	0	52	0.22
WARNING LETTER IN LIEU OF APC	158	137	22	15	0	0	2	2	336	1.42
Sub-Total	4,913	2,720	1,152	490	14	14	93	29	9,425	39.90
Total	10,203	3,583	1,188	513	3,040	3,234	956	820	23,537	99.67

Types of Offenses


TEN-YEAR COMPARISON

Types of Offenses 2012



Alcohol and Drug Related Offenses


TEN-YEAR COMPARISON



Homicides

committed by juveniles

Homicides Committed by Juveniles 2012



2012 Breakdown

Murder I & II	2
Other Homicides	3

Administrative Services Division

Dini Malone, Director

Delois A. Campbell, Administrator, Human Resources

Trisha Monteil, Administrator, Finance and Purchasing

Pamela L. Taylor, Administrator, Volunteer Services

Glenn W. Mayfield, Manager, Information Systems

Sherry K. Schedler, Manager, Interagency Services

Terry Seamon, Manager, Building Services

Mitchell C. Morgan, IV-D Coordinator

The Administrative Division of Juvenile Court is responsible for:

- Development and administration of budget, contracts and grants
- Human resources
- Building maintenance of a 152,000 square foot facility
- Procurement of equipment and supplies
- Information and telecommunications systems
- Support services (materials control, print shop, food services and office services)
- Volunteer services
- Child support services
- Interagency services

The Juvenile Court budget increased from \$17,408,524 to \$17,838,008 from FY2012 to FY2013. Revenue to support expenditures comes from the following sources:

Department of Human Services, Child Support & Advocate Services	\$ 1,687,372
Justice Assistance Grant (JAG)	\$ 74,437
Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG)	\$ 30,240
Department of Children's Services, Child & Family Intervention	\$ 67,688
Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), Mediation Services	\$12,600
Tennessee Commission on Children and Youth (TCCY), CASA	\$ 9,000
Annie E. Casey Foundation	\$ 50,000
US Department of Education, Breakfast, Lunch and Snack Program	\$ 125,000

Out-of-County Per Diem
Reimbursements

\$ 120,000

Miscellaneous Revenue

\$ 23,000

County General Fund

\$15,688,671

Total Operating Funds

\$17,838,008

During 2012, a total of 77,975 meals were prepared by the Food Services Department at an average food cost of \$1.91.

Volunteer Services Bureau

Pamela L. Taylor, Administrator

Volunteer Services Bureau oversees and provides administrative support for Juvenile Court volunteer programs.

In 2012, approximately 850 individuals and organizations throughout Memphis and Shelby County donated over 75,000 hours of volunteer services and other contributions to assist children and families served by Juvenile Court.

Volunteer opportunities at Juvenile Court include:

- Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)
- Special Services Auxiliary (includes GOAL Program, Ambassador Program and Chaplaincy Program)
- Foster Care Review Board (FCRB)
- Commission on Missing and Exploited Children (COMEC)
- Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

The volunteer programs at Juvenile Court offer priceless service to the community. The dedication of volunteers helping children and families in our community is immeasurable.

As a part of community outreach, Volunteer Services Bureau visited several organizations and provided onsite virtual tours to approximately 169 participants during 2012. Virtual tours are provided to motivate youth to refrain from inappropriate behavior and to educate the public on Court programs and operation.

Auxiliary Probation Service
Nataki Oyeleye-Dowdy, Coordinator

The Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) was created in 1964 by the late Juvenile Court Judge Kenneth A. Turner, it was the first volunteer probation program of its kind in the nation. The Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) provides Court ordered services for the supervision, guidance, protection and welfare of youth in Memphis and Shelby County.

Under the leadership of Judge Curtis S. Person, the Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) continues to thrive. It remains the oldest and largest volunteer probation service of its kind. In 2012, approximately 242 active Auxiliary Probation Officers contributed over 38,570 hours supervising approximately 667 youth placed on supervised probation and the BYPASS Program. The BYPASS Program (Bringing Youth Positive Assistance through Special Services) is an alternative to probation for very young children with minor offenses. The BYPASS Program is very successful in keeping many youth from future delinquent behavior.

During 2012, members of the Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) were honored for their outstanding contributions and dedicated service. Deputy Chief Adrienne Parker received the APS Leadership Award, Officer William Gilmore received the Jesse H. Bishop Award, Officer Victoria Patton received the Clara Hill Award, and Division Chief Proctor Wilson received the Jeune Wood Volunteer of the Year Award.

In addition to these awards Officer Robert Carter was honored as a 2012 Jefferson Award winner and a 2012 Spirit of Giving Award winner. Officer Carter has volunteered with the Auxiliary Probation service for 33 years.

Members celebrating anniversaries include:

- 40+ Years: District Chief Nathaniel Moody (43), Deputy Chief Tommy Smith (42)
- 30+ Years: Officer Melvin Shipp (37), Officer James Fisher (35), Officer Robert Carter (33), Officer Lillian Brisco (32)
- 20+ Years: Officer Aubrey Miller (26), Officer William Gilmore (23), Officer Anne Boswell (23), Officer Leroy Davis (23), Division Chief Tommy Evans (23), District Chief Keith Woodard (22), Officer Wash Johnson (21), Officer Earline Richmond (20).

**Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)-
Summons Division**
Joy Vernon, Coordinator

In 2010, Judge Curtis S. Person spearheaded a movement for local law enforcement to issue a summons instead of arresting and detaining first and second time juvenile offenders who commit a misdemeanor offense. The seven misdemeanor offenses include: criminal trespass, simple assault, simple possession of marijuana, theft of property (\$500 or less), vandalism of property (\$500 or less), gambling, and disorderly conduct.

The Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)-Summons Division was created by Judge Curtis S. Person in September 2010 to address the increased volume of summons received by Juvenile Court due to the success of the innovative summons policy.

Auxiliary Probation Officers conduct the summons conferences at Juvenile Court. During the conference, the arrest ticket is reviewed and the youth is counseled to determine guilt and the reason for the offense. The Auxiliary Probation Officer documents any special observations to determine if the juvenile needs to be referred for specialized counseling (anger management, substance abuse, depression). If the juvenile admits guilt, signs a Court waiver and pays Court costs the juvenile will not have a Juvenile Court record.

In 2012, Volunteer Services Bureau received 1,071 summons. Approximately 9 active Auxiliary Probation Officers contributed over 980 hours conducting 973 conferences.

**Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)-
Mentoring Division**
Joy Vernon, Coordinator

In 2012, the APS-Mentoring Division was created by Judge Curtis S. Person to enhance the APS-Summons Program.

The mission of the APS-Mentoring Division is to collaborate with citizens of Memphis and Shelby County redirect children identified by the Court as being in the very early stages of delinquency by effectively using a combination of mentoring activities such as:

- life skills training
- job skills training
- motivational speeches
- recreational activities
- common sense counseling
- anger management counseling

Referrals to the APS-Mentoring Program are made by the Judge, Magistrates, and the APS-Mentoring Coordinator. In 2012, thirty-six referrals were made to the program.

Special Services Auxiliary

The Special Services Auxiliary utilizes volunteers to provide additional services to Juvenile Court.

Implemented by Judge Curtis S. Person in 2007, the Ambassador Program is based in the Court's lobby. Ambassadors offer a customer friendly atmosphere by greeting and guiding visitors to various locations within the building. Ambassadors also help the Court to monitor guests in the building by assisting visitors with the check-in process at lobby kiosks. During 2012, thirty-three individuals contributed a total of 2,033 hours with the Ambassador Program.

Since 1977, the GOAL Program (Greater Opportunity for Additional Learning) has provided daily motivational messages and life skills training to juveniles while they are housed in Detention Services Bureau. GOAL facilitators serve as special guest speakers for juveniles prior to the start of their school day at HOPE Academy. HOPE Academy is the Memphis City School operated within Detention Services Bureau.

Volunteer facilitators from various walks of life encourage dialogue from detainees by sharing life experiences, stressing the importance of education and encouraging them to achieve their goals. In 2012, sixty-two individuals contributed a total of 191 hours in the GOAL Program serving 3,879 boys and 330 girls.

The Chaplaincy Program, supervised by Chaplain Philip R. Schmidt, coordinates visits by various faith based organizations that minister to the children in Detention Services Bureau.

Foster Care Review Board

Libby Lucchesi, *Coordinator*

Joponica Truitt, *Coordinator*

Melissa Ungberg, *Coordinator*

Foster Care services is responsible for the oversight and review of post-adjudicatory children placed in the custody of the Tennessee Department of Children's Services subsequent to findings of dependency, neglect, and unruly or delinquent behavior to ensure his or her safety, well-being, permanency, and rehabilitation. In 2012, 2,436 cases were docketed before Magistrates to approve permanency and transitional living plans of these children. These children are also reviewed by the Foster Care Review Board, a quasi-judicial board comprised of volunteers. The Board members review quarterly reports, treatment plans, health and educational records submitted by the department and make recommendations regarding the efforts and progress made by the families and youth in care.

These findings and recommendations pertain to:

- necessity of continuing foster care, the appropriateness of the placement, and the projected date a child can exit by adoption or permanent placement
- level of participation of all involved parties in fulfilling their plan responsibilities
- extent of progress in the elimination of issues that problems that necessitated placement in care
- necessity of filing a termination of parental rights action if timely progress has not been made towards reunification.

In 2012, the Foster Care Review Board reviewed 2,945 cases resulting in approximately 6,362 volunteer hours. In addition to reviewing issues related to permanency and well-being, some specialized Boards review older youth to ensure the development of a Transitional Plan tailored to their needs and issues approaching adulthood. There are approximately 180 active Foster Care Review Board members, and they are to be commended for their dedication to the children and families of our community.

Also, in 2012 following Tennessee's Transitioning Youth Empowerment Act, Foster Care services reviews young adults ages 18-21 who were in foster care at age 18, and opt to receive an extension of services. The Court and the Foster Care Review Board review these young adults to ensure they are making progress in

meeting the independent living goals of his or her Transition Plan to better equip them for adulthood, and allow for a transition to independence.

Commission on Missing and Exploited Children

Sergeant Len Edwards, *Executive Director*

Memphis Police Department

COMEC is a nonprofit 501 (c) 3 charity that was the brainchild of former Juvenile Court Judge Kenneth A. Turner. Formed as a collaborative effort between the Memphis Police Department and the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County in 1983, COMEC has been promoting the safety and protection of all children for nearly thirty years.

For 2012, COMEC has again contributed significantly to the community by offering its complementary programs and services to those who seek information, community outreach and technical assistance. During 2012, COMEC provided the following:

- provision of technical assistance to walk-in traffic to the Court – 146
- counseling to children and parents – 115
- technical assistance regarding custodial interference – 68
- parental abductions – 16
- AMBER Alerts – 6

COMEC has also provided:

- free drug (lab) screening for – 87 children
- free at-home drug tests for – 665 children
- free fingerprint kits – 2,721 children
- mentoring for the GOAL program – 196 children

COMEC also presented at statewide conferences such as:

- tennessee juvenile court services association
- NW council on children and youth
- tennessee commission on children and youth

COMEC is also represented on the Truancy Prevention Initiative, the Student Attendance Review Board and the Foster Care Review Board.

COMEC wishes to thank the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County for its continued support of this organization and the services it provides.

Court Appointed Special Advocates

Wendy R. Shea, *Executive Director*

Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) of Memphis and Shelby County began in 1986 under the late, Kenneth A. Turner, former Judge of the Shelby County Juvenile Court as a result of a national movement by juvenile court judges to look for alternative ways to make sure the best interests of children would be consistently presented to the court. Our mission is to empower community volunteers to be advocates in Court for abused and neglected children needing safe, permanent homes. In 2012, 116 active volunteers served 391 children and their families and contributed over 9,000 hours of service.

CASA volunteers receive in depth training to support their role as an advocate and are appointed by a Magistrate to advocate for the best interest of an abused or neglected child in Court. Once assigned to a child's case, volunteers are professionally supervised through the investigative process of gathering information from the child, their families, educators, social service professionals, health care providers, and any other persons having information regarding the child's case. Upon completion of the investigation, the findings are presented to the Court outlining the volunteer's concerns, evaluations, and recommendations for the child's future.

CASA's role in Court is powerful and significantly improves how justice is administered in dependency and neglect cases. Juvenile Court Magistrates use the report to respect the child's sense of time, avoid unnecessary continuances and make informed, timely decisions regarding the best interest of the child. For children, CASA volunteers are a lifeline in complex legal proceedings offering trust, advocacy, and a means to express their own opinions and hopes. One CASA child noted that "To give a child a CASA volunteer is to give them a voice, and to give them a voice is to give them hope, and to give them hope is to give them the world."

Interagency Services

Sherry K. Schedler, *Manager*

The Office of Interagency Services develops and maintains youth services initiatives and projects shared by Juvenile Court and outside agencies. Interagency Services aims to expand services to children and families through partnerships, program development, and grants.

In 2012, the Court participated in several grant initiatives. Examples include:

- The Child and Family Intervention Grant, awarded by the Tennessee Department of Children's Services, provided professional services for work with at-risk children and youth.
- The Justice Assistance Grant (JAG), funded by the U.S. Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), allows states and local governments to prevent and control crime and improve the criminal justice system. JAG provided Youth Court staff continuation funding.
- The Justice Assistance Grant (JAG), American Recovery and Investment Act (ARRA) of 2009, continued funding the Recreational Coordinator, educational and security supplies, and equipment and supplies for new child support services.
- The Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG), a federal grant of the U.S. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), is administered by the Tennessee Commission on Children and Youth (TCCY). JABG's goal is to reduce delinquency by improving the juvenile justice system and increasing accountability of offenders. New JABG funds provided continuation funding for Youth Court staff.
- The Supreme Court of Tennessee, Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), Access and Visitation Grant, provided continued funding for a part-time law student in the Office of the Advocate for Non-Custodial Parents to assist self-represented litigants.

Hope Academy is a partnership between Juvenile Court and Memphis City Schools to provide full-time, year-round, academic instruction and programming for detained youth. Hope Academy officially opened in February 2010 and has exceeded all expectations. We applaud Memphis City Schools Superintendent, Dr. Kriner Cash, and staff for their ongoing support, and commitment to provide detained youth with quality, academic instruction. Under the auspices of Operation: Safe Community 2012-2016, Hope Academy will be enhanced to include a mentoring component.

Youth Court, a collaboration with the Tennessee Bar Association, Memphis Bar Association, Memphis Area Legal Services and Memphis City Schools has been remarkably successful since it began in February 2011. Volunteer attorneys serve as student mentors and area high schools serve as Youth Court panelists. Youth Court is a model program of the U. S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), and incorporates elements of restorative justice to hold youth accountable for offenses and prevent future delinquency. Youth courts, also known as teen or peer courts, are an alternative sentencing mechanism for first-time, non-violent juvenile offenders who appear before and are sentenced by a jury of their peers. In 2012, new schools were added, bringing the total number of participating schools to eight.

Juvenile Court works with The Memphis Shelby Crime Commission, who provides leadership and coordination on new and emerging youth initiatives including:

- Operation: Safe Community (OSC) is a strategic crime reduction initiative that incorporates the Memphis Youth Violence Prevention Plan. OSC engages over 130 partners representing faith-based and educational institutions, nonprofits and government in implementing strategies for Memphis and Shelby County. In 2012, a new plan launched for the next five years, Operation: Safe Community 2012-2016. The new plan places special emphasis on youth violence prevention and intervention, with action items developed through a unique partnership with DOJ and the Memphis Youth Violence Prevention Plan.

- Memphis was one of only eight sites in the nation to receive funding through the U. S. department of Justice, Defending Childhood Initiative (DCI) in 2011. The project formally launched in 2012 with the

title, “**Network for Overcoming Violence and Abuse (NOVA)**” under the administration of the Shelby County Office of Early Childhood and Youth. Nova began providing services in neighborhoods within selected zip codes where data revealed high incidents where children experience violence at home or are victims or witnesses of crime. NOVA is a network of closely collaborating service providers and other partners to care for children exposed to violence and to give support to their families.

Memphis’ success in reducing the number of youth in Detention earned the City a coveted partnership with the Annie E. Casey Foundation (AECF) in 2011. Memphis is one of the largest urban Courts in the U. S. and the first in Tennessee selected by AECF as a Juvenile detention alternative Initiatives (JDAI) site. The AECF has JDAI sites in approximately 100 jurisdictions in 30 states and Washington D.C. focusing on education, counseling, rehabilitation, and therapy. The Foundation’s juvenile justice reform agenda is designed to improve the odds that delinquent youth make successful transitions to adulthood, In 2012, our project launched with training and community meetings.

Juvenile Court became one of only a dozen courts nationwide designated a Juvenile Justice Model Court by the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ) in 2011. A Juvenile Justice Model Court is one that makes the commitment of human and fiscal resources to follow key principles and recommendations set forth in the *Juvenile Delinquency Guidelines*. In the context, the term means that a court seeks to improve practice by implementing the *Juvenile Delinquency Guidelines* and assessing its progress serving as a “model” for broad systems change. The Project sets forth essential elements to help courts achieve improved delinquency case processing and outcomes for youth, families, and communities while aspiring to excellence. In 2012, our project is fully underway.

Interagency Services looks forward to working with our community partners on these and other endeavors to expand and improve services to children and families.

Index

Administrative Services Division.....	49	Homicides, Committed by Juveniles	48
Admission, Detention Center	16	Hope Academy.....	16
Adult Offenders	42	Interagency Services	53 – 54
Advocate for Noncustodial Parents	9	Juvenile Defender	10
Alcohol and Drug Related Offenses, Ten-Year Comparison.....	48	Juvenile Summons.....	40
Auxiliary Probation Service.....	51	Legal Department.....	9
Boys Detention.....	16	Living Arrangements of Child.....	31
Budget.....	49	Mental Capacity	39
Care Following Referral	41	Message from the Judge.....	2 – 3
Care Location	41	Office of Clinical Services.....	10
Care Reason	41	Office of the Guardian ad litem.....	9
Case Management Program	14	Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement.....	15
Central Detention Control.....	16	Organizational Chart	4
CAO & Chief Counsel.....	9	Placements, Institutional and Agencies	31
Children's Bureau.....	13	Protective Services Department	13
Commission on Missing and Exploited Children	52	Recidivist Report	32
Community Service Program.....	13	Referral Reasons	21 – 29
Complaints, Juveniles.....	19 – 20	Referral Reasons, Selected Charges.....	30
Corrective Services Department.....	13	Referral Source	40
Court Appointed Special Advocates.....	53	Residence, Length of Time in County.....	32
Court Clerk's Office.....	7 – 8	Residence, Location	32
Court Services Division	11	School Attainment, Sex and Race.....	36 – 37
Delinquency Placements.....	18	School Misbehavior	35
Dependency and Neglect Placements.....	18	School Status.....	38 – 39
Detention Services Bureau	16	Special Services Auxiliary.....	51
Detention Center	16	Staff Positions	6
Dispositions, Final	45 – 47	Statistical Summary.....	6
Dispositions, Hearing Type	42	Table of Contents.....	5
Dispositions, Non-Final.....	43 – 44	Traffic Information.....	18
Employment Status, Juveniles	38	Types of Offenses, Ten-Year Comparison	48
Evaluation and Referral Bureau.....	12	Volunteer Services Bureau.....	49
Family Income.....	33 – 34	Youth Services Bureau	14
Food Services.....	49		
Foster Care Review Board	52		
Girls Detention.....	16		
Grade Placement in Relation to Age.....	35		